

Open Space Assessment 2012 Appendices

1	Amenity Greenspace & Equipped Play Qualitative Scoring	3
2	Amenity Greenspace Physical Quality Scoring	9
3	Equipped Play Physical Quality & Play Value Scoring	17
4	Summary of Consultation	56

1 Amenity Greenspace & Equipped Play Qualitative Scoring

Physical Quality Score

1.1 The following assessment score sheet is derived from 'Assessing Needs and Opportunities'. This scoring system has been used on previous versions of the Open Space Assessment, and is used again to provide continuity between the old and current Assessments. As was described within the main document this assessment has been altered slightly with each site now being assessed against it's maximum potential with a final score given as a percentage. This has made all sites comparable with sites not scoring poorly where certain elements of the scoring may not have been applicable.

Element		Score				
		1	2	3	4	5
Main Entrance						
Boundary Conditions						
Roads, Paths & Access						
Planted Areas						
Grassed Areas						
Facilities	Bins					
	Seats					
	Toilets					
	Parking					
	Lighting					
	Information					
Cleanliness						
Events Programme						
Total Score (Out of 62)						
Maximum Potential Score (Site by Site basis)						
Total Score %						

Table 1.1 Physical Quality Score

Attributes	Description	Scores
Main entrance	Easy to find, with a welcome/ advisory sign appropriate size, clear, clean, tidy, well maintained and inviting	4

Attributes	Description	Scores
	Appropriate size, clear, clean, tidy, well maintained and inviting	3
	Obvious, open inviting and clean	2
	Apparent as an entrance and clean	1
Boundaries (including hedges, gates etc)	All clearly defined and well maintained	3
	All clearly defined – maintenance 'patchy'	2
	All clearly defined – maintenance needed	1
Roads, Paths, cycle-ways and accesses	Suitable materials, level for safe use, edges well defined, surfaces clean and debris and weed free, good disabled access throughout	5
	Suitable materials, level for safe use, edges well defined, disabled access in most areas	4
	Suitable materials, level for safe use, some disabled access	3
	Suitable materials but with some faults, disabled access poor	2
	Road/paths in correct place, but in need of obvious repair, disabled access poor and very restricted	1
Planted Areas (trees, shrubs, floral areas etc)	Numerous planting, with appropriate mix of plants, installed and maintained to a very high standard	5
	Numerous plants, with an appropriate mix of plants, installed and maintained to a reasonable standard	4
	Numerous plants, with an appropriate mix of plants and 'patchy' maintenance	3
	Limited range of plants, maintenance acceptable	2
	Limited planting with limited maintenance	1

Attributes	Description	Scores
Grass areas	Full grass cover throughout, dense sward, good colour and cleanly cut	5
	Full grass cover throughout, dense sward, good colour and cleanly cut, few weeds, grass cut frequently to keep length short	4
	Full grass cover throughout main area but some 'thin' patches evident; some bald areas discreet; grass cut frequently but length excessive between cuts, cut quality good (no tearing)	3
	General grass cover average and patchy with some bald patches, cut infrequently or at poor frequency, clippings obvious or cut quality poor	2
	General grass cover poor, wear has led to patchy and 1 poor cover with little or no serious attempts to correct the problem, clippings obvious and cut quality poor	1
	Facilities: Bins	Numerous and in good condition
Numerous and in average condition		4
Adequate number in good/average condition		3
Insufficient number but in good condition		2
Insufficient number in poor condition		1
Seats	Numerous for the size of the site and in good condition	5
	Numerous for the size of the site and in average condition	4
	Adequate number in good/average condition	3
	Insufficient seats but in good condition	2
	Insufficient seats in poor condition	1

Attributes	Description	Scores
Toilets	Provided within the park, easy to access, signed and well maintained	5
	Provided within or adjacent to the park, difficult to find, maintenance/condition is average	4
	Provided within the park or adjacent to it and visible, but not well cared for and generally uninviting	3
	Provided within the park or adjacent to it, but in very poor condition and generally avoided by park users	2
	Temporary toilet provision for events only	1
Parking	Parking provided integral to, or adjacent to the park, adequate spaces, site clean, tidy, in good condition and well signed	5
	Parking provided integral to, or adjacent to the park, adequate spaces but maintenance could be better	4
	Parking provided integral to, or adjacent to the park, limited spaces, maintenance good or reasonable	3
	Parking provided integral to, or adjacent to the park, limited space, maintenance poor	2
	Parking provision very limited	1
Lighting	Good lighting scheme installed and well maintained	3
	Reasonable lighting scheme installed	2
	Poor lighting scheme	1
Information	Information available for locals and visitors (could be on boards or leaflet form) in some detail	2
	Limited information about the park made available	1

Attributes	Description	Scores
Cleanliness	No evidence of litter, dog fouling or graffiti	5
	Very limited evidence of litter, dog fouling or graffiti	4
	Litter, dog fouling no worse than Grade B	3
	Litter, dog fouling no worse than Grade C	2
	Litter, dog fouling no worse than Grade D	1
Events programme	Full events programme with supporting leaflets	5
	Full events programme	4
	Events programme is in place which delivers at least one major event each year with some minor events	3
	Some events	2
	An event	1

Table 1.2 Scoring System

Play Value Score

1.2 The methodology for assessing the 'play value' of areas of equipped play is included within the Children & Young People's chapter of the main document. This element of quality scoring is new to this document and uses a series of criteria devised from consultation for the play strategy and is designed to consider the quality of play at each site. In a similar manner to the physical quality scoring each site has been scored and given a potential maximum score to which the site should aspire. Each Criteria can score up to 100%, however where a certain criteria may not be 100% applicable to a particular site

Degree to which play area is overlooked	Degree to which site is 'inclusive'	Proximity to other services/facilities	Does it have highest catchment coverage (within 480m/10min walk time)	Accessibility barriers		Play value - Children's Criteria	Equipment Quality	Overall Comments/ Final Score
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Visible from properties fronting onto the site Visible by traffic (moderate residential volume and speed) Visible from footpaths 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Open during daylight Level, even surfaces for pushchairs/wheelchairs Seating opportunities (both formal and informal) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Opportunities to travel to play on route to other events/facilities Proximity to shops, community buildings and schools 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Residential areas within the 480m/10min walk time buffer. 	<p>Physical Barriers -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Railways lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpaths 	<p>Social Barriers -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Opportunities to run/walk Hard surface to cycle, rollerskate, basketball, skateboard Ability to play ball games Opportunities to sit/hang out Risky play - climbing, jumping, swinging Natural play - hills, trees Well maintained - bins, rubbish 	<p>Quality of equipment, presence of any broken equipment or need of repair</p>	<p>Current Score = sum of all current scores</p> <p>Maximum Score = sum of all maximum scores.</p>
100% = overlooked	100% = inclusive	100% = close to multiple facilities	100% = full residential coverage	100% = no barriers		100% = 5+ out of 7	100% = excellent condition	
0% = not overlooked	0% = not inclusive	0% = no facilities in close proximity	0% = no residential coverage	0% = barriers present		0% = none out of 7	0% = poor condition	

Table 1.3

2 Amenity Greenspace Physical Quality Scoring

Name	Area (m ²)	Main Entrance	Boundary Conditions	Roads & Plantar	Grassland Areas	Bin	Seats	Toilets	Parking	Lighting	Information	Cleanliness	Events Program	Total	Max Total	%	Location		
Max Scores Per Attribute		4	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	62	62	100			
Gorstey Ley	6568	3	1	3	1	2	1	1	N/A	5	2	1	4	N/A	24	52	46	Burntwood	
Chasetown Memorial Park	5523	4	3	5	3	4	2	3	2	2	0	1	5	N/A	34	57	60	Burntwood	
Adjacent to Ridgeway Primary	5599	3	3	4	4	4	0	0	N/A	1	1	N/A	3	N/A	23	47	49	Burntwood	
St Matthews Rd/ Glasscroft Co	4256	1	1	1	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	1	N/A	5	N/A	11	32	34	Burntwood	
Nightingale Walk	2514	2	2	3	3	3	0	0	N/A	1	1	N/A	5	N/A	20	47	43	Burntwood	
Derwent Grove/ Dove Close	3900	N/A	3	2	3	3	4	0	0	N/A	1	1	N/A	5	N/A	19	48	40	Burntwood
Stour Close	3092	3	2	3	3	4	0	0	N/A	1	1	N/A	4	N/A	21	47	45	Burntwood	
Scholars Gate	827.6	N/A	3	N/A	4	5	0	0	N/A	1	1	N/A	5	N/A	19	38	50	Burntwood	
Scholars Gate	1169	N/A	2	N/A	2	4	0	0	N/A	1	1	N/A	5	N/A	15	38	39	Burntwood	
Church Lane	43430	1	2	1	5	3	3	3	0	4	0	4	2	4	32	62	52	Burntwood	
Hunslet Road	11020	2	3	3	4	4	3	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	4	N/A	23	47	49	Burntwood	
Keble Close	4456	1	2	2	4	4	1	0	N/A	1	1	N/A	4	N/A	20	47	43	Burntwood	
New Road	4123	2	1	0	2	1	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	3	N/A	9	47	19	Burntwood	
Hawthorne Crescent	2002	2	2	2	2	3	0	0	N/A	1	0	N/A	4	N/A	16	47	34	Burntwood	
Sycamore Road/ Lilac Grove	522.4	3	2	5	2	4	0	3	N/A	2	2	N/A	5	N/A	28	47	60	Burntwood	
Sycamore Road/ Lilac grove	543.6	3	2	5	2	4	0	3	N/A	2	2	N/A	4	N/A	27	47	57	Burntwood	
Behind Bridge Cross Club	3301	1	2	2	1	2	0	0	N/A	3	1	N/A	3	N/A	15	47	32	Burntwood	
Robinson Road	1568	3	3	1	2	4	1	0	N/A	3	1	N/A	4	N/A	22	47	47	Burntwood	
Kingsdown Road	7890	2	1	1	2	4	0	0	N/A	0	1	N/A	3	N/A	14	47	30	Burntwood	
Rugeley Road/ Duke Road	2030	3	3	4	4	4	0	1	N/A	0	1	N/A	3	N/A	23	47	49	Burntwood	
Rugeley Road/ Duke Road	644.9	3	3	4	4	4	0	1	N/A	0	1	N/A	4	N/A	24	47	51	Burntwood	
Spinney Lane	1197	1	1	3	3	2	0	0	N/A	4	1	N/A	3	N/A	18	47	38	Burntwood	
School Lane	1733	2	2	3	4	4	0	0	4	4	1	N/A	4	0	28	57	49	Burntwood	
Ironstone Road	2904	2	2	1	2	4	0	0	N/A	0	1	N/A	3	N/A	15	47	32	Burntwood	
Fair Lady Drive	1591	3	1	2	4	2	0	0	N/A	1	1	N/A	3	N/A	17	47	36	Burntwood	
Fair Lady Drive	1503	3	2	3	4	3	0	0	N/A	1	1	N/A	4	N/A	21	47	45	Burntwood	
Coulson Close	7569	2	2	3	4	4	0	0	N/A	0	1	N/A	4	N/A	20	47	43	Burntwood	
Longstaff Croft	1937	0	2	0	0	3	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	5	N/A	10	47	21	Lichfield	
Kean Close	2473	1	2	5	0	4	4	0	N/A	0	2	N/A	5	N/A	23	47	49	Lichfield	
Irving Close	636.3	1	2	5	0	4	4	0	N/A	0	2	N/A	5	N/A	23	47	49	Lichfield	
Furnival Crescent	1124	N/A	3	0	3	3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	4	N/A	13	38	34	Lichfield	
Furnival Crescent (Square)	1545	N/A	3	0	3	3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	4	N/A	13	38	34	Lichfield	
Mallicot Close	1115	2	1	3	2	2	3	0	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	3	N/A	16	42	38	Lichfield	
The Mill Pond	3845	0	2	4	0	4	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	5	N/A	15	47	32	Lichfield	
St Michaels Road	4756	0	3	0	0	4	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	5	N/A	12	47	26	Lichfield	

Broad Lane/ Roman Way	4830	3	3	3	2	3	0	0	N/A	1	2	N/A	4	N/A	21	47	45	Lichfield
Warren Close	3559	0	3	4	0	4	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	5	N/A	16	47	34	Lichfield
Gable Croft	2830	0	3	5	0	4	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	5	N/A	17	47	36	Lichfield
Tamworth Rd	7984	2	3	3	3	3	2	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	4	N/A	20	47	43	Lichfield
Wordsworth Close	13790	3	3	3	3	3	2	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	4	N/A	21	47	45	Lichfield
Boley Lane	12510	0	1	4	2	1	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	4	N/A	12	47	26	Lichfield
Roman Way	5672	2	3	3	4	4	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	4	N/A	20	47	43	Lichfield
Roman Way opposite co-op	4370	1	3	3	2	3	0	0	N/A	1	2	N/A	4	N/A	19	47	40	Lichfield
Eastern Avenue/ Cricket Pitch	4749	1	1	1	2	1	0	N/A	N/A	0	0	N/A	3	N/A	9	42	21	Lichfield
Eastern Avenue/ Dimbles Lane	3685	0	1	0	4	1	0	0	N/A	0	2	N/A	3	N/A	11	47	23	Lichfield
Eastern Avenue/ Grange Lane	21120	0	2	3	3	3	0	N/A	N/A	0	2	N/A	4	N/A	17	42	40	Lichfield
Eastern Avenue/ Health Centre	6104	1	3	3	3	3	0	0	N/A	2	1	N/A	3	N/A	19	47	40	Lichfield
Eastern Avenue/ Judes Walk	4353	1	3	2	2	4	1	0	N/A	1	1	N/A	4	N/A	19	47	40	Lichfield
Thomas Greenway	673.5	1	2	4	3	2	2	3	N/A	1	2	N/A	3	N/A	23	47	49	Lichfield
Greencroft/ Collins Hill	1196	2	3	4	2	2	0	0	N/A	2	2	N/A	4	N/A	21	47	45	Lichfield
Windmill Lane	1480	0	1	1	1	2	0	0	0	2	2	N/A	3	N/A	12	47	26	Lichfield
The Friary	1714	0	1	0	2	2	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	4	N/A	9	42	21	Lichfield
Friary Road, Lichfield Library	727.3	1	2	1	2	3	0	1	N/A	3	0	N/A	5	N/A	18	47	38	Lichfield
Staffordshire University	2587	2	3	4	4	3	0	0	N/A	5	3	N/A	5	N/A	29	47	62	Lichfield
Christchurch	17650	1	2	2	4	3	0	0	N/A	3	0	N/A	4	N/A	19	47	40	Lichfield
Netherstowe	17340	3	2	5	2	4	5	0	N/A	4	3	N/A	3	N/A	31	47	66	Lichfield
Dimbles Hill/ Leyfields	3373	1	2	1	1	2	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	3	N/A	10	47	21	Lichfield
David Garrick Gardens	6264	3	2	5	0	4	5	0	N/A	5	2	N/A	4	N/A	30	47	64	Lichfield
St Catherines Road	1127	0	3	2	2	3	0	0	N/A	1	1	N/A	3	N/A	15	47	32	Lichfield
Francis Road	1655	0	2	1	2	3	0	0	N/A	1	1	N/A	3	N/A	13	47	28	Lichfield
Eastern Avenue, Christian Fields	32980	1	2	2	4	4	1	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	4	N/A	18	47	38	Lichfield
Museum Grounds, Beacon Park	8212	4	3	5	5	5	5	5	4	1	2	4	4	0	47	62	76	Lichfield
Museum Grounds, Beacon Park	8563	4	3	5	5	5	5	5	4	1	2	4	4	0	47	62	76	Lichfield
Friary Gardens	21830	3	3	5	4	3	5	4	0	4	0	0	4	0	35	62	56	Lichfield
Western Bypass, Beacon Park	18680	1	3	2	2	2	2	0	N/A	0	1	N/A	4	N/A	17	47	36	Lichfield
North of Hillside	5683	0	2	5	0	3	4	0	N/A	0	3	N/A	4	N/A	21	47	45	Lichfield
Mesnes Green	4579	N/A	3	0	0	4	3	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	5	N/A	15	43	35	Lichfield
Cedar Road	655.5	2	2	2	0	3	0	N/A	N/A	1	1	N/A	3	N/A	14	42	33	Burntwood
Hawthorne Crescent	1805	2	2	2	2	3	0	0	N/A	2	1	N/A	4	N/A	18	47	38	Burntwood
Birch Avenue/ Oakdene Road	779.8	2	3	1	3	4	0	0	N/A	1	1	N/A	5	N/A	20	47	43	Burntwood
Birch Avenue	2305	2	3	3	2	4	0	0	N/A	1	1	N/A	4	N/A	20	47	43	Burntwood
Baker Street	1514	0	1	1	2	3	0	N/A	N/A	1	1	N/A	3	N/A	12	42	29	Burntwood
Foxton Close	4535	2	2	2	3	4	0	0	N/A	0	1	N/A	4	N/A	18	47	38	Alrewas

Oakfield Road	1382	3	2	3	2	3	0	N/A	N/A	0	0	N/A	4	N/A	17	42	40	Alrewas
Deepmore Close	1539	3	3	3	2	4	0	0	N/A	1	0	N/A	5	N/A	21	47	45	Alrewas
Church Road	572.8	0	2	3	4	4	0	5	N/A	1	0	1	4	N/A	24	52	46	Alrewas
Kings Bromley Road/ Manor F	1237	3	2	2	2	3	0	0	N/A	0	1	N/A	2	N/A	15	47	32	Alrewas
Shropshire Brook Road/ Chase	1069	3	3	4	2	4	0	0	N/A	0	1	N/A	5	N/A	22	47	47	Armitage With Handsacre
Shropshire Brook Road/ Manor	8519	3	2	2	3	3	0	0	N/A	1	1	N/A	2	N/A	17	47	36	Armitage With Handsacre
Hill Top View	234.7	1	1	4	2	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	14	62	23	Armitage With Handsacre
Hill Top View	209.8	1	1	4	2	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	14	37	38	Armitage With Handsacre
Chesnut Close	1886	3	3	4	4	4	0	0	N/A	1	0	N/A	5	N/A	24	47	51	Armitage With Handsacre
Warren Close	1112	3	3	4	4	5	0	0	N/A	0	1	N/A	5	N/A	25	47	53	Armitage With Handsacre
Pedley's Croft, Bellamour Way	3809	3	3	4	4	4	3	3	N/A	4	1	1	4	2	36	57	63	Colton
Main Street	634.1	N/A	2	2	2	3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	13	28	46	Clifton Campville
Chestnut Lane	417.3	N/A	3	0	4	5	0	0	N/A	1	1	N/A	5	N/A	19	43	44	Clifton Campville
Salts Lane	258.9	3	3	3	3	4	0	N/A	N/A	1	1	1	5	N/A	24	47	51	Drayton Bassett
Old Manor Close	644.4	3	3	4	3	4	0	0	N/A	1	1	1	5	N/A	25	52	48	Drayton Bassett
Blakeways Close (North)	1195	3	3	2	3	3	2	0	N/A	1	1	N/A	4	N/A	22	47	47	Edingale
School Lane/ Main Road	318.2	3	2	5	2	3	N/A	0	N/A	1	1	N/A	4	1	22	47	47	Edingale
Main Road Opposite Blakeway	582.6	3	3	2	3	3	2	0	N/A	1	1	N/A	4	N/A	22	47	47	Edingale
Land between Main Road and	1418	3	3	4	3	4	0	N/A	N/A	1	1	N/A	5	N/A	24	42	57	Edingale
Land between Main Road and	1083	3	3	4	3	4	0	N/A	N/A	1	1	N/A	5	N/A	24	42	57	Edingale
Woodyards Drive	398.1	3	3	3	3	4	2	2	N/A	1	1	1	5	N/A	28	52	54	Edingale
The Shrubbery/ The Hill	4531	3	2	3	3	3	2	3	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	26	42	62	Elford
The Shrubbery/ A513 (Classific	3295	3	2	3	3	3	2	3	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	26	42	62	Elford
Hay End Lane	15300	2	2	4	3	3	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	4	0	18	57	32	Fradley
The Moor	2252	0	1	1	2	1	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5	N/A	10	37	27	Fradley
Statfold Lane	1782	3	2	3	3	3	0	0	N/A	1	1	N/A	3	N/A	19	47	40	Fradley

