Appendix D - Equipped Play Qualitative Scoring Approach and Scoring

### 1.1 Equipped Play Scoring Approach

### 1.1 Physical Quality Score

The following assessment score sheet is derived from 'Assessing Needs and Opportunities'. This scoring system has been used on previous versions of the Open Space Assessment, and is used again to provide continuity between the old and current Assessments. As was described within the main document each site is assessed against its maximum potential with a final score given as a percentage. This has made all sites comparable with sites not scoring poorly where certain elements of the scoring may not have been applicable.

Table 1.1 Physical Quality Score

| Element |  | Score |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Main entrance |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boundary condition |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Roads, paths \& access |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Planted areas |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grassed areas |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Facilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Bins |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Seats |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Toilets |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Parking |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lighting |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Informa |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cleanliness |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Events Programme |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Score (out of 62) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum Potential Score (site by site basis) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total score \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1.2 Scoring System

| Attributes | Description | Scores |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Main entrance | Easy to find, with a welcome/ advisory sign <br> appropriate size, clear, clean, tidy, well maintained <br> and inviting | 4 |
|  | Appropriate size, clear, clean, tidy, well maintained <br> and inviting | 3 |
|  | Obvious, open inviting and clean | 2 |
|  | Apparent as an entrance and 1 <br> clean | 1 |
|  | All clearly defined and well maintained | 3 |


| Boundaries (including hedges, gates etc) | All clearly defined - maintenance 'patchy' | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All clearly defined - maintenance needed | 1 |
| Roads, Paths, cycle-ways and accesses | Suitable materials, level for safe use, edges well defined, surfaces clean and debris and weed free, good disabled access throughout | 5 |
|  | Suitable materials, level for safe use, edges well defined, disabled access in most areas | 4 |
|  | Suitable materials, level for safe use, some disabled access | 3 |
|  | Suitable materials but with some faults, disabled access poor | 2 |
|  | Road/paths in correct place, but in need of obvious repair, disabled access poor and very restricted | 1 |
| Planted Areas (trees, shrubs, floral areas etc) | Numerous planting, with appropriate mix of plants, installed and maintained to a very high standard | 5 |
|  | Numerous plants, with an appropriate mix of plants, installed and maintained to a reasonable standard | 4 |
|  | Numerous plants, with an appropriate mix of plants and 'patchy' maintenance | 3 |
|  | Limited range of plants, maintenance acceptable | 2 |
|  | Limited planting with limited maintenance | 1 |
| Grass areas | Full grass cover throughout, dense sward, good colour and cleanly cut | 5 |
|  | Full grass cover throughout, dense sward, good colour and cleanly cut, few weeds, grass cut frequently to keep length short | 4 |
|  | Full grass cover throughout main area but some 'thin' patches evident; some bald areas discreet; grass cut frequently but length excessive between cuts, cut quality good (no tearing) | 3 |
|  | General grass cover average and patchy with some bald patches, cut infrequently or at poor frequency, clippings obvious or cut quality poor | 2 |
|  | General grass cover poor, wear has led to patchy and 1 poor cover with little or no serious attempts to correct the problem, clippings obvious and cut quality poor | 1 |
| Facilities: Bins | Numerous and in good condition | 5 |
|  | Numerous and in average condition | 4 |
|  | Adequate number in good/average condition | 3 |
|  | Insufficient number but in good condition | 2 |
|  | Insufficient number in poor condition | 1 |
| Seats | Numerous for the size of the site and in good condition | 5 |
|  | Numerous for the size of the site and in average condition | 4 |
|  | Adequate number in good/average condition | 3 |
|  | Insufficient seats but in good condition | 2 |


|  | Insufficient seats in poor condition | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Toilets | Provided within the park, easy to access, signed and well maintained | 5 |
|  | Provided within or adjacent to the park, difficult to find, maintenance/condition is average | 4 |
|  | Provided within the park or adjacent to it and visible, but not well cared for and generally uninviting | 3 |
|  | Provided within the park or adjacent to it, but in very poor condition and generally avoided by park users | 2 |
|  | Temporary toilet provision for events only | 1 |
| Parking | Parking provided integral to, or adjacent to the park, adequate spaces, site clean, tidy, in good condition and well signed | 5 |
|  | Parking provided integral to, or adjacent to the park, adequate spaces but maintenance could be better | 4 |
|  | Parking provided integral to, or adjacent to the park, limited spaces, maintenance good or reasonable | 3 |
|  | Parking provided integral to, or adjacent to the park, limited space, maintenance poor | 2 |
|  | Parking provision very limited | 1 |
| Lighting | Good lighting scheme installed and well maintained | 3 |
|  | Reasonable lighting scheme installed | 2 |
|  | Poor lighting scheme | 1 |
| Information | Information available for locals and visitors (could be on boards or leaflet form) in some detail | 2 |
|  | Limited information about the park made available | 1 |
| Cleanliness | No evidence of litter, dog fouling or graffiti | 5 |
|  | Very limited evidence of litter, dog fouling or graffiti | 4 |
|  | Litter, dog fouling no worse than Grade B | 3 |
|  | Litter, dog fouling no worse than Grade C | 2 |
|  | Litter, dog fouling no worse than Grade D | 1 |
| Events programme | Full events programme with supporting leaflets | 5 |
|  | Full events programme | 4 |
|  | Events programme is in place which delivers at least one major event each year with some minor events | 3 |
|  | Some events | 2 |
|  | An event | 1 |

### 1.2 Methodology for Play Value

The methodology for assessing the 'play value' of areas of equipped play is included within the Children \& Young People's chapter of the main document. This element of quality scoring uses a series of criteria devised from the consultation for the play strategy and is designed to consider the quality of play at each site. In a similar manner to the physical quality scoring each site has been scored and given a potential maximum score to which the site should aspire. Each Criteria can score up to $100 \%$, however where a certain criteria may not be $100 \%$ applicable to a particular site.