Churchside	1431	3	3	4	2	4	0	0	N/A	1	1	N/A	5	N/A	23	47	49	Harlaston
Hawkhurst Drive	1411	3	3	3	2	1	4	0	N/A	1	1	N/A	2	N/A	20	47	43	Hill Ridware
Chadwick Crescent	616.2	3	3	3	3	4	0	0	N/A	1	1	N/A	5	N/A	23	47	49	Hill Ridware
Village Hall/ Rookery Lane	1198	4	2	5	3	3	2	3	4	4	0	1	5	3	39	62	63	Hints
Rookery Lane	3826	2	2	2	3	0	0	0	N/A	1	0	N/A	5	N/A	15	47	32	Hints
Church Drive	3637	2	2	3	2	2	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	5	N/A	16	47	34	Hopwas
Yoxall Road	898.3	3	3	3	2	3	0	N/A	N/A	0	1	N/A	4	N/A	19	42	45	Kngs Bromley
Leofric Close	1053	3	3	3	2	5	0	0	N/A	0	1	N/A	5	N/A	22	47	47	Kings Bromley
Berkeley Way/ Beech Walk	1826	3	3	3	4	4	0	0	N/A	1	1	N/A	4	N/A	23	47	49	Longdon
Bottom of Brook End/ A51	589.2	3	2	5	2	3	0	2	N/A	0	0	N/A	5	N/A	22	47	47	Longdon
St James Close/ Brook End	1135	3	2	3	2	3	0	2	N/A	0	0	N/A	5	N/A	20	47	43	Longdon
A51	2381	3	2	3	2	2	2	0	N/A	2	1	N/A	4	N/A	21	47	45	Longdon
Birmingham Road	2576	N/A	2	3	3	3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	4	N/A	15	38	39	Shenstone
Greysbrooke	807.5	N/A	2	3	2	3	3	4	N/A	0	0	N/A	3	N/A	20	48	42	Shenstone
Main St/ Cartesfield Lane	325.4	N/A	2	4	2	2	0	N/A	N/A	1	1	N/A	4	N/A	16	38	42	Stonnall
Glenwood Rise	210.1	N/A	1	1	3	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	N/A	4	N/A	14	33	42	Stonnall
Burton Road	3596	3	2	3	2	3	2	3	N/A	1	0	N/A	4	N/A	23	47	49	Streethay
Bexmore Drive	1483	3	3	2	3	3	0	0	N/A	1	0	N/A	5	N/A	20	47	43	Streethay
Bramley Way	2299	3	3	3	2	4	3	2	N/A	1	0	N/A	5	N/A	26	47	55	Whittington
Langton Crescent	754	3	2	3	2	5	0	0	N/A	3	1	N/A	4	N/A	23	47	49	Whittington
Langton Crescent/ Main Street	845.9	3	3	3	2	3	3	0	N/A	1	1	1	4	N/A	24	52	46	Whittington
The Green	606.6	3	3	3	3	4	0	0	N/A	1	0	N/A	5	N/A	22	47	47	Whittington
Peregrine Close	8867	3	2	3	3	4	0	0	N/A	1	0	N/A	4	N/A	20	47	43	Whittington
Merlin Way	5460	2	3	3	3	2	2	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	5	N/A	20	47	43	Whittington
Blakeways Close (South)	535.6	N/A	3	2	3	3	2	0	N/A	1	1	N/A	4	N/A	19	43	44	Edingale
Balmoral Close	1792	3	3	4	4	4	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	5	N/A	23	47	49	Lichfield
Chatterton Avenue/ Alesmore I	206.9	N/A	3	2	4	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	5	N/A	19	28	68	Lichfield
Trunkfield Brook	40050	3	3	5	4	3	0	0	N/A	1	0	0	5	N/A	24	52	46	Lichfield
Cathedral Walk	23210	3	3	4	5	5	0	0	N/A	1	1	0	5	N/A	27	52	52	Lichfield
Allington Avenue	947.7	3	3	5	3	3	0	0	N/A	0	3	N/A	5	N/A	25	47	53	Lichfield
Maxtock Avenue	1258	3	3	5	5	3	0	0	N/A	0	2	N/A	5	N/A	26	47	55	Lichfield
Old Cricket Ground	10660	4	3	5	5	3	0	0	N/A	0	2	N/A	5	N/A	27	47	57	Lichfield
Burway Close	564.7	N/A	3	1	3	4	0	0	N/A	1	2	N/A	4	N/A	18	43	42	Lichfield
A51/ Top of Brookend Lane	1922	3	3	5	2	4	0	0	N/A	0	3	N/A	5	N/A	25	47	53	Longdon
Back of Club	3639	0	1	3	1	2	0	1	N/A	3	0	N/A	4	N/A	15	47	32	Longdon

Shavers Lane	236.9	N/A	3	2	4	3	2	1	N/A	1	0	N/A	5	N/A	21	43	49	Upper Longdon
Ashmall	1340	3	3	2	2	4	0	0	N/A	1	1	N/A	5	N/A	21	47	45	Hammerwich
Lanes Close	1035	4	3	5	5	5	0	0	N/A	0	3	N/A	5	N/A	30	47	64	Kings Bromley
Williams Avenue	1259	2	2	3	3	3	0	2	N/A	1	1	N/A	4	N/A	21	47	45	Fradley
Shropshire Brook Road	21690	4	3	4	3	4	0	3	4	4	0	0	4	3	36	62	58	Armitage With Handsacre
Shropshire Brook Road	3024	3	3	3	4	4	0	0	N/A	1	1	N/A	4	N/A	23	47	49	Armitage With Handsacre
Foxleigh Meadows	4498	3	3	3	4	3	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	4	N/A	20	47	43	Armitage With Handsacre
Hazel Close	771.2	3	3	3	4	4	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	4	N/A	21	47	45	Armitage With Handsacre
Peak Close	1535	3	3	3	4	3	2	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	4	N/A	22	47	47	Armitage With Handsacre
Harvey Road	291	3	2	3	0	2	0	0	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	3	N/A	15	42	36	Armitage With Handsacre
Harvey Road	256.5	3	2	3	0	2	0	0	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	3	N/A	15	42	36	Armitage With Handsacre
Millmoor Avenue	759	2	1	2	1	3	1	1	N/A	2	1	N/A	4	N/A	18	47	38	Armitage With Handsacre
Somerville Road/ Fox Lane	722.5	N/A	2	0	2	5	0	0	N/A	0	3	N/A	4	N/A	16	43	37	Alrewas
Fox Lane	713	N/A	2	0	2	5	0	0	N/A	0	3	N/A	4	N/A	16	43	37	Alrewas
Somerville Road	403.3	N/A	2	0	2	5	0	0	N/A	0	3	N/A	4	N/A	16	43	37	Alrewas
Somerville Road (Corner)?	242.3	N/A	2	0	2	3	0	0	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	4	N/A	11	38	29	Alrewas
Manor Road	1213	2	2	2	2	3	0	0	N/A	1	1	N/A	4	N/A	17	47	36	Fazeley
Gainsborough	2037	2	2	2	3	3	0	0	N/A	1	1	N/A	4	N/A	18	47	38	Fazeley
Fallow Road	688	2	2	2	2	4	0	0	N/A	1	1	N/A	4	N/A	18	47	38	Fazeley
Drayton Manor Drive	4297	1	1	2	4	1	0	0	N/A	1	0	N/A	4	N/A	14	47	30	Fazeley
Buxton Avenue	404.7	2	2	3	1	2	0	N/A	N/A	1	2	N/A	4	N/A	17	42	40	Fazeley
Buxton Avenue	1025	2	2	2	1	3	0	N/A	N/A	1	1	N/A	4	N/A	16	42	38	Fazeley
Mayfair Drive	3764	1	1	2	2	2	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	4	N/A	12	47	26	Fazeley
Darnford Park	65930	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	N/A	4	0	1	3	0	35	57	61	Lichfield

Balmoral Way	1486	0	3	3	3	4	0	0	N/A	1	0	N/A	4	N/A	18	47	38	Burntwood
Wordsworth	1128	3	3	3	1	3	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	4	N/A	17	47	36	Armitage with Handsacre
Hill Top View	570.2	1	4	2	2	3	0	0	N/A	1	0	N/A	3	N/A	16	47	34	Armitage with Handsacre
Fair Lady Drive	1305	3	3	3	4	4	0	0	N/A	1	1	N/A	5	N/A	24	47	51	Burntwood
Oak Drive/ Heathcote Drive	1160	3	3	3	2	3	0	0	N/A	2	0	N/A	1	N/A	17	47	36	Fazeley
Burntwood Park	26470	2	3	3	4	4	5	4	0	3	0	1	4	0	33	62	53	Burntwood
Adj Ridgeway Primary School	13190	3	3	4	4	4	2	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	4	N/A	24	47	51	Burntwood
Chasewater Open Space	4542	1	2	1	5	3	3	3	N/A	4	0	2	3	4	31	57	54	Burntwood
Chasewater Open Space	17030	1	2	1	5	3	3	3	N/A	4	0	2	3	4	31	57	54	Burntwood
Chasetown Football Club	1486	4	3	5	4	5	0	5	0	4	0	2	5	0	37	62	60	Burntwood
Chasetown Football Club	3987	4	3	5	4	5	0	5	0	4	0	2	5	0	37	62	60	Burntwood
Hunslet Road/ Boulton Close	10350	2	2	2	2	4	2	0	N/A	1	0	N/A	4	N/A	19	47	40	Burntwood
Redwood Park	36650	2	2	4	3	4	3	3	0	3	1	1	2	0	28	62	45	Burntwood
Chase View Park, Rochester A	14440	2	3	3	4	5	3	1	N/A	1	1	1	4	N/A	28	52	54	Burntwood
Viscount Road	2294	2	2	4	3	3	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	4	N/A	18	47	38	Burntwood
Common View	2539	2	3	4	3	4	0	0	N/A	0	1	N/A	4	N/A	21	62	34	Burntwood
Chase Terrace Park	11790	4	3	5	4	4	1	5	N/A	3	1	2	5	0	37	57	65	Burntwood
Cannock Road	22860	3	2	3	4	3	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	4	N/A	19	47	40	Burntwood
Wissage Lane	4119	1	3	4	0	3	3	0	N/A	0	0	1	4	N/A	19	52	37	Lichfield
Brownsfield Park (Enots)	21030	1	2	2	2	3	0	0	N/A	4	0	0	4	0	18	57	32	Lichfield
North of Haymoor	3351	0	2	2	2	3	3	0	N/A	0	2	N/A	5	N/A	19	47	40	Lichfield
Havefield Avenue	2577	1	3	5	0	3	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	5	N/A	17	47	36	Lichfield
Darnford Park	110200	3	3	5	5	4	4	4	N/A	1	0	N/A	4	N/A	33	47	70	Lichfield
Ash Grove	3056	3	3	3	4	3	0	0	N/A	1	0	N/A	4	N/A	21	47	45	Lichfield
Shortbutts Lane	34790	4	3	5	4	4	5	5	N/A	4	0	1	4	2	41	57	72	Lichfield
Saddlers Wood Park	28110	2	2	2	3	3	2	0	N/A	0	0	1	4	N/A	19	52	37	Lichfield
Lincoln Close	3792	1	2	3	1	4	3	0	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	5	N/A	19	42	45	Lichfield
Truro Close	5258	3	2	5	0	4	1	0	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	5	N/A	20	42	48	Lichfield
Eastern Avenue, Stychbrook P	35450	2	3	2	3	3	1	0	N/A	4	0	1	4	N/A	23	62	37	Lichfield
Stowe Fields	49390	3	3	4	4	3	4	4	N/A	3	2	N/A	4	N/A	34	47	72	Lichfield
Beacon Park (Christchurch)	11340	1	3	5	2	2	2	0	N/A	5	2	0	4	N/A	26	52	50	Lichfield
Beacon Park (Scout hut)	6166	2	3	2	2	4	3	3	4	0	0	N/A	4	N/A	27	52	52	Lichfield
Beacon Park	18620	1	3	2	2	2	2	0	4	0	1	N/A	4	0	21	57	37	Lichfield
Beacon Park	15290	3	3	5	4	3	3	5	4	5	2	N/A	4	0	41	57	72	Lichfield
Harrington Walk	4693	3	2	3	4	2	0	0	N/A	3	1	N/A	4	N/A	22	47	47	Lichfield



Beacon Park	11860	3	3	5	4	3	3	5	4	5	2	N/A	4	0	41	57	72	Lichfield
Beech Gardens	4553	2	3	3	0	4	2	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	4	N/A	18	47	38	Lichfield
Broad Lane	2637	2	3	5	1	4	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	5	N/A	20	47	43	Lichfield
Village Hall, Bellamour Way	7869	4	2	5	3	3	4	4	3	4	2	1	4	3	42	62	68	Colton
Moores Croft	13280	3	3	2	3	4	3	2	3	4	1	N/A	5	0	33	57	58	Edingale
Statfold Lane	8420	3	3	3	3	4	0	0	N/A	3	1	N/A	4	0	24	52	46	Fradley
Brook End	1489	2	1	1	1	2	0	0	N/A	1	0	N/A	3	N/A	11	47	23	Fazeley
Victory Terrace (Tam Road)	7343	2	2	3	2	3	2	2	N/A	1	0	N/A	3	N/A	20	47	43	Fazeley
Chaseview Road	21250	3	2	2	0	4	3	2	N/A	0	0	N/A	4	0	20	52	38	Alrewas
St Barbara's Road	2853	3	2	5	0	2	2	2	N/A	0	2	N/A	4	N/A	22	47	47	Armitage With Handsacre
Shropshire Brook/ Yeoman Wa	11070	3	3	5	3	3	3	1	N/A	0	1	N/A	4	2	28	52	54	Armitage With Handsacre
Shropshire Brook Road	22240	4	3	4	4	3	2	2	N/A	4	1	N/A	3	2	32	52	62	Armitage With Handsacre
New Road/ Old Road/ The Gre	6225	3	3	5	3	3	3	1	N/A	0	0	1	3	N/A	25	52	48	Armitage With Handsacre
Pinfold Drive	2467	4	3	3	3	3	0	0	N/A	2	1	1	5	N/A	25	52	48	Armitage With Handsacre
Coneyberry Millenium Green	22040	4	3	4	4	3	3	5	N/A	0	0	2	4	N/A	32	52	62	Clifton Campville
Nursery Lane	4291	3	3	2	3	4	0	2	N/A	1	0	1	5	2	26	57	46	Hopwas
Village Hall	2797	3	3	4	3	3	1	0	4	4	0	1	4	2	32	62	52	Hill Ridware
Harlaston Play Area	910.8	3	3	4	2	4	4	5	N/A	1	0	1	5	N/A	32	52	62	Harlaston
Bains Drive/ Parnell Avenue	1546	2	3	5	5	5	5	0	N/A	0	5	2	5	N/A	37	52	71	Lichfield
Sandfield Meadow	4768	3	3	4	5	5	0	0	N/A	1	1	0	5	N/A	27	52	52	Lichfield
Hay End Lane	5954	4	3	4	1	5	5	5	N/A	3	0	N/A	4	N/A	34	47	72	Fradley
Friary Gardens	7862	4	3	5	5	5	2	5	N/A	0	3	0	5	N/A	37	52	71	Lichfield
rectory drive	2000	1	2	2	3	3	3	0	N/A	2	0	N/A	3	N/A	19	47	40	Drayton Bassett
rectory drive	2000	1	2	2	3	3	3	0	N/A	2	0	N/A	3	N/A	19	47	40	Drayton Bassett
land around pitch	8000	2	2	3	0	3	5	3	N/A	0	0	N/A	4	N/A	22	47	47	Stonnall
North Edingale	3869	4	3		5	4	3	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	4	N/A	23	47	49	Edingale
Lamas Land, Shenstone	35822	2	3	5	5	5	5	5	N/A	0	0	2	5	0	37	57	65	Shenstone



Mainsion Drive, Hammerwich	4089	1	3	0	3	5	5	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	5	N/A	22	47	47	Hammerwic h
Summerville Road, Alrewas	3500	3	2	2	2	3	0	0	N/A	0	2	N/A	4	N/A	18	47	38	Alrewas
Landscape Buffer, Alrewas	3000	N/A	2	N/A	4	5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	3	N/A	14	32	44	Alrewas


3 Equipped Play Physical Quality & Play Value Scoring



Name	Area (m²)	Main Entrance	Boundary Conditions	Road & Paths	Planted Areas	Grassed Areas	Bins	Seats	Toilets	Parking	Lighting	Information	Cleanlines	Events Programme	Total	Max Total	%	
Max Scores Per Attribute		4	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	62	100	
Burntwood Park	717	2	3	3	4	4	0	3	N/A	3	0	1	3	N/A	26	52	50	
Redwood Park	997	4	3	3	0	3	1	2	0	3	1	0	5	0	25	62	40	
Chase Terrace Park	322	4	3	4	3	4	3	3	N/A	0	0	0	4	N/A	28	52	54	
Heather Close/Scotch Orchard	298	2	3	4	5	3	2	5	N/A	0	0	N/A	1	N/A	25	47	53	
Darnford Park	206	2	2	3	0	0	0	4	N/A	3	0	N/A	3	N/A	17	47	36	
Saddlers Wood Park	6800	1	2	2	3	3	3	3	N/A	0	0	0	4	N/A	21	52	40	
Stowe Fields	349	2	3	0	0	2	3	3	N/A	0	0	0	4	N/A	17	52	33	
David Garrick Gardens	285	3	2	5	0	4	5	0	N/A	5	2	0	4	N/A	30	52	58	
Youth Club	1717	2	3	3	0	0	1	3	N/A	1	1	N/A	4	N/A	18	47	38	
Coneyberry Millenium Green	134	2	2	3	3	4	3	1	N/A	0	0	2	4	0	24	57	42	
Brickhouse Lane, Elford	813	3	3	4	4	0	3	5	N/A	0	0	N/A	5	N/A	27	47	57	
Manor Lane	632	3	3	4	2	4	3	5	N/A	1	0	1	4	N/A	30	52	58	
Moores Croft (All Areas)	212	3	3	3	0	0	4	3	N/A	4	0	0	5	N/A	25	52	48	
Part of Shenstone - Village Hall	422	3	3	3	0	0	3	3	2	5	2	0	5	N/A	29	57	51	
Part of Shenstone Next to T	128	3	3	3	3	4	3	1	2	5	2	0	5	N/A	34	57	60	
Stonnall-Off Main st	1422	2	2	3	0	3	4	3	N/A	0	0	0	4	N/A	21	52	40	
Stonnall-Corner of playing field	867	2	2	0	0	2	1	0	N/A	0	0	0	3	N/A	10	52	19	
Village Hall, Bellamour Way	310	4	3	5	0	0	2	4	N/A	5	0	1	5	N/A	29	52	56	
Burton Road	669	3	2	2	3	4	1	3	N/A	1	0	0	5	N/A	24	52	46	
Hay End Lane	1780	3	3	4	2	4	2	2	N/A	3	0	0	4	0	27	47	57	
Noddington Lane	1483	3	3	3	3	4	3	2	N/A	1	1	0	4	N/A	27	52	52	
Cricket Ground - Whittington	619	2	3	3	4	4	3	3	2	2	2	1	0	4	N/A	31	57	54
Upper Lodge Way	241	3	1	3	2	2	0	2	N/A	1	1	1	4	N/A	20	57	35	
Adj Village Hall, Shropshire	1399	4	3	4	0	0	1	0	0	4	1	0	3	0	20	62	32	
Millmoor Avenue	247	1	2	1	0	2	1	1	1	1	0	0	2	N/A	12	57	21	
St Barbara's Road	652	3	2	5	0	2	2	2	N/A	0	2	0	4	N/A	22	52	42	
Chaseview Road	653	1	3	0	0	0	3	2	N/A	0	0	0	5	N/A	14	52	27	
Coronation Avenue/recreation	632	2	2	2	1	4	1	0	1	3	2	0	4	0	22	62	35	
Victory terrace (Off Tamworth	792	2	2	2	1	3	1	1	N/A	1	0	0	3	N/A	16	52	31	
Thomas Greenway	1115	3	3	3	1	3	3	3	N/A	2	3	0	4	N/A	28	52	54	
Nurse Lane	820	3	2	3	2	3	1	2	0	0	0	0	5	0	21	62	34	
Chasewater	622	2	2	3	5	4	5	4	4	5	3	4	4	3	48	52	92	
off Rectory Close	497	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	3	0	10	62	16	
off Rectory Close	1152	1	2	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	3	0	14	62	23	
Barlow Drive/Worthington Road	7142	3	3	5	4	5	5	5	0	4	0	0	5	0	39	62	63	
Forge Lane	28907	3	3	4	5	4	4	5	0	4	0	2	5	0	39	62	63	
Uttoxeter Road	406	1	3	4	3	5	5	5	N/A	5	3	0	5	N/A	39	52	75	
Shortbutts Park - new play area	6698	4	3	5	5	5	4	4	N/A	0	4	4	5	N/A	43	52	83	
Lightwood Rd, Darwin Park	2758	2	3	5	5	4	3	4	N/A	0	4	0	5	N/A	35	52	67	
Sante Foy Av Darwin Park	4047	0	3	3	1	2	4	4	N/A	0	4	2	4	N/A	27	52	52	
Beacon Park	10584	4	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	3	59	62	95	



City Wharf	427	2	3	4	2	2	4	4	N/A	0	3	0	4	N/A	28	52	54
Cycle Track Park	1738	3	3	5	4	4	3	4	N/A	0	3	0	4	N/A	33	52	63
Burntwood Leisure Centre	200	0	1	0	0	0	3	1	5	5	5	0	3	0	23	62	37



Play Location Oakenfield/Thomas Greenway	Degree to which play area is overlooked (perception of safety -	Degree to which site is 'Inclusive'	Proximity to other services/facilities	Does it have highest catchment coverage (within 480m/10 min walk time)	Accessibility Barriers		Play Value – Childrens Criteria (must have at least 5 out of 7)	Equipment Quality (broken equipment or in need of repair)	Overall comments
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Visible from properties fronting on to site Visible by traffic-moderate residential volume and speed Visible from footpaths) 100% overlooked – not overlooked 0%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (open during daylight level even surfaces for pushchairs/wheelchairs seating opportunities both formal and informal) 100% inclusive – not inclusive 0%	(opportunities to travel to play on route to other events - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> shops, community buildings, school 100% multi facilities – no facilities 0%	100% full residential coverage –no coverage 0%	100% no barriers – barriers 0%	Physical <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Railway lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpath links...) Social <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (opportunities to run/walk hard surface to cycle, basketball, rollerskate, skateboard ability to play ball games opportunities to sit/hang out risky play –climbing, jumping, swinging natural play-hills, trees. well maintained, bins, rubbish 100% 5 out of 7 - 0 out of 7 %	100% excellent condition - % poor condition	There's a lot going on this compact site, something to suit all ages. Consider whether there is any potential to add play value through natural play.
	100% Comments None Suggested Improvements None Max 100%	100% Comments None Suggested Improvements None None Max 100%	100 Comments None Suggested Improvements None Max 100%	100% Comments None Suggested Improvements None Max 100%	100 % Comments Very clean, well overlooked thus good perception of community safety Suggested Improvements None Max 100%	100% Comments None Suggested Improvements None Max 100%	80% Comments High levels of maintenance, lack of natural play opportunities, clean Suggested Improvements Consider whether there is any potential to add play value through natural play. Max 100%	100% Comments Suggested Improvements None Max 100%	Score 780 out of a max 800



Play Location Curborough – David Garrick Gardens 	Degree to which play area is overlooked (<i>perception of safety</i> - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Visible from properties fronting on to site Visible by traffic-moderate residential volume and speed Visible from footpaths) 100% overlooked – not overlooked 0%	Degree to which site is 'Inclusive' <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (open during daylight level even surfaces for pushchairs/wheelchairs seating opportunities both formal and informal) 100% inclusive – not inclusive 0%	Proximity to other services/facilities (<i>opportunities to travel to play on route to other events</i> - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> shops, community buildings, school 100% multi facilities – no facilities 0%	Does it have highest catchment coverage (<i>within 480m/10 min walk time</i>) 100% full residential coverage –no coverage 0%	Accessibility Barriers 100% no barriers – barriers 0% Physical <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Railway lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpath links.) Social <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children 		Play Value – Childrens Criteria (must have at least 5 out of 7) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (opportunities to run/walk hard surface to cycle, basketball, rollerskate, skateboard ability to play ball games opportunities to sit/hang out risky play –climbing, jumping, swinging natural play-hills, trees. well maintained, bins, rubbish 100% 5 out of 7 - 0 out of 7 %	Equipment Quality (<i>broken equipment or in need of repair</i>) 100% excellent condition - % poor condition	Overall comments
50%	60%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	40%	60%	
Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments	
Overlooked by nearby flats on one side only and due to location no visual from passing traffic. Well used footpath through site.	Site is largely open with adequate formal seating, no informal seating other than grassed areas. Quality of grassed areas is variable throughout site. Off formal paths use by wheeled users would be difficult.	Adjacent to community centre which appears well used and generates pedestrian movement through the site. Close to willow Primary School.	None	None	None	None	None	Appears old although most in working order	There's not a lot going on this open site. Has potential to be substantially improved in terms of type and number of equipment as well as improved play value through landscaping both hard and soft.
 Suggested Improvements Limited opportunity to improve residential overlooking.	Suggested Improvements Improve accessibility for wheeled users within the site and consider additional informal seating particularly near equipment.	Suggested Improvements None	Suggested Improvements None	Suggested Improvements None	Suggested Improvements None	Suggested Improvements None	Suggested Improvements Lack of equipment given size of the site, very open feel with random old pieces of equipment dotted around the site.	Suggested Improvements Improvements in the types and number of pieces of equipment	Given its location in relation to community centre and nearby school. Score 610 out of a max 750
Max 50%	Max 100%	Max 100%	Max 100%	Max 100%	Max 100%	Max 100%	Max 100%	Max 100%	



Play Location	Degree to which play area is overlooked (perception of safety -	Degree to which site is 'Inclusive'	Proximity to other services/facilities	Does it have highest catchment coverage (within 480m/10 min walk time)	Accessibility Barriers		Play Value – Childrens Criteria (must have at least 5 out of 7)	Equipment Quality (broken equipment or in need of repair)	Overall Comments
Saddlers Wood 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Visible from properties fronting on to site Visible by traffic-moderate residential volume and speed Visible from footpaths) 100% overlooked – not overlooked 0%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (open during daylight level even surfaces for pushchairs/wheelchairs seating opportunities both formal and informal) 100% inclusive – not inclusive 0%	(opportunities to travel to play on route to other events - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> shops, community buildings, pubs, school 100% multi facilities – no facilities 0%	100% full residential coverage –no coverage 0%	100% no barriers – barriers 0%	Physical <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Railway lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpath links.) Social Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (opportunities to run/walk hard surface to cycle, basketball, rollerskate, skateboard ability to play ball games opportunities to sit/hang out risky play –climbing, jumping, swinging natural play-hills, trees. well maintained, bins, rubbish 100% 5 out of 7 - 0 out of 7 %	100% excellent condition - % poor condition	Replace or repair goal posts and consider benefits of a formal crossing over Roman Way.
	60%	100%	0%	100%	50%	100%	100%	100%	
Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments	
Despite being surrounded by housing on 3 sides most properties do not overlook the site. Visibility is limited from Roman Way due to landform and planting. Volume of traffic seems high as well as traffic speeds. No crossing point across Roman Way.	Formal and informal seating with good internal paths	Site is not in close proximity to any services or facilities.	None Site has a full residential catchment.	None Site has a full residential catchment.	Railway, fast traffic and lack of crossing on Roman Way	None	An overall natural appearance given the landscape in which this wooden equipped play area is set. MUGA seems in good condition as does wooden equipment	Goal posts appear to be in need of repair.	
Suggested Improvements	Suggested Improvements	Suggested Improvements	Suggested Improvements	Suggested Improvements	Suggested Improvements	Suggested Improvements	Suggested Improvements	Suggested Improvements	
Consider potential benefits of installing crossing over Roman Way to improve safety.	None	No apparent means of improving this score	None	None	Consider formal pedestrian crossing over adjacent road,	None	None	None	
Max 100%	Max 100%	Max 0%	Max 100%	Max 100%	Max 50%	Max 100%	Max 100%	Max 100%	Score 610 out of a max 650


Play Location	Degree to which play area is overlooked (perception of safety -	Degree to which site is 'Inclusive'	Proximity to other services/facilities	Does it have highest catchment coverage (within 480m/10 min walk time)	Accessibility Barriers		Play Value – Childrens Criteria (must have at least 5 out of 7)	Equipment Quality	Overall Comments
<p>Darnford Park</p> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Visible from properties fronting on to site Visible by traffic-moderate residential volume and speed Visible from footpaths) <p>100% overlooked – not overlooked 0%</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (open during daylight level even surfaces for pushchairs/wheelchairs seating opportunities both formal and informal) <p>100% inclusive – not inclusive 0%</p>	<p>(opportunities to travel to play on route to other events -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> shops, community buildings, pubs, school <p>100% multi facilities – no facilities 0%</p>	<p>100% full residential coverage –no coverage 0%</p>	<p>100% no barriers – barriers 0%</p> <p>Physical</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Railway lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpath links.) <p>Social</p> <p>Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (opportunities to run/walk hard surface to cycle, basketball, rollerskate, skateboard ability to play ball games opportunities to sit/hang out risky play –climbing, jumping, swinging natural play-hills, trees. well maintained, bins, rubbish <p>100% 5 out of 7 - 0 out of 7 %</p>	<p>(broken equipment or in need of repair)</p> <p>100% excellent condition - % poor condition</p>	<p>Overall Comments</p> <p>Comments</p>
	20%	50%	0%	50%	20%	100%	100%	50%	
	Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments	
	<p>This site is not overlooked by nearby properties or roads. Limited overlooking from footpaths</p>	<p>Surfaces uneven and path through site may not suit wheeled users despite being flat. No formal surfacing to access play area. Benches in poor condition</p>	<p>Site is not in close proximity to any services or facilities.</p>	<p>The location of this site on the edge of the settlement restricts the catchment.</p>	<p>Close to A38 – noise throughout site. Darnford Lane very busy at times and traffic speeds seem fast.</p>	<p>None</p>	<p>Site provides a range of opportunities for different types of play; however the existing equipment is in poor condition and could be improved.</p>	<p>Equipment appears in average condition some needs attention.</p>	<p>This site has an overall shabby poorly kept appearance as does the wider area in which it is located, litter, poor surfaces, and uneven wood chipped surface with nearby fencing broken. Seems well used by dog walker at time of visit.</p>
	 <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>There is no potential to improve this element for this site,</p>	<p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None</p>	<p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None</p>	<p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>There is no potential to improve this element for this site.</p>	<p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>There is little potential to improve this element.</p>	<p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None</p>	<p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>Maintenance could be improved. Would benefit from additional equipment including more natural play opportunities within adjacent areas. Litter</p>	<p>None</p>	<p>Score 490 out of max 570</p>
	Max 20%	Max 100%	Max 0%	Max 50%	Max 100%	Max 100%	Max 100%	Max 100%	

Play Location Shortbutts Park 	Degree to which play area is overlooked (<i>perception of safety</i> - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Visible from properties fronting on to site Visible by traffic-moderate residential volume and speed Visible from footpaths) 100% overlooked – not overlooked 0%	Degree to which site is 'Inclusive' <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (open during daylight) level even surfaces for pushchairs/wheelchairs seating opportunities both formal and informal) 100% inclusive – not inclusive 0%	Proximity to other services/facilities <i>(opportunities to travel to play on route to other events -</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> shops, community buildings, school 100% multi facilities – no facilities 0%	Does it have highest catchment coverage (<i>within 480m/10 min walk time</i>) 100% full residential coverage –no coverage 0%	Accessibility Barriers 100% no barriers – barriers 0% Physical <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Railway lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpath links.) Social <i>Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children</i>		Play Value – Childrens Criteria (must have at least 5 out of 7) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (opportunities to run/walk) hard surface to cycle, basketball, rollerskate, skateboard ability to play ball games opportunities to sit/hang out risky play –climbing, jumping, swinging natural play-hills, trees. well maintained, bins, rubbish 100% 5 out of 7 - 0 out of 7 %	Equipment Quality <i>(broken equipment or in need of repair)</i> 100% excellent condition - % poor condition	Overall Comments Site has recently undergone major refurbishment and is an excellent site. Score 700 out of a max of 700
	100% Comments Equipped play is located within a larger greenspace which is surrounded by residential properties with good views of the site. Suggested Improvements None Max 100%	100% Comments Good site, lots of paths recently lay, seating both formal and informal. Revamp of the site is inclusive, Suggested Improvements None Max 100%	0% Comments Site is not located in close proximity to any service or facilitates but does have a high residential catchment. Suggested Improvements Little opportunity to improve on this score Max 0%	100% Comments Site is surrounded by residential development including a new development top the west which directly overlooks the site. Suggested Improvements None Max 100%	100% Comments None Suggested Improvements None Max 100%	100% Comments None Suggested Improvements None Max 100%	100% Comments Excellent site which has undergone significant recent investment and now incorporates many types of formal and informal play opportunities. Suggested Improvements None Max 100%	100% Comments All new equipment including MUGA, rope slide, boulders, tyre swing, climbing net, goal posts and young childrens area Max 100%	

Play Location Heather Close/Scotch Orchard 	Degree to which play area is overlooked (<i>perception of safety</i> - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Visible from properties fronting on to site Visible by traffic-moderate residential volume and speed Visible from footpaths) 100% overlooked – not overlooked 0%	Degree to which site is 'Inclusive' <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (open during daylight level even surfaces for pushchairs/wheelchairs seating opportunities both formal and informal) 100% inclusive – not inclusive 0%	Proximity to other services/facilities (<i>opportunities to travel to play on route to other events</i> - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> shops, community buildings, school 100% multi facilities – no facilities 0%	Does it have highest catchment coverage (<i>within 480m/10 min walk time</i>) 100% full residential coverage –no coverage 0%	Accessibility Barriers 100% no barriers – barriers 0% Physical <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Railway lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpath links.) Social <i>Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children</i>		Play Value – Childrens Criteria (must have at least 5 out of 7) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (opportunities to run/walk hard surface to cycle, basketball, rollerskate, skateboard ability to play ball games opportunities to sit/hang out risky play –climbing, jumping, swinging natural play-hills, trees. well maintained, bins, rubbish 100% 5 out of 7 - 0 out of 7 %	Equipment Quality (<i>broken equipment or in need of repair</i>) 100% excellent condition - % poor condition	Overall Comments This is a well maintained play site. Potential for adjacent greenspace to have some limited pieces of play equipment								
80%		80%		100%		70%		100%		100%		80%		100%			
Comments		Comments		Comments		Comments		Comments		Comments		Comments					
		Footpath through site appears well used from users of nearby shops. Overlooked by 4 properties and some upper rear floors.		Site is accessible by well conditioned footpaths however there is no formal seating within the site.		Local parade of shops in close proximity and site is also adjacent to Scotch Orchard School.		Catchment includes industrial areas and agricultural land to the north		None		Site contains an enclosed tarmac MUGA and adjacent greenspace with some planted areas		MUGA is in very good condition.		Score 710 out of a max 750	
Suggested Improvements No potential to improve this element for this site.		Suggested Improvements Seating within the site is required.		Suggested Improvements None		Suggested Improvements No potential to improve this element for this site.		Suggested Improvements None		Suggested Improvements None		Suggested Improvements Potential for adjacent greenspace to have some limited pieces of play equipment which would improve the play on offer at the site.		Suggested Improvements			
Max 80%		Max 100%		Max 100%		Max 70%		Max 100%		Max 100%		Max 100%		Max 100%			


Play Location Lightwood Road, Darwin Park 	Degree to which play area is overlooked (<i>perception of safety</i> - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Visible from properties fronting on to site Visible by traffic-moderate residential volume and speed Visible from footpaths) 100% overlooked – not overlooked 0%	Degree to which site is 'Inclusive' <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (open during daylight level even surfaces for pushchairs/wheelchairs seating opportunities both formal and informal) 100% inclusive – not inclusive 0%	Proximity to other services/facilities <i>(opportunities to travel to play on route to other events -</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> shops, community buildings, school 100% multi facilities – no facilities 0%	Does it have highest catchment coverage (<i>within 480m/10 min walk time</i>) 100% full residential coverage –no coverage 0%	Accessibility Barriers 100% no barriers – barriers 0% Physical <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Railway lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpath links.) Social <i>Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children</i>		Play Value – Childrens Criteria (must have at least 5 out of 7) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (opportunities to run/walk hard surface to cycle, basketball, rollerskate, skateboard ability to play ball games opportunities to sit/hang out risky play –climbing, jumping, swinging natural play-hills, trees. well maintained, bins, rubbish 100% 5 out of 7 - 0 out of 7 %	Equipment Quality <i>(broken equipment or in need of repair)</i> 100% excellent condition - % poor condition	Overall Comments Excellent, recently laid site. Well equipped and laid out with good accessibility to all areas of the site.
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments	
	Play Areas are located within a wider greenspace which is surrounded by residential properties and has a number of well used footpaths through the site.	The site is highly accessibly due to the number of new footpaths which cross the wider greenspace and link the equipped play areas together.	Play area is located with walking distance of Waitrose and the community hall facilities and serves the resident population	The site has a wide catchment consisting of mainly residential properties.	None	None	Recent site which has a good range of equipment set in a wider greenspace which provides opportunities for different types of play.	2 play areas one for young children, areas to sit informally as well as seats. Climb frame, swings, slide, spin	Score 800 out of max 800
Suggested Improvements	Suggested Improvements	Suggested Improvements	Suggested Improvements	Suggested Improvements	Suggested Improvements	Suggested Improvements	Suggested Improvements	Suggested Improvements	
None	None	None	None	None	None	None	No hard surface within site suitable for basketball etc.		
Max 100%	Max 100%	Max 100%	Max 100%	Max 100%	Max 100%	Max 100%	Max 100%	Max 100%	