| Degree to which play area is overlooked | Degree to which site is inclusive | Proximity to other services/ facilities | Does it have highest catchment coverage (within $480 \mathrm{~m} / 10 \mathrm{~min}$ walk time) | Accessibility barriers |  | Play value Children's criteria | Equipment quality | Overall comment s/Final score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Visible from properties fronting onto the site <br> - Visible by traffic (moderate residential volume and speed) <br> - Visible from footpaths | - Open during daylight <br> - Level, even surfaces for pushchairs/ wheelchairs <br> - Seating opportunities (both formal and informal) | - Opportunities to travel to play on route to other events/ facilities <br> - Proximity to shops, community buildings and schools | - Residential areas within the 480m/10minute walk time buffer. | Physical Barriers Railways lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpaths | Social <br> Barriers - <br> Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children | 1. Opportunities to run/walk <br> 2. Hard surface to cycle, rollerskate, basketball, skateboard <br> 3. Ability to play ball games <br> 4. Opportunities to sit/hang out. <br> 5. Risky play climbing, jumping, swinging <br> 6. Natural play hills, trees. <br> 7. Well maintained - bins, rubbish | Quality of equipment, presence of any broken equipment or equipment in need of repair | Current <br> Score <br> = sum of all current scores <br> Maximum <br> Score $=$ <br> sum <br> of all <br> maximum <br> scores |
| $100 \%=$ <br> overlooked | $100 \%=$ <br> inclusive | $100 \%=\text { close }$ <br> to multiple facilities | $100 \% \text { = full }$ <br> residential coverage | 100\% = n | barriers | $100 \%=5+\text { out }$ of 7 | $100 \%=$ <br> excellent condition |  |
| $0 \%=\text { not }$ <br> overlooked | $0 \%=\text { not }$ <br> inclusive | $0 \%=n o$ <br> facilities in close proximity | 0\% = no residential coverage | 0\% = barri | s present | $0 \%=0$ out of 7 | $0 \%=\text { poor }$ condition |  |


| Name | Main Entrance | Boundary <br> Condition | Road/Path | Planted <br> Areas | Grass <br> Area | Bin | Seats | Toilets | Parking | Lighting | Information | Cleanliness |  | Total | Max Score | \% score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Max Score per attribute | 4 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 5 |  | 57 |  |
| Beacon Park | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 49 | 50 | 98\% |
| Beech Gardens | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 15 | 27 | 56\% |
| Burton Road, Streethay | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 26 | 34 | 76\% |
| City Wharf | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 22 | 59\% |
| Stowe Croft, Netherstowe | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 25 | 40 | 63\% |
| Darnford Park | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 34 | 52 | 65\% |
| David Garrick <br> Gardens, Curborough | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 26 | 45 | 58\% |
| Heather Close / Scotch Orchard | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 16 | 27 | 59\% |
| Lightwood Road, Darwin Park | 2 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 35 | 42 | 83\% |
| Oakenfield / James Greenway | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 24 | 42 | 57\% |
| Saddlers Wood | 1 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 22 | 39 | 56\% |
| Sante Foy Avenue, Darwin Park | 4 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 28 | 34 | 82\% |
| Shortbutts Park | 3 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 40 | 44 | 91\% |
| Stychbrook Gardens | 4 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 27 | 34 | 79\% |
| Stowe Fields | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 20 | 38 | 53\% |
| Vallentin Way, <br> Netherstowe | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 33 | 39 | 85\% |
| Streethay SDA - Cathedral View | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 31 | 39 | 79\% |
| Streethay SDA - Roman Heights | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 31 | 39 | 79\% |
| Redwood Park, Burntwood | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 26 | 42 | 62\% |
| Chase Terrace Park | 3 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 38 | 45 | 84\% |
| Burntwood Park | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 22 | 39 | 56\% |


| Burntwood SDA | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 32 | 37 | 86\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alrewas | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 31 | 42 | 74\% |
| Village Hall, Armitage | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 31 | 47 | 66\% |
| St Barbara's Road, Armitage | 2 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 26 | 42 | 62\% |
| Hawksyard, Armitage | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 33 | 40 | 83\% |
| Millmoor Avenue, Armitage | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 27 | 42 | 64\% |
| Laurel House, Fazeley | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 25 | 34 | 74\% |
| Mile Oak Recreation Ground | 2 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 26 | 42 | 62\% |
| Worthington Road, Fradley | 4 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 41 | 44 | 93\% |
| Tumbill Road, Fradley | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 36 | 40 | 90\% |
| Hay End :Lane, Fradley | 3 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 35 | 47 | 74\% |
| Little Aston | 4 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 47 | 49 | 96\% |
| Shenstone | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 44 | 52 | 85\% |
| Hopwas | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 28 | 42 | 67\% |
| Kings Bromley | 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 30 | 42 | 71\% |
| Stonnall | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 29 | 39 | 74\% |
| Clifton Campville | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 30 | 38 | 79\% |
| Colton | 4 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 36 | 45 | 80\% |
| Comberford | 4 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 31 | 33 | 94\% |
| Drayton Basset | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 33 | 45 | 73\% |
| Edingale | 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 29 | 38 | 76\% |
| Elford | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 28 | 42 | 67\% |
| Mansion Drive, Hammerwich | 2 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 26 | 34 | 76\% |
| Hospital Road, Hammerwich | 4 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 32 | 39 | 82\% |
| Harlaston | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 28 | 42 | 67\% |
| Hill Ridware | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 37 | 44 | 84\% |
| Browns Lane, North of Tamworth | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 36 | 44 | 82\% |