Play Location Beacon Park 	Degree to which play area is overlooked (<i>perception of safety</i> - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Visible from properties fronting on to site Visible by traffic-moderate residential volume and speed Visible from footpaths) 100% overlooked – not overlooked 0%	Degree to which site is 'Inclusive' <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (open during daylight level even surfaces for pushchairs/wheelchairs seating opportunities both formal and informal) 100% inclusive – not inclusive 0%	Proximity to other services/facilities <i>(opportunities to travel to play on route to other events -</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> shops, community buildings, school 100% multi facilities – no facilities 0%	Does it have highest catchment coverage (<i>within 480m/10 min walk time</i>) 100% full residential coverage –no coverage 0%	Accessibility Barriers 100% no barriers – barriers 0% Physical <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Railway lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpath links.) Social <i>Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children</i>		Play Value – Childrens Criteria (must have at least 5 out of 7) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (opportunities to run/walk hard surface to cycle, basketball, rollerskate, skateboard ability to play ball games opportunities to sit/hang out risky play –climbing, jumping, swinging natural play-hills, trees. well maintained, bins, rubbish 100% 5 out of 7 - 0 out of 7 %	Equipment Quality <i>(broken equipment or in need of repair)</i> 100% excellent condition - % poor condition	Site has been refurbished as part of the HLF project. The site is now open and well used and scores exceptionally highly due to the quality of equipment and design within the park. There are no means of improving scores in relation to visibility or proximity to other services.
60%	100%	100%	80%	80%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments
 Site is not overlooked by properties or highways but is visible by users from other parts of the park, which provides a significant degree of visibility.	Site has seen significant investment and provides a range of equipment, seating areas and pathways which are of a high standard and suitable for all users.	Park is within walking distance of the town centre and is adjacent to a supermarket. There are also various facilities on offer within the park. However the park is not located on a route to a local school.	Significant part of the 480m catchment covers the rest of Beacon park and parts of Lichfield City Centre with few residential areas with the 480m buffer. However the park is accessible to the town centre and acts as a destination to which people are willing to travel further to access as such the 480m buffer is not the most appropriate toll to be used for this particular play area.	None	None	The newly refurbished park provides a wide range of natural and equipped play opportunities. There is a wide range of play types which offer a high play value. The play areas are also set within the wider Beacon park which offers a range of recreational activities and opportunities.	The play equipment within Beacon Park is of exceptional quality.	Score 760 out of max 760	
Suggested Improvements	Suggested Improvements	Suggested Improvements	Suggested Improvements	Suggested Improvements	Suggested Improvements	Suggested Improvements	Suggested Improvements	Suggested Improvements	
No potential to increase the score for this element.	None.	None.	None.	None	None	None.	None.	None.	
Max 60%	Max 100%	Max 100%	Max 80%	Max 80%	Max 100%	Max 100%	Max 100%	Max 100%	



Play Location Stowe Fields This site has 3 distinct areas of equipment, one each for young and older children and outdoor gym area	Degree to which play area is overlooked (<i>perception of safety</i> - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Visible from properties fronting on to site Visible by traffic-moderate residential volume and speed Visible from footpaths) 100% overlooked – not overlooked 0%	Degree to which site is 'Inclusive' <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (open during daylight level even surfaces for pushchairs/wheelchairs seating opportunities both formal and informal) 100% inclusive – not inclusive 0%	Proximity to other services/facilities (<i>opportunities to travel to play on route to other events</i> - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> shops, community buildings, school 100% multi facilities – no facilities 0%	Does it have highest catchment coverage (<i>within 480m/10 min walk time</i>) 100% full residential coverage –no coverage 0%	Accessibility Barriers 100% no barriers – barriers 0% Physical <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Railway lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpath links.) Social <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children 		Play Value – Childrens Criteria (must have at least 5 out of 7) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (opportunities to run/walk hard surface to cycle, basketball, rollerskate, skateboard ability to play ball games opportunities to sit/hang out risky play –climbing, jumping, swinging natural play-hills, trees. well maintained, bins, rubbish 100% 5 out of 7 - 0 out of 7 %	Equipment Quality (<i>broken equipment or in need of repair</i>) 100% excellent condition - % poor condition	Overall Comments
	50%	60%	100%	50%	100%	100%	80%	100%	
Comments	Not really overlooked by residential properties. Visible from footpaths within the site and from adjacent car park. The three areas of equipment are not all visible to one and other.	There is seating within the child's play area and more seating located close by around Stowe Pool. However there are no which connect to the play areas and crossing the grassed areas may not be suitable for pushchair and wheelchair users.	Close to city centre shops and facilities and is close to both the Cathedral and Nether Stowe Schools.	The catchment of the play area consists only partly of residential and also consists of much of the city centre.	The area is accessible through a variety of routes however there are no footpaths linking the equipment areas.	None.	The three separate areas of equipment provide for a range of ages, and are set in a wider green space which provides opportunities for a range of play. The wider area provides opportunities to improve play provision.	Play equipment is in adequate condition but could be improved. The children's play area whilst is good condition is very old.	Despite its central location in relation to the city it appears on the face of it to lack childrens play equipment. Could benefit from natural play, better path links between both childrens play areas.
	Suggested Improvements	Suggested Improvements	Suggested Improvements	Suggested Improvements	Suggested Improvements	Suggested Improvements	Suggested Improvements	Suggested Improvements	
No potential to increase this score.	Paths linking the individual play areas and more seating would improve the play area.	None.	None.	No potential to increase this score.	Paths linking the equipment may improve the accessibility.	None.	Could benefit from a hard surfaced area suitable for skating etc. Despite meeting most of the criteria Childrens play areas both seem small with only a few pieces of equipment.	Equipment could be improved and areas could be enhanced to offer a greater range of equipment.	Score 640 out of max 700
Max 50%	Max 100%	Max 100%	Max 100%	Max 50%	Max 100%	Max 100%	Max 100%	Max 100%	



Play Location Burton Road 	Degree to which play area is overlooked (<i>perception of safety</i> - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Visible from properties fronting on to site Visible by traffic-moderate residential volume and speed Visible from footpaths) 100% overlooked – not overlooked 0%	Degree to which site is 'Inclusive' <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (open during daylight) level even surfaces for pushchairs/wheelchairs seating opportunities both formal and informal) 100% inclusive – not inclusive 0%	Proximity to other services/facilities <i>(opportunities to travel to play on route to other events -</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> shops, community buildings, school 100% multi facilities – no facilities 0%	Does it have highest catchment coverage (<i>within 480m/10 min walk time</i>) 100% full residential coverage –no coverage 0%	Accessibility Barriers 100% no barriers – barriers 0% Physical <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Railway lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpath links.) Social <i>Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children</i>		Play Value – Children's Criteria (must have at least 5 out of 7) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (opportunities to run/walk) hard surface to cycle, basketball, rollerskate, skateboard ability to play ball games opportunities to sit/hang out risky play –climbing, jumping, swinging natural play-hills, trees. well maintained, bins, rubbish 100% 5 out of 7 - 0 out of 7 %	Equipment Quality <i>(broken equipment or in need of repair)</i> 100% excellent condition - % poor condition	Overall Comments Settlement split by main road with play area on one half. Need to cross busy road which may deter some despite crossing in. This is a goof quality play space which provides a range of play opportunities for the resident population.
60%	100%	100%	0%	40%	75%	100%	100%	100%	Score 575 out of a max 600
Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments	
	<p>Play area is visible from main road which passes adjacent to the site; however the site is not overlooked from neighbouring properties to any great extent.</p>	<p>Spaces for formal and informal seating within the site, the site is accessible however there are no paths across the site to the equipment. Due to the small nature of the site paths may not be appropriate. The wider green space is flat and even and is accessible for pushchair, wheelchair users.</p>	<p>Site is not located in proximity to any services or facilities however do provide an important local facility for the residents in the immediate area.</p>	<p>A large amount of the catchment for the site is open fields; there is only a small catchment of residential properties. However the site serves the local population and is the only accessible play space for residents in the area.</p>	<p>The Burton Road separates the site from a majority of the residential dwellings within the site's catchment. The formal crossing of this road is away from the play area.</p>	<p>None.</p>	<p>Play area is small but has seen recent investment and includes a range of equipped play opportunities along with natural play. This is set within a wider green space which provides excellent play opportunities.</p>	<p>Older equipment supplemented by more recent additions during improvements to play area, including a crossing point.</p>	
Suggested Improvements	Suggested Improvements	Suggested Improvements	Suggested Improvements	Suggested Improvements	Suggested Improvements	Suggested Improvements	Suggested Improvements	Suggested Improvements	
No potential to improve this score.	None.	None.	No potential to improve this score.	No potential to improve this score.	Traffic calming or signage to the existing pedestrian crossing may be helpful.	None.	None.	None.	
Max 60%	Max 100%	Max 100%	Max 0%	Max 40%	Max 100%	Max 100%	Max 100%	Max 100%	



<p>Play Location Santé Foy Avenue, Darwin Park Walsall Road MUGA and outdoor gym</p>	<p>Degree to which play area is overlooked (<i>perception of safety</i> -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Visible from properties fronting on to site Visible by traffic-moderate residential volume and speed Visible from footpaths) <p>100% overlooked – not overlooked 0%</p>	<p>Degree to which site is 'Inclusive'</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (open during daylight level even surfaces for pushchairs/wheelchairs seating opportunities both formal and informal) <p>100% inclusive – not inclusive 0%</p>	<p>Proximity to other services/facilities (<i>opportunities to travel to play on route to other events</i> -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> shops, community buildings, school <p>100% multi facilities – no facilities 0%</p>	<p>Does it have highest catchment coverage (<i>within 480m/10 min walk time</i>)</p> <p>100% full residential coverage –no coverage 0%</p>	<p>Accessibility Barriers</p> <p>100% no barriers – barriers 0%</p> <p>Physical</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Railway lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpath links.) <p>Social</p> <p>Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children</p>		<p>Play Value – Children's Criteria (must have at least 5 out of 7)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (opportunities to run/walk hard surface to cycle, basketball, rollerskate, skateboard ability to play ball games opportunities to sit/hang out risky play –climbing, jumping, swinging natural play-hills, trees. well maintained, bins ,rubbish <p>100% 5 out of 7 - 0 out of 7 %</p>	<p>Equipment Quality (<i>broken equipment or in need of repair</i>)</p> <p>100% excellent condition - % poor condition</p>	<p>Overall Comments</p> <p>Site is not located in relation to the main residential area. Users must cross a busy road which may discourage some despite a crossing point being in place. Site is located poorly between a busy road and a railway line which makes accessing the facility difficult.</p>
	<p>50%</p>	<p>100%</p>	<p>100%</p>	<p>50%</p>	<p>30%</p>	<p>100%</p>	<p>100%</p>	<p>100%</p>	
	<p>Comments</p> <p>The site is not easily visible from any residential properties apart from a small number of properties on Darwin park. The site is located closely to a busy road, with fast moving traffic which does provide a degree of overlooking.</p>	<p>Comments</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>The site is located within walking distance of Waitrose and the community facilities located in Darwin park.</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>The catchment to this site has some residential within it, although a large proportion of the catchment is open land. This is due to the sites edge of settlement location.</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Site is located between a busy road and a rail line; this severely limits the accessibility of the site and increases journey time to the site. There is a pedestrian crossing which provides safe access to the site.</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>None.</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Site includes a MUGA, skate ramp and an outdoor gym. This provides a range of opportunities for various types of place particularly aimed at older children and teenagers.</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>All equipment is new and in excellent condition.</p>	
<p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>No potential to increase this score due to location of the site away from residential areas.</p> <p>Max 50%</p>	<p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>Max</p>	<p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	<p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>No potential to increase this score,</p> <p>Max 50%</p>	<p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>No potential to improve this score.</p> <p>Max 30%</p>	<p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	<p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	<p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	<p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	



<p>Play Location Cycle Track Play Area</p> <p>Note: Play area is currently under construction and it is assumed the park will score highly. The assessment is based on scores that the site will achieve once it is completed.</p>	<p>Degree to which play area is overlooked (perception of safety -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Visible from properties fronting on to site Visible by traffic-moderate residential volume and speed Visible from footpaths) <p>100% overlooked – not overlooked 0%</p>	<p>Degree to which site is 'Inclusive'</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> open during daylight level even surfaces for pushchairs/wheelchairs seating opportunities both formal and informal) <p>100% inclusive – not inclusive 0%</p>	<p>Proximity to other services/facilities (opportunities to travel to play on route to other events -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> shops, community buildings, school <p>100% multi facilities – no facilities 0%</p>	<p>Does it have highest catchment coverage (within 480m/10 min walk time)</p> <p>100% full residential coverage –no coverage 0%</p>	<p>Accessibility Barriers</p> <p>100% no barriers – barriers 0%</p> <p>Physical</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Railway lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpath links.) <p>Social</p> <p>Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children</p>		<p>Play Value – Children's Criteria (must have at least 5 out of 7)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> opportunities to run/walk hard surface to cycle, basketball, rollerskate, skateboard ability to play ball games opportunities to sit/hang out risky play –climbing, jumping, swinging natural play-hills, trees. well maintained, bins ,rubbish <p>100% 5 out of 7 - 0 out of 7 %</p>	<p>Equipment Quality (broken equipment or in need of repair)</p> <p>100% excellent condition - % poor condition</p>	<p>Overall Comments</p>								
	<p>60%</p>	<p>100%</p>	<p>100%</p>	<p>100%</p>	<p>100%</p>	<p>100%</p>	<p>100%</p>	<p>Max 100%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>The site is overlooked slightly from the rear of nearby properties. Additionally the cycle track is a well used public route and passes alongside the site.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>No potential to increase this score due to location of the site.</p> <p>Max 60%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Site is overlooked slightly from the rear of nearby properties. Additionally the cycle track is a well used public route and passes alongside the site.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Site is accessible with several routes leading to the park. Paths of in good condition. The natural style of the site means there are excellent opportunities for informal seating.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Site is located close to secondary and primary schools and a local neighbourhood shopping centre. The site is located on a major pedestrian route to schools and the city centre.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Site has a high residential catchment and makes up for a previously identified deficiency in North Lichfield.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Site is well located on a major pedestrian route. Adjacent school means that people would have to walk around the school to access the site</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>None</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>1. Opportunities to run/walk 2. Opportunities to sit/hang out 3. Risk play 4. Natural Play 5. Well maintained</p> <p>Site incorporates natural play well and offers a different type of play to many sites within Lichfield.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Natural play equipment being installed is of good quality and is brand new therefore the condition is good.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None</p> <p>Max 100%</p> <p>Score 760 out of max 760.</p>



<p>City Wharf</p> <p>Play Location</p> 	<p>Degree to which play area is overlooked (perception of safety -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Visible from properties fronting on to site Visible by traffic-moderate residential volume and speed Visible from footpaths) <p>100% overlooked – not overlooked 0%</p>	<p>Degree to which site is 'Inclusive'</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> open during daylight level even surfaces for pushchairs/wheelchairs seating opportunities both formal and informal) <p>100% inclusive – not inclusive 0%</p>	<p>Proximity to other services/facilities (opportunities to travel to play on route to other events -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> shops, community buildings, school <p>100% multi facilities – no facilities 0%</p>	<p>Does it have highest catchment coverage (within 480m/10 min walk time)</p> <p>100% full residential coverage –no coverage 0%</p>	<p>Accessibility Barriers</p> <p>100% no barriers – barriers 0%</p> <p>Physical</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Railway lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpath links.) <p>Social</p> <p>Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children</p>		<p>Play Value – Children's Criteria (must have at least 5 out of 7)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (opportunities to run/walk hard surface to cycle, basketball, rollerskate, skateboard ability to play ball games opportunities to sit/hang out risky play –climbing, jumping, swinging natural play-hills, trees. well maintained, bins ,rubbish <p>100% 5 out of 7 - 0 out of 7 %</p>	<p>Equipment Quality (broken equipment or in need of repair)</p> <p>100% excellent condition - % poor condition</p>	<p>Overall Comments</p>
	80%	80%	100%	50%	50%	100%	Max 100%	100%	
	<p>Comments</p> <p>The site is overlooked from the adjacent apartments on the site.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>Little potential to improve this score all though development of remaining office site would increase the degree to which the site is overlooked.</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Site is accessible with several routes leading to the park. Paths of in good condition. There are some opportunities for seating.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>Due to size of site there is little potential to improve this score.</p> <p>Max 80%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Site is located close to town centre and the facilities located there. It is not a destination play area people would travel to but does provide an area for the residents of the new development and provides opportunity for them to play closely to home.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Around half of the catchment is the city centre whilst half is the residential area.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>Little potential to improve this score.</p> <p>Max 50%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Railway separates site from the town centre.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None</p> <p>Max 50%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>None</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>1. Opportunities to run/walk 2. hard surface 3. opportunities to sit 4. Risky play 5. Well maintained</p> <p>Site is only small and provides a limited play offer but does give residents of the new development an opportunity to play close to home.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Site is new and equipment is in excellent condition.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Site is good quality and well maintained but is limited in the play offer. Due to the built up nature of the development there is little opportunity to play elsewhere. However the site does off the residents of the development the opportunity to play close to their homes.</p> <p>Score 660 out of max 680.</p>



Play Location Suggested/Scope for Improvements	Degree to which play area is overlooked (<i>perception of safety</i> - • <i>Visible from properties fronting on to site</i> • <i>Visible by traffic-moderate residential volume and speed</i> • <i>Visible from footpaths</i>) 100% overlooked – not overlooked 0%	Degree to which site is 'Inclusive' • (<i>open during daylight</i>) • <i>level even surfaces for pushchairs/wheel chairs</i> • <i>seating opportunities both formal and informal</i>) 100% inclusive – not inclusive 0%	Proximity to other services/facilities (<i>opportunities to travel to play on route to other events</i> - • <i>shops, community buildings, school</i>) 100% multi facilities – no facilities 0%	Does it have highest catchment coverage (<i>within 480m/10 min walk time</i>) 100% full residential coverage –no coverage 0%	Accessibility Barriers 100% no barriers – barriers 0%		Play Value – Childrens Criteria (must have at least 5 out of 7) • (<i>opportunities to run/walk</i>) • <i>hard surface to cycle, basketball, rollerskate, skateboard</i> • <i>ability to play ball games</i> • <i>opportunities to sit/hang out</i> • <i>risky play –climbing, jumping, swinging</i> • <i>natural play-hills, trees.</i> • <i>well maintained, bins, rubbish</i> 100% 5 out of 7 - 0 out of 7 %	Equipment Quality (<i>broken equipment or in need of repair</i>) 100% excellent condition - % poor condition	Overall – Comments
					Physical • <i>Railway lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpath links.</i>)	Social • <i>Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children</i>			
Burntwood Park Summary	40%	90%	50%	100%	100%	100%	100%	40%	<p>Fairly well located within dense residential area, however location of play area within overall greenspace is possibly not the best. It is particularly well hidden from view; this lack of being overlooked could effect perception of safety. Lack of footpath may be an issue together with lack of formal crossing on road nearest play area.</p> <p>Improved equipment and additional equipment would increase play value along with better layout and incorporation of landscaping for play, both hard and soft. Better.</p> <p>620 out of max 720</p>
	<p>Comments</p> <p>Equipped play area located in middle of open greenspace and behind a small building. Play area is obscured from view by combination of its location in the middle and high boundary hedges. It gives a very enclosed appearance some what separated from adjacent open areas.</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Lack of seating within the park area.</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>School just a short distance to the north. Not close to any other facilities.</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Site has 100% residential coverage.</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Numerous entrances to site. No footpath on road immediately adjacent to site.</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>None.</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>1. Opportunities to run/walk 2. ability to play ball games 3. opportunity to sit/hangout 4. risky play 5. well maintained. No natural play Flat landform – no variations Limited equipment of variable quality</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Limited range of equipment including swings, slide/climbing frame (large and small).</p>	
	<p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>Limited potential to increase its visibility. Explore potential to thin, make openings within exiting hedges both to play area boundaries and entrance from road. Alternative would be to relocate play area to a more visible area within the overall site.</p>	<p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>Potential to increase number of formal and informal seating areas.</p>	<p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>No potential to increase this score.</p>	<p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p>	<p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>Although road is not busy , with no footpath potential for some form of crossing if this is felt would improve safety.</p>	<p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p>	<p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>Landscaping to enhance imaginative play, such as planting for play, hills, and boulders.</p>	<p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>More equipment, better landscaping conducive to imaginative play.</p>	
	Max 50%	Max 100%	Max 50%	Max 100%	Max 100%	Max 100%	Max 100%	Max 100%	



Play Location Suggested/Scope for Improvements	Degree to which play area is overlooked (perception of safety - • Visible from properties fronting on to site • Visible by traffic-moderate residential volume and speed • Visible from footpaths) 100% overlooked – not overlooked 0%	Degree to which site is 'Inclusive' • (open during daylight • level even surfaces for pushchairs/wheelchairs • seating opportunities both formal and informal) 100% inclusive – not inclusive 0%	Proximity to other services/facilities (opportunities to travel to play on route to other events - • shops, community buildings, school 100% multi facilities – no facilities 0%	Does it have highest catchment coverage (within 480m/10 min walk time) 100% full residential coverage –no coverage 0%	Accessibility Barriers 100% no barriers – barriers 0%		Play Value – Childrens Criteria (must have at least 5 out of 7) • (opportunities to run/walk • hard surface to cycle, basketball, rollerskate, skateboard • ability to play ball games • opportunities to sit/hang out • risky play –climbing, jumping, swinging • natural play-hills, trees. • well maintained, bins, rubbish 100% 5 out of 7 - 0 out of 7 %	Equipment Quality (broken equipment or in need of repair) 100% excellent condition - % poor condition	Overall Comments Comments Overall setting could be enhanced with some framework landscaping to increase visual interest. Park has recently undergone improvements as part of a project funded by s106 monies. The project provided new fencing, gates and car park improvements to the park.
Physical • Railway lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpath links.)		Social Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children							
	40%	60%	50%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
<p>Redwood Park</p>   <p>Comments</p> <p>Despite being surrounded by houses there is no direct overlooking from residential frontages, limited overlooking from the upper floors. Building on site closed – unsure over its use and whether this contributes to overlooking.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>Limited opportunities to increase visibility due to existing housing and lack of visibility from roads. Footpaths within site give some degree of overlooking.</p> <p>Max 40%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Surfaces within the site level and in good condition. Seating both informal and formal.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>Entrance surfaces into park around car park in very poor condition.</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Site is in close proximity to local school.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>No potential to improve this score.</p> <p>Max 50%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Site has high residential population within its catchment.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Site has good accessibility with several entrances and paths across the site.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> opportunities to run/walk hard surface to cycle etc. ability to play ball games opportunities to sit/hang out risky play natural play – hills well maintained <p>Site provides excellent play value with a range of opportunities for all types of play.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Equipment in good condition with elements of natural play built in, spider web, long slide, climbing frame, basket swing. Site provides a good range of facilities.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>Overall setting could be enhanced with some framework landscaping to increase visual interest.</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	<p>650 out of max 690.</p>



Play Location Suggested/Scope for Improvements	Degree to which play area is overlooked (perception of safety - • Visible from properties fronting on to site • Visible by traffic-moderate residential volume and speed • Visible from footpaths) 100% overlooked – not overlooked 0%	Degree to which site is 'Inclusive' • (open during daylight • level even surfaces for pushchairs/wheelchairs • seating opportunities both formal and informal) 100% inclusive – not inclusive 0%	Proximity to other services/facilities (opportunities to travel to play on route to other events - • shops, community buildings, school 100% multi facilities – no facilities 0%	Does it have highest catchment coverage (within 480m/10 min walk time) 100% full residential coverage –no coverage 0%	Accessibility Barriers 100% no barriers – barriers 0%		Play Value – Childrens Criteria (must have at least 5 out of 7) • (opportunities to run/walk • hard surface to cycle, basketball, rollerskate, skateboard • ability to play ball games • opportunities to sit/hang out • risky play –climbing, jumping, swinging • natural play-hills, trees. • well maintained, bins, rubbish 100% 5 out of 7 - 0 out of 7 %	Equipment Quality (broken equipment or in need of repair) 100% excellent condition - % poor condition	Overall Comments
					Physical • Railway lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpath links.)	Social Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children			
Chase Terrace park	30% Comments	100% Comments	30% Comments	100% Comments	100% Comments	100% Comments	100% Comments	100% Comments	Excellent site – there could be improvements through the inclusion of more obvious informal seating areas. The site scores poorly in terms of the degree to which it is overlooked, however due to the nature of surrounding properties there is little potential to improve this score. As stated paths across the site and other surrounding uses provide a degree of footfall through the park.
 	Equipped play area located in middle of open greenspace with Play area is obscured from view due to location. Not visible from nearest houses. There are footpaths crossing the site. Lies between Holly Grove Primary school and Chase Terrace Technology College which may increase footfall through site at certain times of the day.	Good surfaces around play equipment and suitably surfaced paths. Formal seating and small hill which could be used informally.	Church adjacent. Lies between Holly Grove Primary school and Chase Terrace Technology College which may increase footfall through site at certain times of the day.	Site has high residential catchment.	None.	None.	8. opportunities to run/walk 9. hard surface to cycle etc. 10. ability to play ball games 11. opportunities to sit/hang out 12. risky play 13. natural play – hills 14. well maintained Site provides excellent play value with a range of opportunities for all types of play.	MUGA separate area for young children. Slide/climbing and 2 pieces of multi function equipment	660 out of max 660
	Suggested Improvements	Suggested Improvements	Suggested Improvements	Suggested Improvements	Suggested Improvements	Suggested Improvements	Suggested Improvements	Suggested Improvements	
	There is little potential to increase this score.	Potential for more obvious informal seating.	No potential to increase this score.	None.	None.	None.	None.	None.	
Max 30%	Max 100%	Max 30%	Max 100%	Max 100%	Max 100%	Max 100%	Max 100%.	Max 100%	


Play Location Suggested/Scope for Improvements	Degree to which play area is overlooked (perception of safety - • Visible from properties fronting on to site • Visible by traffic-moderate residential volume and speed • Visible from footpaths) 100% overlooked – not overlooked 0%	Degree to which site is 'Inclusive' • (open during daylight • level even surfaces for pushchairs/wheelchairs • seating opportunities both formal and informal) 100% inclusive – not inclusive 0%	Proximity to other services/facilities (opportunities to travel to play on route to other events - • shops, community buildings, school 100% multi facilities – no facilities 0%	Does it have highest catchment coverage (within 480m/10 min walk time) 100% full residential coverage –no coverage 0%	Accessibility Barriers 100% no barriers – barriers 0%		Play Value – Childrens Criteria (must have at least 5 out of 7) • (opportunities to run/walk • hard surface to cycle, basketball, rollerskate, skateboard • ability to play ball games • opportunities to sit/hang out • risky play –climbing, jumping, swinging • natural play-hills, trees. • well maintained, bins, rubbish 100% 5 out of 7 - 0 out of 7 %	Equipment Quality (broken equipment or in need of repair) 100% excellent condition - % poor condition	Overall Comments Comments
					Physical • Railway lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpath links)	Social Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children			
Leisure Centre	100%	60%	100%	100%	100%	100%	50%	80%	Consider making better use of new and creative landscaping both hard and soft.
 	<p>Comments</p> <p>Site is specific to destination trip to Leisure Centre unlike other located within and serving existing residential areas.</p> <p>Visible by users around Leisure Centre facilities.</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>No seating, poor surfaces which are unusable after wet weather.</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Adjacent to Leisure Centre and temporary doctors surgery and in close proximity to nearby High Street,</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>There are nearby residential areas and the play area serves as a trip destination to people using the other facilities at the leisure centre.</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Play area is accessible to local residents and to all users of the leisure centre.</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>None.</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>A single piece of equipment – multi use type 1. opportunities to run/walk 2. ability to play ball games 3. risky play</p> <p>The site is very limited and offers little in the way of play value. Actual play area is very small designed for young children. Very close to car park and moving vehicles – supervision required. No natural play and very limited opportunities for imaginative play and limited pieces of equipment.</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Looks unkempt although individual pieces of equipment seem in good repair.</p>	<p>Consider making better use of new and creative landscaping both hard and soft.</p> <p>690 out of max 800</p>
	<p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	<p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>Alternative all weather surfacing may need to be explored and the need for formal seating</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	<p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	<p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	<p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	<p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	<p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>Potential for a much greater range of facilities with additional equipment and using natural play.</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	<p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>Improve maintenance of the wider site to ensure it looks in better condition.</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	

Play Location Suggested/Scope for Improvements	Degree to which play area is overlooked (perception of safety - • Visible from properties fronting on to site • Visible by traffic-moderate residential volume and speed • Visible from footpaths) 100% overlooked – not overlooked 0%	Degree to which site is 'Inclusive' • (open during daylight • level even surfaces for pushchairs/wheelchairs • seating opportunities both formal and informal) 100% inclusive – not inclusive 0%	Proximity to other services/facilities (opportunities to travel to play on route to other events - • shops, community buildings, pubs, school 100% multi facilities – no facilities 0%	Does it have highest catchment coverage (within 480m/10 min walk time) 100% full residential coverage –no coverage 0%	Accessibility Barriers 100% no barriers – barriers 0%		Play Value – Childrens Criteria (must have at least 5 out of 7) • (opportunities to run/walk • hard surface to cycle, basketball, rollerskate, skateboard • ability to play ball games • opportunities to sit/hang out • risky play –climbing, jumping, swinging • natural play-hills, trees. • well maintained, bins, rubbish 100% 5 out of 7 - 0 out of 7 %	Equipment Quality (broken equipment or in need of repair) 100% excellent condition - % poor condition	Overall Comments
					Physical • Railway lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpath links.)	Social Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children			
Youth Club	50%	80%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	730 out of max 750.
	Comments Limited overlooking by users of Youth Club and sports facilities.	Comments Limited seating, assume you do not need to be a member of youth club to use it.	Comments Located as part of the youth club and leisure centre facilities so is linked to other facilities young people will be using.	Comments Being a skate park this facility will attract people from a wider catchment, its location alongside the youth club and leisure centre means it is easily accessible.	Comments None.	Comments None.	Comments Score not appropriate as this is a specific skatepark. The park provides a range of ramps and skate facilities. Assume in terms of skating it scores 100%	Comments Ramps and surfacing is in good condition and seems well used by skaters.	
	Suggested Improvements No potential to increase this score. Max 50%	Suggested Improvements Explore opportunities for formal/informal seating and perhaps covered seating. Max 100%	Suggested Improvements None. Max 100%	Suggested Improvements None. Max 100%	Suggested Improvements None. Max 100%	Suggested Improvements None. Max 100%	Suggested Improvements None. Max 100%	Suggested Improvements None. Max 100%	


Play Location Suggested/Scope for Improvements	Degree to which play area is overlooked (perception of safety - • Visible from properties fronting on to site • Visible by traffic-moderate residential volume and speed • Visible from footpaths) 100% overlooked – not overlooked 0%	Degree to which site is 'Inclusive' • (open during daylight • level even surfaces for pushchairs/wheelchairs • seating opportunities both formal and informal) 100% inclusive – not inclusive 0%	Proximity to other services/facilities (opportunities to travel to play on route to other events - • shops, community buildings, pubs, school 100% multi facilities – no facilities 0%	Does it have highest catchment coverage (within 480m/10 min walk time) 100% full residential coverage –no coverage 0%	Accessibility Barriers 100% no barriers – barriers 0%		Play Value – Childrens Criteria (must have at least 5 out of 7) • (opportunities to run/walk • hard surface to cycle, basketball, rollerskate, skateboard • ability to play ball games • opportunities to sit/hang out • risky play –climbing, jumping, swinging • natural play-hills, trees. • well maintained, bins, rubbish 100% 5 out of 7 - 0 out of 7 %	Equipment Quality (broken equipment or in need of repair) 100% excellent condition - % poor condition	Overall Comments
					Physical • Railway lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpath links.)	Social Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children			
Chasewater	20%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	This site scores well although its maximum score seems low this is due to the nature of the site being located as part of the Chasewater Country Park facilities. As such a number of the assessment criteria used for other play areas are not applicable to this site, hence the low maximum score for the site.
 	<p>Comments</p> <p>Site is specific to destination trip to Chasewater unlike other located within and serving existing residential areas.</p> <p>Visible by users around Innovation Centre.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max 20%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Site is accessible by several paths which are in good condition; there are also areas of seating around the site.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>N/A – site is part of the country park and is well located to facilities within Chasewater however due the nature of the site it serves it is not close to facilities used for scoring in this section,</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max 0%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Site is part of a destination and is isolated from residential areas due to the nature of the country park.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max 0%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max 0%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max 0%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Play area is of a good quality and is well maintained with a good range of pieces of equipment set in an attractive wider greenspace which provides opportunities for other types of play.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Equipment is of good quality and well maintained.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	


<p>ARMITAGE WITH HANDSACRE</p> <p>Play Location Suggested/Scope for Improvements</p>	<p>Degree to which play area is overlooked (<i>perception of safety</i> -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Visible from properties fronting on to site Visible by traffic-moderate residential volume and speed Visible from footpaths) <p>100% overlooked – not overlooked 0%</p>	<p>Degree to which site is 'Inclusive'</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (open during daylight level even surfaces for pushchairs/wheel chairs seating opportunities both formal and informal) <p>100% inclusive – not inclusive 0%</p>	<p>Proximity to other services/facilities (<i>opportunities to travel to play on route to other events</i> -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> shops, community buildings, school <p>100% multi facilities – no facilities 0%</p>	<p>Does it have highest catchment coverage (<i>within 480m/10 min walk time</i>)</p> <p>100% full residential coverage –no coverage 0%</p>	<p>Accessibility Barriers</p> <p>100% no barriers – barriers 0%</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <th>Physical</th> <th>Social</th> </tr> <tr> <td> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Railway lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpath links.) </td> <td> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children </td> </tr> </table>		Physical	Social	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Railway lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpath links.) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children 	<p>Play Value – Childrens Criteria (must have at least 5 out of 7)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (opportunities to run/walk hard surface to cycle, basketball, rollerskate, skateboard ability to play ball games opportunities to sit/hang out risky play –climbing, jumping, swinging natural play-hills, trees. well maintained, bins, rubbish <p>100% 5 out of 7 - 0 out of 7 %</p>	<p>Equipment Quality (<i>broken equipment or in need of repair</i>)</p> <p>100% excellent condition - % poor condition</p>	<p>Overall Comments</p>
Physical	Social												
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Railway lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpath links.) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children 												
<p>Armitage – St Barbara’s Road Suggested/Scope for Improvements</p> 	<p>100%</p> <p>Comments</p> <p>Site is overlooked by surrounding residential.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max score 100%</p>	<p>100%</p> <p>Comments</p> <p>Site is accessible and surfaces are even, there is a range of informal seating.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max score 100%</p>	<p>50%</p> <p>Comments</p> <p>Site is set away from the school and local shops which are a considerable walk through the residential area.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max score 50%</p>	<p>70%</p> <p>Comments</p> <p>The site is within a residential area and has significant coverage within a 48m buffer.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max score 70%</p>	<p>100%</p> <p>Comments</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max Score 100%</p>	<p>80%</p> <p>Comments</p> <p>Appears to be mainly used by those who live in the immediate vicinity.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max score 100%</p>	<p>100%</p> <p>Comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Opportunity to run/walk Hard surface ability to play ball games opportunity to sit/hang out risky play natural play <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>Site need to continue to be well maintained.</p> <p>Max score 100%</p>	<p>100%</p> <p>Comments</p> <p>This site has been updated recently with new layout and equipment including MUGA</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>Max score 100%</p>	<p>Site has recently been redeveloped with a MUGA and a range of additional equipment. The site now works well and is well located within the existing residential area.</p> <p>Total score 700/720</p>				
<p>Armitage – Shropshire Brook Road Suggested/Scope for Improvements</p> 	<p>70%</p> <p>Comments</p> <p>Village hall adjacent and footpaths across the site, however properties are fairly distant and the site is not particularly visible from the road as it is set back within the wider green space.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>Little potential to improve this score</p> <p>Max score 100%</p>	<p>60%</p> <p>Comments</p> <p>No seating, surfaces not very level.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>Improvements to surfacing and potential to install formal/informal seating.</p> <p>Max score 100%</p>	<p>100%</p> <p>Comments</p> <p>Adjacent to village hall and local shops and medical centre only a short walk away.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None,</p> <p>Max score 100%</p>	<p>100%</p> <p>Comments</p> <p>Site has a high residential catchment.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max score 100%</p>	<p>100%</p> <p>Comments</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None,</p> <p>Max score 100%</p>	<p>100%</p> <p>Comments</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None,</p> <p>Max score 100%</p>	<p>60%</p> <p>Comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Opportunity to run/walk Hard surface ability to play ball games well maintained <p>This site has a flat tarmac area and a lack of seating. Has good potential to be improved.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>More equipment spread through adjacent area</p> <p>Max score 100%</p>	<p>100%</p> <p>Comments</p> <p>Quality of equipment is reasonable. However, there could be a greater range of equipment at the site.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>More variety of equipment would benefit site</p> <p>Max score 100%</p>	<p>Site is located centrally close to other village facilities within a wider large greenspace. There is potential to improve provision at the site significantly and provide a range of facilities for a range of ages.</p> <p>Total score 690/800</p>				