| This site has equipment for younger children and older children, and football posts. | Degree to which play area is overlooked, perception of safety <br> - Visible from properties fronting onto site, <br> - Visible by traffic - moderate residential volume and speed <br> - Visible from footpaths <br> 100\% overlooked - <br> 0\% not overlooked | Degree to which site is 'inclusive' <br> - Open during daylight <br> - Level even surfaces for pushchairs \& wheelchairs <br> - Seating opportunities both formal and informal <br> 100\% inclusive - 0\% not inclusive | Proximity to other services \& facilities <br> Opportunities to travel to play on route to other events <br> - Shops, community buildings, school | Does it have highest catchment coverage Within $480 \mathrm{~m} / 10 \mathrm{~min}$ walk time <br> $100 \%$ full residential coverage-0\% no coverage | Accessibility barriers Physical <br> - Railway lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpath links etc. <br> Social <br> - Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children <br> 100\% no barriers - 0\% barriers | Play value - Children's criteria (must have at least 5 out of 7) <br> - Opportunities to run/walk <br> - Hard surface to cycle, basketball, roller stake, skateboard <br> - Ability to play ball games <br> - Opportunities to sit/hang out <br> - Risky play - climbing, jumping, swinging <br> - Natural play - hills, tress <br> - Well maintained - bins, litter <br> $100 \% 5$ out of $7-0 \% 0$ out of 7 | Equipment quality <br> Broken equipment or in need of repair <br> 100\% excellent condition - 0\% poor condition | Overall comments <br> This site is well maintained and well used. <br> This site has the potential to be improved in terms of type and number of equipment provided given the size and location of the site. <br> There is no provision in terms of equipment for older children/ teenagers at this site. This is a potential improvement that could be made, for example a MUGA or skate ramp. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50\% | 60\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% Physical, 100\% Social | 100\% | 100\% | There is an opportunity to add natural play. |
|  | Comments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Overlooked by nearby flats on one | Site is largely open with limited seating. | Adjacent to community centre | Site has full residential catchment. | Physical: None | Opportunities to run/walk, Ability to play ball games, | Equipment seems new, and well used. |  |
|  | from upstairs rear windows of adjacent houses. <br> Due to location it is not visible by traffic, Well used footpath through site. <br> Also over looked by the community centre which is regularly used. | use by wheeled users would be difficult. | and generates pedestrian movement through site. Close to Willows School. |  | Social: <br> None | out, <br> Risky play, <br> Well maintained. |  |  |
|  | Suggested Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Limited opportunity to improve residential overlooking. | Increase the amount of seating, both formal and informal. Improve accessibility for wheeled users within the site. | None | None | None | Potential to improve play value by including opportunities for: natural play, hard surfaces. Seating could be improved, as only limited amount available. | Potential to increase the amount of equipment given the size of the site. | otal Score: |
|  | Max score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\%/100\% | 100\% | 100\% |  |




| Degree to which play area is overlooked, perception of safety <br> - Visible from properties fronting onto site, <br> - Visible by traffic moderate residential volume and speed <br> - Visible from footpaths 100\% overlooked 0\% not overlooked | Degree to which site is 'inclusive' <br> - Open during daylight <br> - Level even surfaces for pushchairs \& wheelchairs <br> - Seating opportunities both formal and informal <br> 100\% inclusive - 0\% not inclusive | Proximity to other services \& facilities Opportunities to travel to play on route to other events <br> - Shops, community buildings, school <br> 100\% multi facilities $0 \%$ no facilities | Does it have highest catchment coverage Within $480 \mathrm{~m} / 10 \mathrm{~min}$ walk time coverage | Accessibility barriers Physical <br> - Railway lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpath links etc. <br> Social <br> - Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children | Play value - Children's criteria <br> (must have at least 5 out of <br> 7) <br> Opportunities to run/walk <br> - Hard surface to cycle, basketball, roller stake, skateboard <br> - Ability to play ball games <br> - Opportunities to sit/hang out <br> - Risky play - climbing, jumping, swinging <br> - Natural play - hills, tress <br> - Well maintained bins, litter <br> $100 \% 5$ out of $7-0 \% 0$ out of 7 | Equipment quality Broken equipment or in need of repair <br> 100\% excellent condition - 0\% poor condition | Overall comments <br> Excellent site for younger children. <br> Potential to include equipment for older children. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 90\% Physical, 100\% Social | 100\% | 100\% |  |
| Comments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Located within a wider green area which is surrounded by housing, has a number of well used footpaths through site. <br> Visible from Blakeman Way. | The site is highly accessible due to a number of footpaths which cross the wider green space and link the equipped play areas together. Plenty of seating available. | Play area is located within walking distance of Waitrose and the community hall. | The site has a wide catchment consisting of mainly residential properties. | Physical: <br> Blakeman Way adjacent, though slow traffic speeds and informal crossings. <br> Social: <br> None | Opportunities to run/walk, Ability to play ball games, Opportunities to sit hang out, Risky Play, Natural Play, Well maintained. | Site is good for young children. Equipment is in good condition. |  |
| Suggested Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | None | None | None | Potential to add a formal crossing on Blakeman Way | While the footpaths provide hard surfacing for skateboarding etc, there is no designated hard surface area. | Potential to include equipment for older children/ teenagers. | Total Score: <br> 790 / 800 |
| Max score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% / 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |  |



| This site has a fenced play area for young children, a basketball court and football posts. | Degree to which play area is overlooked, perception of safety - <br> - Visible from properties fronting onto site, <br> - Visible by traffic - moderate residential volume and speed <br> - Visible from footpaths 100\% overlooked -0\% not overlooked | Degree to which site is 'inclusive' <br> - Open during daylight <br> - Level even surfaces for pushchairs \& wheelchairs <br> - Seating opportunities both formal and informal <br> 100\% inclusive - 0\% not inclusive | Proximity to other services \& facilities Opportunities to travel to play on route to other events <br> - Shops, community buildings, school | Does it have highest catchment coverage Within $480 \mathrm{~m} / 10 \mathrm{~min}$ walk time <br> $100 \%$ full residential coverage - 0\% no coverage | Accessibility barriers Physical <br> - Railway lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpath links etc. <br> Social <br> - Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children | Play value - Children's criteria (must have at least 5 out of 7) <br> - Opportunities to run/walk <br> - Hard surface to cycle, basketball, roller stake, skateboard <br> - Ability to play ball games <br> - Opportunities to sit/hang out <br> - Risky play - climbing, jumping, swinging <br> - Natural play - hills, tress <br> - Well maintained - bins, litter <br> $100 \% 5$ out of $7-0 \% 0$ out of 7 | Equipment quality Broken equipment or in need of repair <br> 100\% excellent condition - 0\% poor condition | Overall comments <br> This site has an overall natural appearance given the landscape and planting. <br> Footpaths could be improved throughout the site, to improve comfort to wheeled users. <br> There is a lack of seating and bins around the MUGA and goal posts, potential for improvement. <br> A crossing over Roman |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Score | 50\% | 100\% | 100\% | 50\% Physical, 100\% Social | 100\% | 100\% | Way would improve the accessibility. |
|  | Comments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Despite being surrounded by housing most properties don't overlook the site. Create sight lines into site from Roman Way through management of planting. No crossing point across Roman Way. | Informal paths across site. <br> Seating around children's play equipment but limited seating elsewhere. | Site is located near Coop and community hall. | Site has full residential catchment. | Physical: <br> Railway adjacent, Roman Way has fast traffic and no crossing. <br> Social: <br> None | Opportunities to run/walk, Hard surface, <br> Ability to play ball games, Opportunities to sit/hang out, Risky play, Natural Play, Well maintained | Equipment is in good condition. Goal posts \& MUGA are in good condition. |  |
|  | Suggested Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Consider potential benefits of installing a crossing over Roman Way. <br> Reduce the height of planting on Roman Way to increase visibility. | Increase the amount of seating. | A crossing over Roman Way would increase the accessibility from these services \& facilities to the site. | None | Consider formal pedestrian crossing over Roman Way. | There is a lack of seating around the MUGA and goal posts, the only seating available is the grassed area. Consider increasing formal/informal seating in this part of the site. |  |  |
|  | Max score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 60\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 50\% / 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |  |










| Fenced play area and MUGA. | Degree to which play area is overlooked, perception of safety - <br> - Visible from properties fronting onto site, <br> - Visible by traffic moderate residential volume and speed <br> - Visible from footpaths 100\% overlooked -0\% not overlooked | Degree to which site is 'inclusive' <br> - Open during daylight <br> - Level even surfaces for pushchairs \& wheelchairs <br> - Seating opportunities both formal and informal <br> $100 \%$ inclusive - 0\% not inclusive | Proximity to other services \& facilities Opportunities to travel to play on route to other events <br> - Shops, community buildings, school | Does it have highest catchment coverage Within $480 \mathrm{~m} / 10 \mathrm{~min}$ walk time coverage | Accessibility barriers Physical <br> - Railway lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpath links etc. <br> Social <br> - Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children | Play value - Children's criteria (must have at least 5 out of 7) <br> - Opportunities to run/walk <br> - Hard surface to cycle, basketball, roller stake, skateboard <br> - Ability to play ball games <br> - Opportunities to sit/hang out <br> - Risky play - climbing, jumping, swinging <br> - Natural play - hills, tress <br> - Well maintained - bins, litter <br> $100 \% 5$ out of $7-0 \% 0$ out of 7 | Equipment quality Broken equipment or in need of repair <br> 100\% excellent condition - 0\% poor condition | Overall comments <br> Excellent site which provides play opportunities to range of ages. <br> Potential to increase natural play and informal seating. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 60\% | 100\% | 75\% | 100\% | 100\% Physical, 100\% Social | 100\% | 100\% |  |
|  | Comments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Play area is located in the middle of open green space, as a result is obscured from view. Not visible from traffic or properties although it has some degree of natural surveillance by local community groups. Park has lighting. <br> Footpaths through site are well used. Locked at night. | Good surfaces around play equipment and suitably surfaced footpaths through site. Formal and informal seating. <br> Car parking available. | Adjacent to a church and church hall. Near shops and primary school. | Site has high residential catchment. | Physical: <br> None <br> Social: <br> None | Opportunities to run/walk, Hard surface, <br> Ability to play ball games, Opportunities to sit/hang out, Risky play, <br> Natural play, <br> Well maintained | Good range of equipment for different ages. All equipment in working condition. |  |
|  | Suggested Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | There is little potential to increase this score. | Potential for more informal seating. | None | None | None | Potential to increase natural play opportunities. | Equipment would benefit from repainting. |  |
|  | Max score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 60\% | 100\% | 75\% | 100\% | 100\% / 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |  |