<p>Armitage – off Upper Lodge Road Suggested/Scope for Improvements</p> 	<p>10%</p> <p>Comments</p> <p>Poor visibility into site from rear of nearby houses, not visible from road or footpath.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>No potential to improve this score</p> <p>Max score 10%</p>	<p>60%</p> <p>Comments</p> <p>Surfaces appear uneven and of various materials.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>Improve surfacing and explore opportunities for informal/formal seating.</p> <p>Max score 100%</p>	<p>0%</p> <p>Comments</p> <p>Is not closely located near to any other facilities.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>No potential to improve this score.</p> <p>Max score 0%</p>	<p>100%</p> <p>Comments</p> <p>Site is located within a residential area and serves the west of the village.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max score 100%</p>	<p>100%</p> <p>Comments</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None,</p> <p>Max score 100%</p>	<p>100%</p> <p>Comments</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max score 100%</p>	<p>20&</p> <p>Comments</p> <p>Limited opportunity's to sit and swing, play equipment is limited and does not offer a great deal of play variety.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>Redevelop with new play area and explore opportunities to make site attractive.</p> <p>Max score 100%</p>	<p>0%</p> <p>Comments</p> <p>Equipment is in poor condition, most appears broken.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>Improve the condition of all equipment</p> <p>Max score 100%</p>	<p>Site is in poor condition, the site would benefit from redevelopment with a new play area to improve the play value and quality of the site. Currently this is the only site in the west of the village and is important in serving the resident population.</p> <p>Total score 390/610</p>
<p>Armitage – Millmoor Avenue Suggested/Scope for Improvements</p> 	<p>20%</p> <p>Comments</p> <p>Limited visibility from rear of properties adjacent to garage court and parking</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>No potential to increase this score.</p> <p>Max score 20%</p>	<p>25%</p> <p>Comments</p> <p>No seating or flat surfaces which makes accessibility difficult.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>Explore opportunities for formal and informal seating and improved surfacing.</p> <p>Max score 100%</p>	<p>50%</p> <p>Comments</p> <p>Is located a short walk away from local shops and other services located to the north of the site.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>No potential to increase this score.</p> <p>Max score 50%</p>	<p>100%</p> <p>Comments</p> <p>Site is located within a residential area and is located to the west of the settlement.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max score 100%</p>	<p>100%</p> <p>Comments</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max score 100%</p>	<p>100%</p> <p>Comments</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max score 100%</p>	<p>40%</p> <p>Comments</p> <p>Limited opportunities to run, walk, climb, and the current play equipment is very limited and does not offer a range of activities.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>More new equipment, bigger site possibly making use of adjacent greenspace</p> <p>Max score 100%</p>	<p>0%</p> <p>Comments</p> <p>2 pieces of equipment on site are old and rusty.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>New equipment</p> <p>Max score 100%</p>	<p>Site is very poor and offers limited play equipment. The equipment that is located on the site is poorly maintained and old. The site would need to be redeveloped with a range of new facilities potentially using the adjacent greenspace to increase the provision.</p> <p>Total score 435/670</p>


<p><u>Alrewas</u></p> <p>Play Location Suggested/Scope for Improvements</p>	<p>Degree to which play area is overlooked (perception of safety -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Visible from properties fronting on to site Visible by traffic-moderate residential volume and speed Visible from footpaths) <p>100% overlooked – not overlooked 0%</p>	<p>Degree to which site is 'Inclusive'</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (open during daylight level even surfaces for pushchairs/wheelchairs seating opportunities both formal and informal) <p>100% inclusive – not inclusive 0%</p>	<p>Proximity to other services/facilities (opportunities to travel to play on route to other events -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> shops, community buildings, school <p>100% multi facilities – no facilities 0%</p>	<p>Does it have highest catchment coverage (within 480m/10 min walk time)</p> <p>100% full residential coverage –no coverage 0%</p>	<p>Accessibility Barriers</p> <p>100% no barriers – barriers 0%</p>		<p>Play Value – Children's Criteria (must have at least 5 out of 7)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (opportunities to run/walk hard surface to cycle, basketball, rollerskate, skateboard ability to play ball games opportunities to sit/hang out risky play –climbing, jumping, swinging natural play-hills, trees. well maintained, bins, rubbish <p>100% 5 out of 7 - 0 out of 7 %</p>	<p>Equipment Quality (broken equipment or in need of repair) 100% excellent condition - % poor condition</p>	<p>Overall Comments</p>
<p>Rear Chaseview Road Suggested/Scope for Improvements</p> 	<p>60%</p> <p>Comments</p> <p>Near cricket club and football field there is some distant visibility from rear of properties</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>No potential to improve this score.</p> <p>Max score 60%</p>	<p>100%</p> <p>Comments</p> <p>Surfaces are level and there area areas to sit.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max score 100%</p>	<p>20%</p> <p>Comments</p> <p>Near cricket club, footpaths used by do walkers</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max score 20%</p>	<p>50%</p> <p>Comments</p> <p>Due to the sites location on the edge of the settlement the catchment only covers part of the village.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>Little potential to improve this score.</p> <p>Max score 50%</p>	<p>100%</p> <p>Comments</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max score 100%</p>	<p>100%</p> <p>Comments</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max score 100%</p>	<p>100%</p> <p>Comments</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Opportunities to run/walk Ability to play ball games Opportunities to sit/hang out Risky Play Well maintained <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>Explore opportunities for natural play in the wider green space.</p> <p>Max score 100%</p>	<p>90%</p> <p>Comments</p> <p>Equipment appears well used and the condition could be improved slightly.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>Max score 100%</p>	<p>Good site is well maintained and provides a good range of play facilities.</p> <p>Site only provides for part of the village due to its location on the edge of the settlement. Opportunities for another play area in the eastern half of the village should be explored. Should explore opportunities for natural play.</p> <p>Total score 620/620</p>


Fradley Play Location Suggested/Scope for Improvements	Degree to which play area is overlooked (perception of safety) - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Visible from properties fronting on to site Visible by traffic-moderate residential volume and speed Visible from footpaths) 100% overlooked – not overlooked 0%	Degree to which site is 'Inclusive' <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (open during daylight level even surfaces for pushchairs/wheelchairs seating opportunities both formal and informal) 100% inclusive – not inclusive 0%	Proximity to other services/facilities (opportunities to travel to play on route to other events) - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> shops, community buildings, school 100% multi facilities – no facilities 0%	Does it have highest catchment coverage (within 480m/10 min walk time) 100% full residential coverage –no coverage 0%	Accessibility Barriers 100% no barriers – barriers 0% <table border="1"> <tr> <th>Physical</th> <th>Social</th> </tr> <tr> <td> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Railway lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpath links.) </td> <td> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children </td> </tr> </table>		Physical	Social	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Railway lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpath links.) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children 	Play Value – Children's Criteria (must have at least 5 out of 7) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (opportunities to run/walk hard surface to cycle, basketball, rollerskate, skateboard ability to play ball games opportunities to sit/hang out risky play –climbing, jumping, swinging natural play-hills, trees. well maintained, bins, rubbish 100% 5 out of 7 - 0 out of 7 %	Equipment Quality (broken equipment or in need of repair) 100% excellent condition - % poor condition	Overall Comments
Physical	Social												
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Railway lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpath links.) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children 												
Fradley Park, Worthington Road, Fradley 	90% Comments Part site is overlooked by properties-residential traffic-pedestrian movement through site. Suggested Improvements Part site obscured by planting inhibiting views of whole site, possibly leading to underuse and increased perception of vulnerability. Max score 100%	100% Comments Surfaces are even and of good quality and there is areas for seating. Suggested Improvements None. Max score 100%	20% Comments Limited facilities nearby and location in relation to housing would limit such trips. Site is not on direct route between school and shops. Suggested Improvements No potential to improve this score. Max score 20%	100% Comments Almost all radial catchment is residential. Suggested Improvements None. Max score 100%	100% Comments None Suggested Improvements None. Max score 100%	100% Comments None Suggested Improvements None. Max score 100%	100% Comments No hard surfacing but alternative site nearby. Equipment is new and in good condition. Suggested Improvements None. Max score 100%	100% Comments No hard surfacing but alternative site nearby. Suggested Improvements None. Max score 100%	Due to the age of the site little improvements are suggested, exception of possibly addressing the need to reduce planting in the central area to increase longer views across the whole site. This could result in better use of the underused area. 710 our of max 720				
Fradley Skate park, Hay End Lane	30% Comments Set back from road visibility in site is limited from road. Properties are too far away. Adjacent to village hall but again visibility is limited Suggested Improvements	100% Comments Surfaces are level and in good condition – appropriate for skating and there is some formal seating. Suggested Improvements	100% Comments Is located adjacent to the school and village hall. Suggested Improvements	50% Comments Depending on whether any new development, catchment coverage could be increased Suggested Improvements	100% Comments None. Suggested Improvements	100% Comments None. Suggested Improvements	100% Comments Score not appropriate as this is a specific skate park and MUGA. The park provides a range of ramps and skate facilities. Assume in terms of skating it scores 100%. Suggested Improvements	100% Comments Ramps and surfacing is in good condition and seems well used by skaters. Suggested Improvements	Good quality site which provides for a specific type of recreation activity. The MUGA also provides for a range of activities. There is potential for introducing other types of equipment adjacent to the site which would increase provision.				


	Visibility could be improved if there is new development nearby that is designed to maximising overlooking. Max score 30%	None. Max score 100%	None. Max score 100%	Little potential to improve this score. Max score 50%	Max score 100%	Max score 100%	Max score 100%	May be potential to include some form of equipped play to provide a greater range of play on the site. Max score 100%	Max score 100%	680 out of max 680
---	--	-----------------------------	-----------------------------	--	----------------	----------------	----------------	--	----------------	--------------------


Shenstone Play Location Suggested/Scope for Improvements	Degree to which play area is overlooked (perception of safety - • Visible from properties fronting on to site • Visible by traffic-moderate residential volume and speed • Visible from footpaths) 100% overlooked – not overlooked 0%	Degree to which site is 'Inclusive' • (open during daylight • level even surfaces for pushchairs/wheelchairs • seating opportunities both formal and informal) 100% inclusive – not inclusive 0%	Proximity to other services/facilities (opportunities to travel to play on route to other events - • shops, community buildings, school 100% multi facilities – no facilities 0%	Does it have highest catchment coverage (within 480m/10 min walk time) 100% full residential coverage –no coverage 0%	Accessibility Barriers 100% no barriers – barriers 0%		Play Value – Children's Criteria (must have at least 5 out of 7) • (opportunities to run/walk • hard surface to cycle, basketball, rollerskate, skateboard • ability to play ball games • opportunities to sit/hang out • risky play –climbing, jumping, swinging • natural play-hills, trees. • well maintained, bins, rubbish 100% 5 out of 7 - 0 out of 7 %	Equipment Quality (broken equipment or in need of repair) 100% excellent condition - % poor condition	Overall Comments
					Physical • Railway lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpath links.)	Social Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children			
Sites at Recreation Ground	20%	80%	100%	50%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
	Comments Adjacent to village hall and recreation ground, however is not overlooked by properties and road is set below the site. Suggested Improvements No potential to improve this score. Max score 20%	Comments No seating, limited flat surfaces. Suggested Improvements Provision of formal seating within the recreation ground. Max score 100%	Comments Is located close to the school and village hall, additionally the local shops are only a short distance away. Suggested Improvements None. Max score 100%	Comments Located in the north of the village which leaves the southern half of the settlement without provision. Suggested Improvements No potential to improve this score. Max score 100%	Comments None. Suggested Improvements None. Max score 100%	Comments None. Suggested Improvements None. Max score 100%	Comments A variety of equipment but located within a small designated area, well maintained, youth shelter nearby. Suggested Improvements Explore opportunities to spread out on adjacent greenspace. No natural play/landscaping. Max score 100%	Comments Equipment seems in good condition Max score 100%	Well presented and maintained site. Located close to existing village facilities and school. Explore opportunities for natural play, seating and potential to increase provision within the greenspace. Explore opportunities for additional site within the southern half of the settlement, Total score 650/720

Little Aston Play Location Suggested/Scope for Improvements	Degree to which play area is overlooked (perception of safety - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Visible from properties fronting on to site Visible by traffic-moderate residential volume and speed Visible from footpaths) 100% overlooked – not overlooked 0%	Degree to which site is 'Inclusive' <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (open during daylight level even surfaces for pushchairs/wheelchairs seating opportunities both formal and informal) 100% inclusive – not inclusive 0%	Proximity to other services/facilities (opportunities to travel to play on route to other events - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> shops, community buildings, school 100% multi facilities – no facilities 0%	Does it have highest catchment coverage (within 480m/10 min walk time) 100% full residential coverage – no coverage 0%	Accessibility Barriers 100% no barriers – barriers 0%		Play Value – Children's Criteria (must have at least 5 out of 7) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> opportunities to run/walk hard surface to cycle, basketball, rollerskate, skateboard ability to play ball games opportunities to sit/hang out risky play –climbing, jumping, swinging natural play-hills, trees. well maintained, bins, rubbish 100% 5 out of 7 - 0 out of 7 %	Equipment Quality (broken equipment or in need of repair) 100% excellent condition - % poor condition	Overall Comments
					Physical <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Railway lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpath links.) 	Social Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children			
Forge Lane 	20% Comments Not overlooked by properties, limited views from busy road, adjacent to school Suggested Improvements No potential to increase this score. Max score 20%	90% Comments Car park surface needs attention. Within site surfaces are good Suggested Improvements Improve car park surfacing. Max Score 100%	30% Comments Adjacent to school, but is separate from main residential areas and other facilities. Suggested Improvements No potential to improve this. Max Score 30%	20% Comments Located at one end of the settlement reduces the catchment coverage. A more central location would be ideal although there are some very busy main roads through the settlement Suggested Improvements Consider additional more central and accessible site if needed Max Score 100%	10% Comments Play area is some distance away from residential areas across very busy roads. Suggested Improvements Possibly a crossing would be of benefit but still involves long distances. Max Score 40%	100% Comments None. Suggested Improvements None. Max Score 100%	100% Comments Site offers a range of play equipment set in a large wider green space which provides excellent opportunities for a variety of play. Suggested Improvements None. Max Score 100%	100% Comments Equipment is in excellent condition. Suggested Improvements Improvements could be made to the parking surface at the park. Opportunities for a more centrally located equipped play area could be explored. 470 out of max 490. Max Score 100%	Excellent site which is in very good condition. Site is located adjacent to the local school however the site is a long distance from the main residential areas. Additionally there are a number of busy roads which make accessing the park difficult. Improvements could be made to the parking surface at the park. Opportunities for a more centrally located equipped play area could be explored. 470 out of max 490.


Whittington Play Location Suggested/Scope for Improvements	Degree to which play area is overlooked (perception of safety) - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Visible from properties fronting on to site Visible by traffic-moderate residential volume and speed Visible from footpaths) 100% overlooked – not overlooked 0%	Degree to which site is 'Inclusive' <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (open during daylight level even surfaces for pushchairs/wheelchairs seating opportunities both formal and informal) 100% inclusive – not inclusive 0%	Proximity to other services/facilities (opportunities to travel to play on route to other events) - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> shops, community buildings, school 100% multi facilities – no facilities 0%	Does it have highest catchment coverage (within 480m/10 min walk time) 100% full residential coverage –no coverage 0%	Accessibility Barriers 100% no barriers – barriers 0%		Play Value – Children's Criteria (must have at least 5 out of 7) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (opportunities to run/walk hard surface to cycle, basketball, rollerskate, skateboard ability to play ball games opportunities to sit/hang out risky play –climbing, jumping, swinging natural play-hills, trees. well maintained, bins, rubbish 100% 5 out of 7 - 0 out of 7 %	Equipment Quality (broken equipment or in need of repair) 100% excellent condition - % poor condition	Overall Comments
Whittington Noddington Lane Suggested/Scope for Improvements	100% Comments Overlooked by properties to one side and footpath through site  Suggested Improvements None Max Score 100%	100% Comments Footpaths and surfaces are even and accessible; there is sufficient lighting on the footpath. However there is only one bench within the site. Suggested Improvements Additional formal/informal seating within the site would improve the site. Max Score 100%	50% Comments Site is a short walk from the main shops and facilities on Main Street. However the school is a long way from this play area. Suggested Improvements No potential to improve this score. Max 50%	50% Comments Catchment covers a large proportion of the village, but also covers a significant area of open countryside. Suggested Improvements No potential to increase this score. Max 50%	100% Comments None. Suggested Improvements None Max 100%	100% Comments None. Suggested Improvements None Max 100%	100% Comments 1. Opportunities to run/walk 2. Hard Surface 3. Ability to play ball games 4. Opportunities to sit/hang out 5. Risky play 6. Natural Play 7. Well maintained Good site with a range of equipment, MUGA and Trees etc for natural play. Suggested Improvements None Max 100%	80% Comments Swings and roundabout and MUGA are quite old and in need of some maintenance but generally is a good site. Suggested Improvements Repair/repaint the old swings and roundabout. Max 100%	Good site which offers a range of play features. The swings, roundabout and some of the climbing equipment is a little old and could be improved. Also explore opportunities for additional seating within the site. 680 out of max 700.
Whittington Cricket ground Suggested/Scope for Improvements	20% Comments Is overlooked by a small number of properties and other users of the recreation ground. Suggested Improvements No potential to improve this score Max Score 20%	50% Comments Grass surfaces are even and there is a lot of seating. There are no paths across the field meaning wheelchair and push chair users would have to cross the whole field. No lighting. Suggested Improvements Footpaths would provide easier access to the play area for some users. Max Score 50%	0% Comments Site is not located closely to any other facilities. Suggested Improvements Limited potential to improve this score. Max 0%	30% Comments Due to location on the edge of settlement a majority of the catchment is outside of the village. Suggested Improvements No potential to improve this. Max Score 30%	100% Comments None. Suggested Improvements None. Max 100%	100% Comments None. Suggested Improvements None. Max 100%	50% Comments 1. Opportunities to run/walk 2. Ability to play ball games 3. Opportunities to sit/hang out 4. Risky play Site only consists of slide, swing, roundabout and a small climbing frame. Suggested Improvements Explore opportunities to improve play offer through natural play and additional equipment. Max 100%	50% Comments Equipment is old and in need of replacement. Suggested Improvements Repair/replace equipment and provide additional equipment, exploring opportunities for natural play. Max 100%	Poor site – equipment is limited and in poor repair. Site is located on the edge of the village to the side of football pitches. Much could be done with this site and the wider green space it sits within. Explore opportunities for new equipment or even moving the play area to another part of the field. 400 out of max 500

Fazeley, Mile Oak & Bonehill Play Location Suggested/Scope for Improvements	Degree to which play area is overlooked (perception of safety) - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Visible from properties fronting on to site Visible by traffic-moderate residential volume and speed Visible from footpaths) 100% overlooked – not overlooked 0%	Degree to which site is 'Inclusive' <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (open during daylight level even surfaces for pushchairs/wheelchairs seating opportunities both formal and informal) 100% inclusive – not inclusive 0%	Proximity to other services/facilities (opportunities to travel to play on route to other events) - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> shops, community buildings, school 100% multi facilities – no facilities 0%	Does it have highest catchment coverage (within 480m/10 min walk time) 100% full residential coverage –no coverage 0%	Accessibility Barriers 100% no barriers – barriers 0%		Play Value – Children's Criteria (must have at least 5 out of 7) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (opportunities to run/walk hard surface to cycle, basketball, rollerskate, skateboard ability to play ball games opportunities to sit/hang out risky play –climbing, jumping, swinging natural play-hills, trees. well maintained, bins, rubbish 100% 5 out of 7 - 0 out of 7 %	Equipment Quality (broken equipment or in need of repair) 100% excellent condition - % poor condition	Overall Comments
Fazeley –off Victory Terrace Suggested/Scope for Improvements	100%	0%	80%	50%	50%	100%	0%	20%	Very poor site which
	Comments Site is overlooked by the adjacent terrace. Suggested Improvements None Max Score 100%	Comment There is no seating, or level surfacing around the site which makes the dress to which it is inclusive limited. Suggested Improvements Export opportunities for level surface to access the play equipment and seating around the site. Max Score 100%	Comments Site is in close proximity to the local shops and community facilities in Fazeley. Suggested Improvements None. Max 80%	Comments Site covers residential area within the District and parts of Tamworth Borough. Catchment does contain some industrial uses and busy roads provide a barrier to access. Suggested Improvements Little Opportunity to improve this score. Max Score 50%	Comments Busy roads separate the site from much of its catchment and the whole site is surrounded by obtrusive fencing. Suggested Improvements Improve access to the site and remove fencing which provides obstacle to access. Max Score 80%	Comments None Suggested Improvements None Max Score 100%	Comment 1. Opportunities to run/walk 2. risky play Poor site which offers very little in play value, there is only one small piece of equipment set in an underutilised green space. Suggested Improvements Site requires significant improvement or relocation. Opportunities to include natural play, seating and a variety of new equipment could be explored. Max 50%	Comments All equipment is in poor condition and rusty, equipment is in need of complete refurbishment or replacement. Suggested Improvements New equipment should be provided and current facility is in poor condition. Max 100%	is in need of replacing. The equipment is small and very limited and does not offer many opportunities for play. Additionally the site is not well located being located behind the adjacent flats and separated from the wider residential area by busy roads. The play area is fenced off from the wider green space in which it is located. Opportunities to improve the site significantly should be explored or the relocation of a new, better located site may be preferable. 400 out of max 660


Fazeley – off Coronation Avenue/near recreation Ground Suggested/Scope for Improvements 	100%	80%	100%	50%	80%	100%	50%	80%	Site is well located close to other facilities and services a significant residential area. However the equipment is of varying ages and is located on the edge of a playing field. Explore opportunities to improve the range of equipment to incorporate natural play and seating. 740 out of max 750
	Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments	
	Site is overlooked by the rear of some adjacent properties and would be visible from the other uses such as the football on the site.	The grassed area around the play equipment is level and well maintained. There is very little seating around the site,	Site is located adjacent to football club and community facilities which are within the wider greenspace and is adjacent to school	Due to location of the site on the edge of the settlement a large amount of the catchment is open countryside	Access to the site is difficult, via a narrow drive way to access the football club.	None	1. Opportunities to run/walk 2. hard surface 3. ability to play ball games 4. Risky play	Equipment is of reasonable quality with some newer pieces present. Appears to have been well maintained.	
	Suggested Improvements None	Suggested Improvements Explore opportunities for formal/informal seating.	Suggested Improvements None	Suggested Improvements None.	Suggested Improvements Improvements to signage to show where the access to the park.	Suggested Improvements None	Suggested Improvements Explore opportunities for natural play and seating. Additionally the range of equipment provided could be improved.	Suggested Improvements Some equipment is old and may need maintenance/replacing.	
Max Score 100%	Max Score 100%	Max 100%	Max 50%	Max 100%	Max 100%	Max 100%	Max 100%	Max 100%	

Clifton Campville Play Location Suggested/Scope for Improvements	Degree to which play area is overlooked (perception of safety - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Visible from properties fronting on to site Visible by traffic-moderate residential volume and speed Visible from footpaths) 100% overlooked – not overlooked 0%	Degree to which site is 'Inclusive' <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (open during daylight level even surfaces for pushchairs/wheelchairs seating opportunities both formal and informal) 100% inclusive – not inclusive 0%	Proximity to other services/facilities (opportunities to travel to play on route to other events - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> shops, community buildings, school 100% multi facilities – no facilities 0%	Does it have highest catchment coverage (within 480m/10 min walk time) 100% full residential coverage –no coverage 0%	Accessibility Barriers 100% no barriers – barriers 0%		Play Value – Children's Criteria (must have at least 5 out of 7) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (opportunities to run/walk hard surface to cycle, basketball, rollerskate, skateboard ability to play ball games opportunities to sit/hang out risky play –climbing, jumping, swinging natural play-hills, trees. well maintained, bins, rubbish 100% 5 out of 7 - 0 out of 7 %	Equipment Quality (broken equipment or in need of repair) 100% excellent condition - % poor condition	Overall Comments
					Physical <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Railway lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpath links.) 	Social <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children 			
Clifton Campville, Off Coppice Lane (Coneyberry Millennium Green) 	20%	100%	20%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	Good green space which is excellently maintained and offers a lot of accessible green space for the village. However, the equipped play element of the site is limited to a small area. Explore opportunities to improve this through additional play equipment and natural play. 640 out of max 640
Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments	Comments	
Overlooked from footpaths through the green space but no houses overlook the site.	Footpaths in good condition with plenty of formal and informal seating throughout the site.	Located a distance from facilities but within the wider facilities of the Millennium Green.	Although site is located outside the settlement the catchment covers the whole village and the site is accessible by a number of footpaths and entrances.	None.	None,	1. Opportunities to run/walk 2. Ability to play ball games 3. Opportunities to sit/hang out 4. Natural play 5. Well maintained	Equipment is in excellent condition.		
Suggested Improvements No potential to improve this score.	Suggested Improvements None.	Suggested Improvements No potential to improve this score.	Suggested Improvements None.	Suggested Improvements None.	Suggested Improvements None.	Suggested Improvements Increase risky and natural play as current equipment is limited. Explore opportunities for additional equipment within the wider green space.	Suggested Improvements None.		


	Max Score 20%	Max Score 100%	Max Score 20%	Max Score 100%	Max Score 100%	Max Score 100%	Max Score 100%	Max Score 100%	Max 100%
--	---------------	----------------	---------------	----------------	----------------	----------------	----------------	----------------	----------


Colton Play Location Suggested/Scope for Improvements	Degree to which play area is overlooked (perception of safety - • Visible from properties fronting on to site • Visible by traffic-moderate residential volume and speed • Visible from footpaths) 100% overlooked – not overlooked 0%	Degree to which site is 'Inclusive' • (open during daylight • level even surfaces for pushchairs/wheelchairs • seating opportunities both formal and informal) 100% inclusive – not inclusive 0%	Proximity to other services/facilities (opportunities to travel to play on route to other events - • shops, community buildings, school 100% multi facilities – no facilities 0%	Does it have highest catchment coverage (within 480m/10 min walk time) 100% full residential coverage –no coverage 0%	Accessibility Barriers 100% no barriers – barriers 0%		Play Value – Children's Criteria (must have at least 5 out of 7) • (opportunities to run/walk • hard surface to cycle, basketball, rollerskate, skateboard • ability to play ball games • opportunities to sit/hang out • risky play –climbing, jumping, swinging • natural play-hills, trees. • well maintained, bins, rubbish 100% 5 out of 7 - 0 out of 7 %	Equipment Quality (broken equipment or in need of repair) 100% excellent condition - % poor condition	Overall Comments
	20%	100%	100%	50%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
	<p>Comments</p> <p>Site is overlooked by village hall but no properties or traffic.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>No way to improve this score.</p> <p>Max Score 20%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Level surfaces and lot of formal seating within the site.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max Score 100%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Site is adjacent to the village hall and school is only a short distance along the street.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max Score 100%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>A majority of the village is within the areas catchment although much of the catchment is countryside.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>No potential to improve this score.</p> <p>Max Score 50%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>None</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None</p> <p>Max Score 100%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>None</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None</p> <p>Max Score 100%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Opportunities to run/walk 2. Ability to play ball games 3. Opportunities to sit/hang out 4. Risky Play 5. Well Maintained <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>Explore opportunities for natural play within wider green space.</p> <p>Max Score 100%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Fairly new equipment and is in excellent condition – appears to have been very well maintained.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max Score 100%</p>	<p>Good site which offers a range of play opportunities within a well maintained wider green space. There is lots of seating within the site.</p> <p>Explore opportunities for natural play.</p> <p>670 out of max 670</p>


Drayton Bassett Play Location Suggested/Scope for Improvements	Degree to which play area is overlooked (perception of safety - • Visible from properties	Degree to which site is 'Inclusive' • (open during daylight • level even surfaces for pushchairs/wheelchairs	Proximity to other services/facilities (opportunities to travel to play on route to other events - • shops,	Does it have highest catchment coverage (within 480m/10 min walk time)	Accessibility Barriers 100% no barriers – barriers 0%		Play Value – Children's Criteria (must have at least 5 out of 7) • (opportunities to run/walk • hard surface to cycle, basketball, rollerskate, skateboard • ability to play ball games	Equipment Quality (broken equipment or in need of repair) 100% excellent condition - %	Overall Comments
---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	------------------


	<p>fronting on to site</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Visible by traffic-moderate residential volume and speed Visible from footpaths) 100% overlooked – not overlooked 0% 	<p>airs seating opportunities both formal and informal)</p> <p>100% inclusive – not inclusive 0%</p>	<p>community buildings, school</p> <p>100% multi facilities – no facilities 0%</p>	<p>100% full residential coverage –no coverage 0%</p>	<p>Physical</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Railway lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpath links.) 	<p>Social</p> <p>Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ability to play ball games opportunities to sit/hang out risky play –climbing, jumping, swinging natural play-hills, trees. well maintained, bins, rubbish <p>100% 5 out of 7 - 0 out of 7 %</p>	<p>poor condition</p>	
	40%	80%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	80%	
	<p>Comments</p> <p>Overlooked by some properties to onside of the site. Also site is visible from the pitches and other uses within the wider green space which would offer a degree of visibility.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>Little potential to improve this score.</p> <p>Max Score 40%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Wood chip surfacing may not be suitable for all push chair and wheel chair users. There is only a small amount of seating available.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>Explore opportunities for more seating at the site.</p> <p>Max Score 100%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Well located within walking distance of local shop and school. Is also located alongside other facilities such as playing pitches and MUGA.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Site is well located, despite its edge of settlement location the whole village is within the 480m catchment.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> opportunities to run/walk hard surface ability to play ball games opportunities to sit/hang around risky play well maintained <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>Opportunities for natural play could be explored.</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Play equipment is fairly new and is in good order. The MUGA comprising of tennis court, basketball hoops is in need of some improvements and maintenance.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>Improvements to the MUGA would improve the offer at the site.</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	<p>Good site which offers a good play value and is well located within the settlement. The two area of play are set within a wider greenspace which includes a playing pitch, pavilion and bmx ramps. The MUGA requires some maintenance and improvements.</p> <p>700 out of max 720</p>


Edingale Play Location Suggested/Scope for Improvements	Degree to which play area is overlooked (perception of safety -	Degree to which site is 'Inclusive'	Proximity to other services/facilities (opportunities to travel to play on route to other events -	Does it have highest catchment coverage (within 480m/10 min walk time)	Accessibility Barriers		Play Value – Children's Criteria (must have at least 5 out of 7)	Equipment Quality (broken equipment or in need of repair) 100% excellent condition - % poor condition	Overall Comments
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Visible from properties fronting on to site Visible by traffic-moderate residential volume and speed Visible from footpaths) 100% overlooked – not overlooked 0% 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (open during daylight level even surfaces for pushchairs/wheelchairs airs seating opportunities both formal and informal) <p>100% inclusive – not inclusive 0%</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> shops, community buildings, school <p>100% multi facilities – no facilities 0%</p>	<p>100% full residential coverage –no coverage 0%</p>	<p>100% no barriers – barriers 0%</p>	<p>Physical</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Railway lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpath links.) 	<p>Social</p> <p>Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (opportunities to run/walk hard surface to cycle, basketball, rollerskate, skateboard ability to play ball games opportunities to sit/hang out risky play –climbing, jumping, swinging natural play-hills, trees. well maintained, bins, rubbish <p>100% 5 out of 7 - 0 out of 7 %</p>	
Edingale, Off Moores Croft	60%	75%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	Little need for improvement – this site has

	<p>Comments</p> <p>Overlooked on two sides plus pedestrians through site and using village hall.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>No way to improve this score.</p> <p>Max 60%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Access for wheeled users may be difficult in some parts of the site. No formal footpath through.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>Footpath to improve accessibility though and within the site.</p> <p>Max 75%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Close to school, next to village hall.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Whilst located on the edge of the settlement the whole village is within the catchment.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>None</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>None</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Opportunities to run/walk 2. Hard surfaces 3. Ability to play ball games 4. Opportunities to sit/hang out 5. Risky Play 6. Natural Play 7. Well Maintained <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>All in excellent condition.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	<p>improvement - this site has recently benefited from new equipment spread around the open amenity area – funded by the Parish Council and Play builder.</p> <p>735 out of max 735</p>
---	--	---	---	--	---	---	---	--	---


Elford Play Location Suggested/Scope for Improvements	Degree to which play area is overlooked (perception of safety - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Visible from properties fronting on to site</i> • <i>Visible by traffic-moderate residential volume and speed</i> • <i>Visible from footpaths)</i> 100% overlooked – not overlooked 0%	Degree to which site is 'Inclusive' <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>(open during daylight</i> • <i>level even surfaces for pushchairs/wheelchairs</i> • <i>seating opportunities both formal and informal)</i> 100% inclusive – not inclusive 0%	Proximity to other services/facilities (opportunities to travel to play on route to other events - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>shops, community buildings, school</i> 100% multi facilities – no facilities 0%	Does it have highest catchment coverage (within 480m/10 min walk time) 100% full residential coverage –no coverage 0%	Accessibility Barriers 100% no barriers – barriers 0%		Play Value – Children's Criteria (must have at least 5 out of 7) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>(opportunities to run/walk</i> • <i>hard surface to cycle, basketball, rollerskate, skateboard</i> • <i>ability to play ball games</i> • <i>opportunities to sit/hang out</i> • <i>risky play –climbing, jumping, swinging</i> • <i>natural play-hills, trees.</i> • <i>well maintained, bins, rubbish</i> 100% 5 out of 7 - 0 out of 7 %	Equipment Quality (broken equipment or in need of repair) 100% excellent condition - % poor condition	Overall Comments
					Physical <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Railway lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpath links.)</i> 	Social <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children</i> 			
Elford, Off Church Road 	0% Comments Not overlooked, well screened and hidden from general view. Traffic flows are few and not visible from lane. Suggested Improvements None Max Score 0%	60% Comments Appearance of too many internal fences which could be perceived as barriers and inhibit free movement given that play area has a gated entrance. Would suit adults and small community events. Suggested Improvements Possibly remove some or all internal fencing to open up the internal layout and increase movement within play area. Max Score 100%	75% Comments Near to school and cricket field. Suggested Improvements None. Max Score 75%	100% Comments The whole of the village is within the catchment of the site. Suggested Improvements None Max Score 100%	100% Comments None Suggested Improvements None Max Score 100%	80% Comments Except for access via school, there are no footpaths leading to site. Suggested Improvements Consider whether there are any opportunities for safe footpaths Max Score 100%	70% Comments Given the nature of the site and equipment it would appear to relate to younger children. Limited risks within the site and opportunities for imaginative and natural play. Suggested Improvements None Max Score 100%	100% Comments Equipment is in excellent condition. Suggested Improvements None Max Score 100%	The play area is not ideally located within the settlement and as such there is no overlooking and pedestrian access is via narrow country lane with no footpath. Consider whether there are any improvements that could be made to accessibility and whether removal of fencing would allow for better play. 585 out of max 675

<p>Harlaston</p> <p>Play Location</p> <p>Suggested/Scope for Improvements</p>	<p>Degree to which play area is overlooked (perception of safety -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Visible from properties fronting on to site Visible by traffic-moderate residential volume and speed Visible from footpaths) <p>100% overlooked – not overlooked 0%</p>	<p>Degree to which site is 'Inclusive'</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (open during daylight level even surfaces for pushchairs/wheelchairs seating opportunities both formal and informal) <p>100% inclusive – not inclusive 0%</p>	<p>Proximity to other services/facilities (opportunities to travel to play on route to other events -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> shops, community buildings, school <p>100% multi facilities – no facilities 0%</p>	<p>Does it have highest catchment coverage (within 480m/10 min walk time)</p> <p>100% full residential coverage –no coverage 0%</p>	<p>Accessibility Barriers</p> <p>100% no barriers – barriers 0%</p>		<p>Play Value – Children's Criteria (must have at least 5 out of 7)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (opportunities to run/walk hard surface to cycle, basketball, rollerskate, skateboard ability to play ball games opportunities to sit/hang out risky play –climbing, jumping, swinging natural play-hills, trees. well maintained, bins, rubbish <p>100% 5 out of 7 - 0 out of 7 %</p>	<p>Equipment Quality (broken equipment or in need of repair) 100% excellent condition - % poor condition</p>	<p>Overall Comments</p>
<p>20%</p>	<p>50%</p>	<p>50%</p>	<p>100%</p>	<p>50%</p>	<p>100%</p>	<p>100%</p>	<p>100%</p>	<p>70%</p>	<p>Overall a good site</p>
 <p>Comments</p> <p>Over looked by some properties but is behind properties and is not visible from the road or any footpath through the site.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>Little potential to improve this score</p> <p>Max Score 20%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Surfaces are even but some are not in the best repair and may not be suitable for wheel chair or push chair users. The seating within the site is damaged.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>Improve surfaces and seating within the site.</p> <p>Max Score 100%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Not close to facilities but village is small so the play area is accessible to all.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>No potential to improve this.</p> <p>Max Score 50%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Whole village is within the catchment.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None</p> <p>Max Score 100%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Only access to the site is via a narrow path between properties.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>No potential to improve this.</p> <p>Max Score 50%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>None</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None</p> <p>Max Score 100%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>None</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None</p> <p>Max Score 100%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Opportunities to run/walk hard surface Ability to play ball games Opportunities to sit/hang out Risky Play Well Maintained <p>Some equipment within the site is cold and could be improved in some cases.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>Explore opportunities to improve old equipment and include natural play. Equipment such as the swings are in need of updating.</p> <p>Max Score 100%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>A lot of the equipment is in good repair; however the older pieces such as the swings could be improved.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>Improvements or replacement of older equipment</p> <p>Max Score 100%</p>	<p>which offers a range of play facilities. Site could be improved significantly through the updating of the old equipment which is in need of repair and the inclusion of additional seating and natural play.</p> <p>540 out of max 620</p>