| Play Location <br> Armitage, Millmoor Avenue <br> Play equipment for a range of ages. | Degree to which play area is overlooked, perception of safety - <br> - Visible from properties fronting onto site, <br> - Visible by traffic moderate residential volume and speed <br> - Visible from footpaths 100\% overlooked -0\% not overlooked | Degree to which site is 'inclusive' <br> - Open during daylight <br> - Level even surfaces for pushchairs \& wheelchairs <br> - Seating opportunities both formal and informal <br> 100\% inclusive - 0\% not inclusive | Proximity to other services \& facilities Opportunities to travel to play on route to other events <br> - Shops, community buildings, school | Does it have highest catchment coverage Within $480 \mathrm{~m} / 10 \mathrm{~min}$ walk time <br> $100 \%$ full residential coverage - $0 \%$ no coverage | Accessibility barriers Physical <br> - Railway lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpath links etc. <br> Social <br> - Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children <br> 100\% no barriers - 0\% barriers | Play value - Children's criteria (must have at least 5 out of 7) <br> - Opportunities to run/walk <br> - Hard surface to cycle, basketball, roller stake, skateboard <br> - Ability to play ball games <br> - Opportunities to sit/hang out <br> - Risky play - climbing, jumping, swinging <br> - Natural play - hills, tress <br> - Well maintained - bins, litter <br> $100 \% 5$ out of $7-0 \% 0$ out of 7 | Equipment quality Broken equipment or in need of repair | Overall comments <br> Equipment is all in good condition offering good play value. <br> Site is on a slope with no footpaths or hard surfaces around equipment. This could make access for wheeled users difficult. Potential to improve this with footpaths and hard surfaces. <br> Due to the location within a large green space there is potential to increase the size of this play area. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20\% | 50\% | 70\% | 100\% | 100\% Physical, 100\% Social | 100\% | 100\% |  |
|  | Comments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Limited visibility from rear of | Site is not flat, no hard surfaces around | Local shops are a short walk away, | Site is located within a residential area to | Physical: None | Opportunities to run/walk, Ability to play ball games, Opportunities to sit/hang out, Risky play, Natural play, Well maintained. Limited natural play elements. | Equipment is in good condition. |  |
|  | side. Adjacent to garage court and parking area. Adjacent to hall. | informal seating but no formal seating. | located to the north of the site. | settlement. | Social: <br> None |  | equipment is becoming worn. <br> One fence panel broken at time of visit. |  |
|  | Suggested Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | No potential to increase this score. | Explore opportunities for formal seating and improved surfacing. | None | None | None | Potential to improve natural play and add hard surface. Site is located within a large green space, potential to increase the size of play area. | Potential to improve surface under equipment to reduce grass wearing. Repair or replace fencing. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total Score: } \\ & \hline 640 / 720 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Max score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\%/100\% | 100\% | 100\% |  |



| Play Location <br> Fazeley, <br> behind Victory Terrace <br> Young children's climbing frame | Degree to which play area is overlooked, perception of safety - <br> - Visible from <br> properties <br> fronting <br> onto site, <br> - Visible by <br> traffic - <br> moderate <br> residential <br> volume and <br> speed <br> - Visible from footpaths <br> 100\% overlooked <br> -0\% not <br> overlooked | Degree to which site is 'inclusive' <br> - Open during daylight <br> - Level even surfaces for pushchairs \& wheelchairs <br> - Seating opportunities both formal and informal <br> 100\% inclusive - 0\% not inclusive | Proximity to other services \& facilities Opportunities to travel to play on route to other events <br> - Shops, community buildings, school | Does it have highest catchment coverage Within $480 \mathrm{~m} / 10 \mathrm{~min}$ walk time coverage | Accessibility barriers Physical <br> - Railway lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpath links etc. <br> Social <br> - Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children barriers | Play value - Children's criteria <br> (must have at least 5 out of 7) <br> - Opportunities to run/walk <br> - Hard surface to cycle, basketball, roller stake, skateboard <br> - Ability to play ball games <br> - Opportunities to sit/hang out <br> - Risky play - climbing, jumping, swinging <br> - Natural play - hills, tress <br> - Well maintained - bins, litter <br> $100 \% 5$ out of $7-0 \% 0$ out of 7 | Equipment quality Broken equipment or in need of repair <br> 100\% excellent condition-0\% poor condition | Very poor site which is in need of replacing. The equipment is limited and does not offer many play opportunities. <br> The site is underused and is located poorly, as it is hidden behind the adjacent flats and separated from the wider residential area. The play area is also fenced off within the wider green space in which it is located. <br> There is need to improve this site with new equipment and landscaping. However due to its location it may be worthwhile to relocate the play area to a preferable site within the residential area. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50\% | 0\% | 80\% | 50\% | 50\% Physical, 100\% Social | 40\% | 20\% |  |
|  | Comments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Site is overlooked by the adjacent properties. | There is no seating or level surfaces within this site. | Site is in close proximity to the local shops and community facilities in Fazeley. | Catchment covers residential area with the District and parts of Tamworth Borough. The catchment contains some industrial uses. | Physical: <br> Busy roads separate the site from much of its catchment and the whole site is surrounded by obtrusive fencing. | Opportunities to run/walk, Risky play. <br> Very poor site which offers little in play value. Site consists of one small climbing frame for young children. | Equipment is in poor condition and rusted. |  |
|  | Suggested Improv | ents |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | None | Opportunities to introduce seating and level surfaces. | None | Little opportunity to improve this score. | Improve access into the site and remove fencing which currently limits access. | Site requires significant improvement. The site is underutilised and has great potential to be remodelled. | Equipment needs replacing and whole site needs remodelling. |  |
|  | Max score |  |  |  |  |  |  | 390 / 710 |
|  | 100\% | 100\% | 80\% | 50\% | 80\% / 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |  |






## Degree to which play area is overlooked, perception of

 safety -- Visible from properties fronting onto site,
- Visible by traffic moderate residential volume and speed
- Visible from footpaths 100\% overlooked -0\% not overlooked


## Degree to which site is

 - inclusive'daylight

- Level even surfaces
for pushchairs \& wheelchairs
- Seating
opportunities both
formal and
informal
$100 \%$ inclusive - 0\% not inclusive

Proximity to other services \& facilities Opportunities to travel to play on route to other events

- Shops, community buildings,
school

100\% multi facilities
$100 \%$ full
residential
coverage-0\% no coverage

| Does it have |
| :--- |
| highest catchment |
| coverage |
| Within 480m $/ 10$ |
| min walk time |



- Railway lines, busy
roads, canals, poor footpath links etc.


## Social

- Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children

100\% no barriers - 0\% barriers

| Score | $100 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $30 \%$ | S |  |
| Comments <br> Site is set back <br> from road, <br> resulting in <br> limited visibility <br> from traffic. <br> Overlooked by <br> village hall only.Surfaces are level and in <br> good condition. There is <br> seating within the site. | Located adjacent to <br> the school and <br> village hall. |  |

## Equipment quality

 Broken equipment or in need of repair(must have at least 5 out of 7)

- Opportunities to
run/walk
- Hard surface to cycle, basketball, roller stake, skateboard
- Ability to play ball games Opportunities to sit/hang out
- Risky play - climbing, jumping, swinging
- Natural play - hills, tress
- Well maintained - bins, litter

$$
100 \% 5 \text { out of } 7-0 \% 0 \text { out of } 7
$$

100\% excellent condition - 0\% poor condition

Overall comments

Good quality site which provides a different type of recreation.

Potential to introduce other types of play equipment which would increase this sites provision.

Need to more bins within site, especially near seating area and equipment.

Total Score. $610 / 650$


| Play Location <br> Shenstone, Recreation Ground <br> Play equipment, MUGA, outdoor gym, skate equipment | Degree to which play area is overlooked, perception of safety - <br> - Visible from <br> properties <br> fronting <br> onto site, <br> - Visible by <br> traffic - <br> moderate <br> residential <br> volume and <br> speed <br> - Visible from footpaths <br> 100\% overlooked <br> -0\% not <br> overlooked | Degree to which site is 'inclusive' <br> - Open during daylight <br> - Level even surfaces for pushchairs \& wheelchairs <br> - Seating opportunities both formal and informal <br> 100\% inclusive - 0\% not inclusive | Proximity to other services \& facilities Opportunities to travel to play on route to other events <br> - Shops, community buildings, school | Does it have highest catchment coverage Within $480 \mathrm{~m} / 10 \mathrm{~min}$ walk time <br> 100\% full residential coverage-0\% no coverage | Accessibility barriers Physical <br> - Railway lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpath links etc. <br> Social <br> - Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children | Play value - Children's criteria <br> (must have at least 5 out of 7) <br> - Opportunities to run/walk <br> - Hard surface to cycle, basketball, roller stake, skateboard <br> - Ability to play ball games <br> - Opportunities to sit/hang out <br> - Risky play - climbing, jumping, swinging <br> - Natural play - hills, tress <br> - Well maintained - bins, litter <br> $100 \% 5$ out of $7-0 \% 0$ out of 7 | Equipment quality Broken equipment or in need of repair | Overall comments <br> Good site offering play opportunities for a range of ages. Potential to introduce natural play, also to expand play areas within the wider green space. <br> Explore potential for additional site located in the south of the settlement. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 40\% | 100\% | 100\% | 50\% | 100\% Physical, 100\% Social | 100\% | 80\% |  |
|  | Comments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Not overlooked by properties or the road. Visible from users of the adjacent village hall and recreation ground. | There are a number of seats near the play equipment, a good footpath is adjacent to the play equipment. | Located close to the school, village hall and local shops. | Located in the north of the village which leaves the south of the settlement without provision. | Physical: <br> None <br> Social: <br> None | Opportunities to run/walk, Hard surface, <br> Ability to play ball games, Opportunities to sit/hang out, Risky play, <br> Well maintained | The majority of equipment is in good condition, some pieces of equipment ned refurbishing. |  |
|  | Suggested Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | No potential to improve this score. | None | None | No potential to improve this score. | None | Potential to introduce natural play into wider green space. | Refurbish the older pieces of equipment. | $\frac{\text { Total Score: }}{670 / 740}$ |
|  | Max score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 40\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\%/100\% | 100\% | 100\% |  |



| Degree to which play area is overlooked, perception of safety - <br> - Visible from properties fronting onto site, <br> - Visible by traffic moderate residential volume and speed <br> - Visible from footpaths 100\% overlooked -0\% not overlooked | Degree to which site is 'inclusive' <br> - Open during daylight <br> - Level even surfaces for pushchairs \& wheelchairs <br> - Seating opportunities both formal and informal | Proximity to other services \& facilities Opportunities to travel to play on route to other events <br> - Shops, community buildings, school | Does it have highest catchment coverage Within $480 \mathrm{~m} / 10$ min walk time <br> 100\% full residential coverage - $0 \%$ no coverage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Accessibility barriers |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Physical |  |
| - | Railway lines, busy <br> roads, canals, poor <br> footpath links etc. |
| Social <br> - <br> Local youth <br> disputes, negative <br> attitudes of <br> residents to <br> children |  |
| $100 \%$ no barriers - 0\% |  |
| barriers |  |

Play value - Children's criteria
(must have at least 5 out of 7)

- Opportunities to
run/walk
- Hard surface to cycle, basketball, roller stake skateboard
- Ability to play ball games
- Opportunities to sit/hang out
- Risky play - climbing,
jumping, swinging
- Natural play - hills, tress
- Well maintained - bins, litter
$100 \% 5$ out of $7-0 \% 0$ out of 7

Equipment quality Broken equipment or in need of repair

An excellent site, which offers a unique facility within the village, and the District. Beautifully maintained.
$100 \%$ excellen condition - 0\% poor condition
100\%

| Score | $80 \%$ | $20 \%$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $20 \%$ | $\mid$ |  |  |
| Comments | Visibility is limited <br> due to location. <br> Site is visible from <br> the adjacent <br> cricket ground <br> and hospice. | There is a good footpath <br> through the site, though <br> the site is located on a <br> hill so may be difficult <br> for some wheeled users. <br> Numerous benches in <br> the site, located <br> adjacent to the path. | Site is located near <br> the cricket ground. |


| Suggested Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No potential to <br> improve this <br> score. | None | Limited potential to <br> improve this score. | No potential to <br> improve this. | None | Due to the nature of this site <br> introducing hard surfaces <br> wouldn't be appropriate. | None |






## Play Location

Kings Bromley, Alrewas Road

Small play area with swings, slide and climbing frame
 $\cdots$ ory
Degree to which
play area is
overlooked,
perception of safety -

- Visible from properties fronting onto site,
- Visible by traffic moderate residential volume and speed
- Visible from footpaths
$100 \%$ overlooked
0\% not
- 

over

| Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75\% | 100\% | 100\% | 40\% | 80\% Physical, 100\% Social | 100\% | 100\% |
| Comments |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Site is overlooked by houses on two sides also overlooked by the village hall. | Site is flat with seating. The only informal seating would be the grassed area. | Site is close the primary school, and adjacent to the village hall. | Catchment covers the majority of the village. | Physical: <br> Alrewas Road is busy with high speeds. The pedestrian crossing is a short walk away from the play area. <br> Social: <br> None | Opportunities to run/walk, Ability to play ball games, Opportunities to sit/hang out, Risky play, Well maintained | All in good condition, swings and slide look like they've been recently painted and the climbing frame looks new. |
| Suggested Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | Potential to introduce more informal seating. | None | None |  | Opportunity to introduce either natural play or hard surfacing. | None |
| Max score |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 75\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% / 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

Physical

- Railway lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpath links etc. Social
- Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children

100\% no barriers - 0\% barriers

Play value - Children's criteria (must have at least 5 out of 7)

## Opportunities to

run/walk

- Hard surface to cycle, basketball, roller stake skateboard
- Ability to play ball games
- Opportunities to sit/hang out
- Risky play - climbing, jumping, swinging
- Natural play - hills, tress
- Well maintained - bins, litter
$100 \% 5$ out of $7-0 \% 0$ out of 7


## Equipment

## quality

Broken
equipment or in need of repair

100\% excellent condition - 0\% poor condition
coverage - 0\% no coverage

## Overall comments

A good site with equipment in good condition. This site offers the only play facility in the village.

Small site with limited potential to further improve this site with additional play equipment, natural play or hard surfaces for ball games etc.


Degree to which
play area is
overlooked,
perception of
perception of
safety -

- Visible from properties fronting onto site,
- Visible by traffic moderate residential volume and speed
- Visible from footpaths 100\% overlooked 0\% not overlooked
Score

| Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20\% | 50\% | 20\% | 100\% | 100\% Physical, 100\% Social | 100\% | 90\% |
| Comments |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overlooked from footpaths through the green space, but no houses or roads overlook the site. | Footpaths in good condition with plenty of formal and informal seating throughout the site. | Located a distance from facilities but within the wider facilities of the Millennium Green. | Although this site is located outside the settlement the catchment covers the whole village, and the site is accessible by a number of footpaths and entrances. | Physical: <br> None <br> Social: <br> None | Opportunities to run/walk, Ability to play ball games, Opportunities to sit/hang out, Risky play, Natural play, Well maintained. <br> Introducing hard surfaces to this site wouldn't be appropriate due to its natural setting. | Equipment is in good condition. At time of visit part of the climbing frame was unusable and section off, unsure as to the reason. |
| Suggested Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No potential to improve this score. | None | No potential to improve this score. | None | None | Explore opportunities for additional equipment within the wider green space. | Repair climbing frame. |
| Max score |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20\% | 100\% | 20\% | 100\% | 100\%/100\% | 100\% | 100\% |


| Degree to which site is 'inclusive' <br> - Open during daylight <br> - Level even surfaces for pushchairs \& wheelchairs <br> - Seating opportunities both formal and informal | Proximity to other services \& facilities Opportunities to travel to play on route to other events <br> - Shops, community buildings, school | Does it have highest catchment coverage Within $480 \mathrm{~m} / 10$ min walk time | Accessibility barriers <br> Physical <br> - Railway lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpath links etc. <br> Social <br> - Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $100 \%$ inclusive - 0\% not inclusive | 100\% multi facilities <br> -0\% no facilities | 100\% full residential coverage-0\% no coverage | 100\% no barriers - 0\% barriers |

value - Children's criteria (must have at least 5 out of 7 )

- Opportunities to
run/walk
- Hard surface to cycle, basketball, roller stake skateboard
- Ability to play ball games Opportunities to sit/hang out
- Risky play - climbing, jumping, swinging
- Natural play - hills, tress
- Well maintained - bins, litter
$100 \% 5$ out of $7-0 \% 0$ out of 7

Equipment quality Broken equipment or in need of repair

Good green space which is excellently maintained and offers a lot of accessible green space for the village However the equipped play element of the site is limited to a small area.

Potential to explore opportunities to improve this through additional play equipment and natural play.

Total Score: 580/ 640

| Play Location <br> Colton, Bellamour Way <br> This site hosts play equipment for young children, swings and football posts. | Degree to which play area is overlooked, perception of safety - <br> - Visible from properties fronting onto site, <br> - Visible by traffic moderate residential volume and speed <br> - Visible from footpaths 100\% overlooked -0\% not overlooked | Degree to which site is 'inclusive' <br> - Open during daylight <br> - Level even surfaces for pushchairs \& wheelchairs <br> - Seating opportunities both formal and informal <br> 100\% inclusive - 0\% not inclusive | Proximity to other services \& facilities Opportunities to travel to play on route to other events <br> - Shops, community buildings, school | Does it have highest catchment coverage Within $480 \mathrm{~m} / 10 \mathrm{~min}$ walk time <br> $100 \%$ full residential coverage - 0\% no coverage | Accessibility barriers Physical <br> - Railway lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpath links etc. <br> Social <br> - Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children | Play value - Children's criteria (must have at least 5 out of 7) <br> - Opportunities to run/walk <br> - Hard surface to cycle, basketball, roller stake, skateboard <br> - Ability to play ball games <br> - Opportunities to sit/hang out <br> - Risky play - climbing, jumping, swinging <br> - Natural play - hills, tress <br> - Well maintained - bins, litter <br> $100 \% 5$ out of $7-0 \% 0$ out of 7 | Equipment quality Broken equipment or in need of repair <br> 100\% excellent condition - 0\% poor condition | Overall comments <br> Good site which offers a range of play opportunities within a well maintained wider green space. Caters mainly for younger children. <br> Potential to increase play value through natural play. Could add hard surfacing (eg: MUGA) or other equipment to cater for older children/teenagers. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20\% | 100\% | 100\% | 50\% | 100\% Physical, 100\% Social | 100\% | 90\% |  |
|  | Comments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Only overlooked by village hall. Not visible from footpaths or traffic. | Level surfaces throughout, all hard surfacing around equipment. Lots of formal seating. | Site is adjacent to the village hall. School is short distance along the street. | Majority of the village is within the catchment, although much of the catchment is countryside due to location on edge on settlement. | Physical: <br> None | Opportunities to run/walk, Ability to play ball games, Opportunities to sit/hang out, Risky play, Well maintained. | Most of equipment is in good condition. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Social: <br> None |  |  |  |
|  | Suggested Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | No potential to improve this score. | None | None | No potential to improve this score. | None | Potential to introduce natural play and hard surfaces within wider green space. | None |  |
|  | Max score |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { Total Score: }}{620 / 670}$ |
|  | 20\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\%/100\% | 100\% | 100\% |  |



Drayton Bassett, Rectory Close
Play equipment, MUGA, football posts




| Score |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40\% | 100\% | 100\% | 30\% | 100\% Physical, 100\% Social | 100\% |
| Comments |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overlooked by some properties to one side. Not visible from roads or footpaths. Visible to other users of the wider green space. | Site is level with plenty of seating on offer. | Site is located within walking distance of local shop and school. | Site is well located, despite its edge of settlement location the whole village is within the catchment. | Physical: <br> None <br> Social: <br> None | Opportunities to run/walk, Hard surface, <br> Ability to play ball games, Opportunities to sit/hang out, Risky play, <br> Well maintained |

## Degree to which play area is

 overlooked, perception of safety -- Visible from properties fronting onto site,
- Visible by
traffic -
moderate residential volume and speed
- Visible from footpaths 100\% overlooked -0\% not overlooked

| Degree to which site is 'inclusive' <br> - Open during daylight <br> - Level even surfaces for | Proximity to other services \& facilities Opportunities to travel to play on route to other events | Does it have highest catchment coverage Within $480 \mathrm{~m} / 10 \mathrm{~min}$ walk time | Accessibility barriers Physical <br> - Railway lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpath links etc. <br> Social |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Play value - Children's criteria (must have at least 5 out of 7)

- Opportunities to
run/walk
- Hard surface to cycle, basketball, roller stake, skateboard
- Ability to play ball games Opportunities to sit/hang out
- Risky play - climbing, jumping, swinging
- Natural play - hills, tress
- Well maintained - bins, litter

$$
100 \% 5 \text { out of } 7-0 \% 0 \text { out of } 7
$$

100\% excellen condition - 0\% poor condition

100\% no barriers - 0\%
barriers

## Equipment

## quality

Broken
equipment or in need of repair
not inclusive

0\% no facilitie coverage-0\% no coverage

80\%
Recreation
Ground
Ground


|  | All equipment is <br> in working order <br> but in need of <br> repainting. The <br> MUGA is in need <br> of some <br> improvements <br> and <br> maintenance. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Good site which offers a good play value and is well located within the settlement.

The MUGA requires some maintenance and improvements and the equipment needs repainting.

## Play Location

## Edingale, Off Moores Croft

There are three areas to this site: a fenced off area of play equipment for young children, an adventure park and a MUGA.


## Degree to which play area is erception of

 safety -- Visible from properties fronting onto site,
- Visible by traffic moderate residential volume and speed
- Visible from footpaths


## 100\% overlooked

-0\% not overlooked

## Degree to which site is

 - inclusive'daylight

- Level even surfaces for pushchairs \& wheelchairs
- Seating opportunities both formal and informal
$100 \%$ inclusive - 0\% not inclusive

Proximity to other
services \& facilities services \& facilities Opportunities to travel to play on route to other events

- Shops, community buildings, school
$100 \%$ multi facilities


## 100\% full

residential
coverage-0\% no coverage
Does it have
highest catchment
coverage
Within 480m /10
min walk time

## Accessibility barriers

- Railway lines, busy
roads, canals, poor footpath links etc. Social
- Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children

100\% no barriers - 0\% barriers

| Scor |
| :--- | :--- |
| $60 \%$ |


| Score |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Comments } \\ \hline\end{array} \begin{array}{l}\text { Overlooked on } \\ \text { two sides by } \\ \text { adjacent village } \\ \text { hall and houses. } \\ \text { The adventure } \\ \text { park is not } \\ \text { particularly } \\ \text { overlooked. }\end{array}$ | \(\left.\begin{array}{l}Access for wheeled <br>

users may be difficult in <br>
some parts of the site. <br>
No formal footpath <br>
through site. <br>
Plenty of seating <br>
available.\end{array}\right\}\)

| $100 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | and MUGA

excellent condition. Fenced play area working order but would benefit

| Suggested Improvemen |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Potential to | Fo |


| Potential to <br> reduce hedge <br> height along road <br> to improve <br> visibility. | Footpath to improve <br> accessibility through and <br> within site. | None |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Max score |  |  |

Play value - Children's criteria
(must have at least 5 out of 7)

- Opportunities to
run/walk
- Hard surface to cycle, basketball, roller stake, skateboard
- Ability to play ball games Opportunities to sit/hang out
- Risky play - climbing, jumping, swinging
- Natural play - hills, tress
- Well maintained - bins, litter
$100 \% 5$ out of $7-0 \% 0$ out of 7

Equipment quality Broken equipment or in need of repair

Very well maintained site offering play opportunities for a range of ages.

A footpath through the site would make it more accessible for wheeled users.

There is no formal seating by the adventure park but there is informal seating.