<p>Hill Ridware</p> <p>Play Location</p> <p>Suggested/Scope for Improvements</p>	<p>Degree to which play area is overlooked (perception of safety -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Visible from properties fronting on to site Visible by traffic-moderate residential volume and speed Visible from footpaths) <p>100% overlooked – not overlooked 0%</p>	<p>Degree to which site is 'Inclusive'</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (open during daylight level even surfaces for pushchairs/wheelchairs seating opportunities both formal and informal) <p>100% inclusive – not inclusive 0%</p>	<p>Proximity to other services/facilities (opportunities to travel to play on route to other events -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> shops, community buildings, school <p>100% multi facilities – no facilities 0%</p>	<p>Does it have highest catchment coverage (within 480m/10 min walk time)</p> <p>100% full residential coverage –no coverage 0%</p>	<p>Accessibility Barriers</p> <p>100% no barriers – barriers 0%</p>		<p>Play Value – Children's Criteria (must have at least 5 out of 7)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (opportunities to run/walk hard surface to cycle, basketball, rollerskate, skateboard ability to play ball games opportunities to sit/hang out risky play –climbing, jumping, swinging natural play-hills, trees. well maintained, bins, rubbish <p>100% 5 out of 7 - 0 out of 7 %</p>	<p>Equipment Quality (broken equipment or in need of repair)</p> <p>100% excellent condition - % poor condition</p>	<p>Overall Comments</p>
	<p>100%</p> <p>Comments</p> <p>Site is overlooked by properties to one side and the village hall</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max Score 100%</p>	<p>100%</p> <p>Comments</p> <p>Surfacing is even with good formal seating.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>Explore opportunities for informal seating within the site.</p> <p>Max Score 100%</p>	<p>80%</p> <p>Comments</p> <p>Is located a short walk from some shops and is adjacent to the village hall however the school is a distance away by foot.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>No potential to improve this score.</p> <p>Max Score 80%</p>	<p>90%</p> <p>Comments</p> <p>Catchment covers a large majority of the village. Due to the linear form of the village pedestrian routes are along the main road.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>No potential to improve this.</p> <p>Max Score 90%</p>	<p>100%</p> <p>Comments</p> <p>Village hall gate was looked on the day of site inspection block the only access to the site. It is excepted this isn't the case</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>Ensure there is access to the play area at suitable times.</p> <p>Max Score 100%</p>	<p>100%</p> <p>Comments</p> <p>None</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None</p> <p>Max Score 100%</p>	<p>100%</p> <p>Comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Opportunities to run/walk Hard Surface Ability to play ball games Opportunities to sit/hang out Risky Play Well Maintained <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>Explore opportunities for natural play, there may also be scope for additional equipment as the current play area offers a limited range of equipment.</p> <p>Max Score 100%</p>	<p>100%</p> <p>Comments</p> <p>Equipment is in good condition and the whole site is well maintained.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None</p> <p>Max Score 100%</p>	<p>Good site which is well located and offers a MUGA alongside the play area.</p> <p>Explore opportunities for additional equipment and natural play in the wider greenspace.</p> <p>770 out of max 770</p>

Hopwas Play Location Suggested/Scope for Improvements	Degree to which play area is overlooked (perception of safety - • <i>Visible from properties fronting on to site</i> • <i>Visible by traffic-moderate residential volume and speed</i> • <i>Visible from footpaths</i>) 100% overlooked – not overlooked 0%	Degree to which site is 'Inclusive' • <i>(open during daylight</i> • <i>level even surfaces for pushchairs/wheelchairs</i> • <i>seating opportunities both formal and informal)</i> 100% inclusive – not inclusive 0%	Proximity to other services/facilities (opportunities to travel to play on route to other events - • <i>shops, community buildings, school</i> 100% multi facilities – no facilities 0%	Does it have highest catchment coverage (within 480m/10 min walk time) 100% full residential coverage –no coverage 0%	Accessibility Barriers 100% no barriers – barriers 0%		Play Value – Children's Criteria (must have at least 5 out of 7) • <i>(opportunities to run/walk</i> • <i>hard surface to cycle, basketball, rollerskate, skateboard</i> • <i>ability to play ball games</i> • <i>opportunities to sit/hang out</i> • <i>risky play –climbing, jumping, swinging</i> • <i>natural play-hills, trees.</i> • <i>well maintained, bins, rubbish</i> 100% 5 out of 7 - 0 out of 7 %	Equipment Quality (broken equipment or in need of repair) 100% excellent condition - % poor condition	Overall Comments
					Physical • <i>Railway lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpath links.)</i>	Social <i>Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children</i>			
	40%	100%	50%	100%	50%	100%	100%	80%	Good conditioned site which is very well maintained. The site offers a good range of facilities which could be improved with natural play and some new/updated equipment. 620 out of max 640.
	<p>Comments</p> <p>Is not overlooked particularly well. Some properties are in view of the site along with the scout hut on the site.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>No potential to improve this score.</p> <p>Max Score 40%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Paths and grass within the site are flat and even with lots of seating around the play equipment and the wider green space.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max Score 100%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Is located closely to several pubs and the scout hut.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>No potential to improve this score.</p> <p>Max Score 50%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Large majority of the settlement is within the catchment. Several entrances to the site which make access easier.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max Score 100%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Canal and busy road separate the site from parts of the village however there are two crossings over the canal and a formal pedestrian crossing which make access easier.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max Score 50%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>None</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None</p> <p>Max Score 100%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>1. Opportunities to run/walk 2. Ability to play ball games 3. Opportunities to sit/hang out 4. Risky Play 5. Well Maintained</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>Explore opportunities for natural play provision in the wider green space.</p> <p>Max Score 100%</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Equipment is old but is still in good condition. The site is very well maintained.</p> <p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>Some equipment could be updated to provide more risky play and natural play.</p> <p>Max Score 100%</p>	

Kings Bromley Play Location Suggested/Scope for Improvements	Degree to which play area is overlooked (perception of safety - • <i>Visible from properties fronting on to site</i> • <i>Visible by traffic-moderate residential volume and speed</i> • <i>Visible from footpaths</i>) 100% overlooked – not overlooked 0%	Degree to which site is 'Inclusive' • <i>(open during daylight</i> • <i>level even surfaces for pushchairs/wheelchairs</i> • <i>seating opportunities both formal and informal)</i> 100% inclusive – not inclusive 0%	Proximity to other services/facilities (opportunities to travel to play on route to other events - • <i>shops, community buildings, school</i> 100% multi facilities – no facilities 0%	Does it have highest catchment coverage (within 480m/10 min walk time) 100% full residential coverage –no coverage 0%	Accessibility Barriers 100% no barriers – barriers 0%		Play Value – Children's Criteria (must have at least 5 out of 7) • <i>(opportunities to run/walk</i> • <i>hard surface to cycle, basketball, rollerskate, skateboard</i> • <i>ability to play ball games</i> • <i>opportunities to sit/hang out</i> • <i>risky play –climbing, jumping, swinging</i> • <i>natural play-hills, trees.</i> • <i>well maintained, bins, rubbish</i> 100% 5 out of 7 - 0 out of 7 %	Equipment Quality (broken equipment or in need of repair) 100% excellent condition - % poor condition	Overall Comments
					Physical • <i>Railway lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpath links.)</i>	Social <i>Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children</i>			

	100%	70%	100%	100%	80%	100%	100%	100%	A good site which could be improved through additional seating and some natural play. 750 out of max 800
	Comments Site is overlooked from the hall and pre-school and adjacent properties. The play area is also visible from the main road which has slowed traffic due to traffic calming.	Comments Level surfaces and paths through the site are in good order. There is a lack of seating within the site.	Comments Site is located closely to pre-school and some other local facilities.	Comments Whole of the village is within the catchment of the site.	Comments Main road is adjacent to the site and separates the site from part of the village. Traffic calming is in place to slow traffic along the route.	Comments None.	Comments 1. Opportunities to run/walk 2. Ability to play ball games 3. Opportunities to sit/hang out 4. Risky Play 5. Well maintained	Comments Equipment is in good condition and whole site is well maintained.	
	Suggested Improvements None.	Suggested Improvements Explore opportunities for formal and informal seating.	Suggested Improvements None.	Suggested Improvements None.	Suggested Improvements Explore opportunities for a formal crossing of Alrewas Road.	Suggested Improvements None.	Suggested Improvements Explore opportunities for natural play and increased areas for sitting.	Suggested Improvements None.	
Max Score 100%	Max Score 100%	Max Score 100%	Max 100%	Max Score 100%	Max 100%	Max Score 100%	Max Score 100%	Max Score 100%	

Stonnall Play Location Suggested/Scope for Improvements	Degree to which play area is overlooked (perception of safety -	Degree to which site is 'Inclusive'	Proximity to other services/facilities (opportunities to travel to play on route to other events -	Does it have highest catchment coverage (within 480m/10 min walk time)	Accessibility Barriers		Play Value – Children's Criteria (must have at least 5 out of 7)	Equipment Quality (broken equipment or in need of repair) 100% excellent condition - % poor condition	Overall Comments
	• Visible from properties fronting on to site • Visible by traffic-moderate residential volume and speed • Visible from footpaths) 100% overlooked – not overlooked 0%	• (open during daylight • level even surfaces for pushchairs/wheelchairs • seating opportunities both formal and informal) 100% inclusive – not inclusive 0%	• shops, community buildings, school 100% multi facilities – no facilities 0%	100% full residential coverage –no coverage 0%	100% no barriers – barriers 0%	Physical • Railway lines, busy roads, canals, poor footpath links.) Social Local youth disputes, negative attitudes of residents to children	• (opportunities to run/walk • hard surface to cycle, basketball, rollerskate, skateboard • ability to play ball games • opportunities to sit/hang out • risky play –climbing, jumping, swinging • natural play-hills, trees. • well maintained, bins, rubbish 100% 5 out of 7 - 0 out of 7 %		
	50%	100%	60%	90%	70%	100%	100%	100%	A good site set well within a wider greenspace. Site provides MUGA for older children and play area for younger children. Access to the site is not easy for the whole village particularly to the north of the site. Explore opportunities to improve the entrance to the park and opportunities for natural play. 670 of max 680.
	Comments Overlooked slightly by nearby properties, additionally the site is visible from the football pitches which when in use would give a degree of overlooking.	Comments Wood chip surfacing may not be suitable for all pushchair and wheel chair users. There is plenty of seating within the fenced off play area.	Comments Is located to the rear of the local shops, but is however a distance from the school	Comments Catchment covers a majority of the village.	Comments Poor access to the site from some parts of the village, which would mean a long walk from some areas. Entry to the site could be made clearer.	Comments None.	Comments 1. Opportunities to run/walk 2. hard surface 3. ability to play ball games 4. opportunities to sit/hang out 5. risky play 6. well maintained	Comments Equipment is in good condition and has been well maintained.	

	<p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>No potential to improve this score.</p> <p>Max Score 50%</p>	<p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None</p> <p>Max Score 100%</p>	<p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max Score 60%</p>	<p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max 90%</p>	<p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>Improvements to entrance.</p> <p>Max 80%</p>	<p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	<p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>Explore opportunities for natural play within wider greenspace.</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	<p>Suggested Improvements</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Max 100%</p>	
---	---	---	---	---	---	--	--	--	--

4 Summary of Consultation

4.1 The following section provides details of some of the consultations that have taken place and have informed this Open Space Assessment.

Citizens Questionnaire August 2011

4.2 The citizens questionnaire was completed by over 400 residents of the District and included a series of questions to gauge satisfaction with leisure facilities and open spaces across the District.

- 84% of respondents are very or fairly satisfied with parks and open space facilities within the District.
- On the whole residents of Burntwood are less satisfied with the provision of open space in their area than Lichfield City and the wider rural areas.
- The survey found that the main use of parks and open spaces within the District is for 'fresh air' and 'walking'. The survey also found that 81% of residents had visited a park or open space within the District in the last 6 months, with those with children visiting parks more regularly.
- Beacon Park in Lichfield is the most regularly used park and is used by residents from across the entire district.
- All areas of the District expressed a need for an increase in allotment provision.
- Burntwood residents were less satisfied with the provision of parks and gardens, spaces for children and amenity green space that residents of Lichfield City or the wider rural areas.

Green & Open Spaces Strategy

4.3 In July and December 2007 an information gathering exercise was undertaken by Lichfield District Council using the input of active voluntary and community groups who have a keen interest in the way that potential sustainable transport corridors could be developed. This exercise assists in exploring new and joint opportunities to protect, enhance or develop sustainable corridors of transport, access and biodiversity. Maps were used so the groups could identify where they regularly walk or cycle, or undertake relevant nature or heritage conservation work.

- Those invited to take part in the information gathering exercise raised the following issues (for full list see Technical Appendices Document):
- The construction of a bridge across the A38 to link route 54 to the National Memorial Arboretum (NMA).
- Access to Fradley Industrial Estate from National Cycle Network (NCN) 54 along Wood End Lane.
- Access between Croxall and the NMA is poor and there is demand for better linkages. The A513 bridge is very dangerous.
- Whitemoor Haye is important for breeding and wintering birds including Corn Bunting.
- In Hopwas Wood accessible and non-accessible areas are poorly defined and as a valuable open space it is poorly developed.

- Link 'Green Pipe' Rawnsley to Chasewater across open cast workings and crossing over Heath Hayes Road.
- The Recreation Zone at Darwin Park needs to be reserved as one.
- Railway formation to link Lichfield to Chasewater for walking / cycling.
- Canal restoration route will have community benefits.
- Work with RSPB to promote access to new Middleton Hall site and links with Kingsbury Water Park.

Lichfield District Play Strategy - 'Who told us?'

4.4 The preparation of the Lichfield District Play Strategy involved gathering the views of children. The section below sets out the opinions gathered from this consultation.

4.5 The School Councils told us a lot about the difficulties children have whilst playing outdoors. For most children there were many barriers to outside play.

- **Chase Terrace:** The children said they like to play outside, but the play areas are too far away from where they live.
- **Mile Oak:** Children don't feel safe because there are too many teenagers hanging around who bully them, drive fast in cars, and hang around the community centre drinking and taking drugs. There are police about every night. If they play near home, neighbours complain about the noise and the balls going into their gardens. The play area by the community centre is damaged and boring. It only has one swing working.
- **Handsacre:** There is a good play area in Armitage, but it is too far away, across a busy road. The local play area is very run down. Teenagers drink there and break glass bottles, so it is not safe to play.
- **Chasetown:** The main park is across a busy main road, so the children can't go by themselves. The play area is boring and not looked after, so they don't like using it. Lots of the children did not live near a park. Some play on a traffic island.
- **North Lichfield:** Most of the children did not have a play area near their home. The roads are busy and this makes it difficult to walk to the play areas further away. Neighbours complain if they play in the street. They don't feel safe playing out.
- **Queens Croft:** Young people make friends at school and then have to go home on a bus and can't meet their friends outside school. Concerns were expressed about not feeling safe, having no-one to play with. They said they are often not allowed to go out to play by their parents.
- **Nether Stowe High School:** Some young people said they had no friends who lived nearby. If they meet their friends they get moved on from the local shop, even though they are not doing anything wrong. There is nowhere to go in town without going into cafes which cost too much and there is nowhere to hang about in their local area. Some of the young people didn't feel safe and had experienced bullying. Some experience transport difficulties because they live in villages. They felt that many of the play areas were full of rubbish and glass and that the benches in Beacon Park were scruffy and broken. Friary Grange Leisure Centre has too many rules and restrictions for the swimming pool, so they can't enjoy swimming, the changing areas are unhygienic. They said the refreshment area was dirty and always had used plastic cups on the tables and they were unable to get hot food, unlike at the café at Burntwood Leisure Centre.

- **Chase Terrace:** Children wanted more play areas near where they live. They wanted more things they like to do at the play areas, different equipment which was more fun.
- **Mile Oak:** They want their play area to have good equipment like in Beacon Park. They would like an all-weather surface. More litter bins to keep the play area clean and somebody to look after it properly. Somewhere for the teenagers to go and hang out. They want things for teenagers to do, so that they don't spoil their play area or bully them.
- **Hayes Meadow:** Someone to look after their play area and keep it clean and tidy. An all-weather surface. A bike park so they can have somewhere just to ride bikes. Something for teenagers to do.
- **Springhill:** Play areas closer to home and better looked after equipment.
- **North Lichfield:** Children want us to keep the green spaces and they want more play areas. Water fountains in parks and playgrounds because they get hot and thirsty when they play. More litter bins to help keep the play areas clean and tidy. Playgrounds which aren't near main roads, so they are safe to get to.
- **Queens Croft:** The children wanted more playschemes and activities in holidays and more sports clubs that they can go to.
- **Nether Stowe High School:** They wanted somewhere to hang out, but not necessarily to take part in activities. Over half thought teen shelters were a good idea, or else a youth pod, which would be warmer and dryer. One council member had used a pod in Rugeley and it was very well used. They wanted more fields for sports and play areas to be looked after. The Enots play area was mentioned by a number of members, who wanted it improving. Just over half the members supported the idea of a skate park in Lichfield. Members who live in surrounding villages such as Armitage and Handsacre, mentioned transport difficulties and said they wanted a skate park as well. They want hot food facilities, like the ones offered at Burntwood Leisure Centre at Friary Grange Leisure Centre and cleaner changing rooms and café. They offered new ideas for the summer programme, including paintballing and weekend camps, they also suggested new ways to market the programme.
- Results of the Summer Programme consultation
 - The children's favourite play activity was playing outdoors, including football and other sports.
 - Nearly two out of ten children said they did not play outside their home, on their own, without an adult.
 - However, 99% of children thought it was important to have somewhere safe to play outside. Over half thought it was very important.
 - The most popular outdoor activity was football and riding bikes. The most popular indoor activity was watching television and playing on the computer.
 - Top of the poll for better play opportunities was better outdoor play areas and more sports facilities.
- Results of the Parent's Questionnaires
 - We received 121 responses:
 - 85% felt strongly that every community should have an outdoor play area.

- 80% are happy with the summer playscheme opening times.
- Parents were asked which age group has the greatest need for new play services and facilities. The results were:
 - 0 – 4 years 7%
 - 5 – 9 years 36%
 - 10 -13 years 39%
 - 14 -18 years 19%

Lichfield City Centre Parks Household Survey

4.6 The survey was produced in April 2005 as part of a Heritage Lottery Fund Bid for the restoration of Stowe Pool and its open space, Minster Pool and Walk, The Garden of Remembrance, Museum Gardens and Beacon Park and The Close. As part of this bid it was important to determine an accurate picture of who uses Lichfield City Centre parks.

4.7 The results of this questionnaire are as follows:

- The most used greenspace in Lichfield City is Beacon Park and Museum Gardens;
- The least used is the Garden of Remembrance;
- Most people visit the parks and greenspace on their own;
- The least amount of visits are with children;
- Most people walk to parks and green spaces in the city;
- Bus is the least popular method of transport to the parks;
- Most people stay at parks and green spaces in Lichfield City for up to an hour;
- Respondents believe that the parks and green spaces are of good quality although Stowe Pool was the least rated;
- The most popular improvements that people would like to see through the planned works are to site furniture (benches, bins), wildlife, lighting and floral displays;
- Respondents indicated that the reasons they don't visit the parks more often is due to a lack of time, vandalism, dog fouling, antisocial behaviour and they don't feel safe at the sites;
- Those who said they didn't feel safe stated this was mainly due to young people hanging around and drinking.

Parish Council Open Space Questionnaire

4.8 In 2004 Lichfield District Council undertook a survey of Parish Councils to assess their open space provision. This survey considered which sites were owned by the parishes and whether there was a deficiency of open space or not.

4.9 12 Parish Councils replied to the open space questionnaire regarding 19 separate settlements. The Parish Councils that replied identified four settlements in the District that had a deficiency in the quantity of open space. These were:

- Shenstone;
- Upper Longdon;

- Whittington;
- Lichfield.

4.10 There were also eight with a deficiency in a specific type of open space:

- Kings Bromley;
- Hill Ridware;
- Shenstone;
- Longdon;
- Upper Longdon;
- Edingale;
- Whittington;
- Lichfield.

4.11 The types of open space with a deficiency that were specifically mentioned by the Parish Councils are:

- Playing fields and sports pitches at Shenstone and Whittington;
- Play areas within Longdon and Shenstone;
- Allotments within Lichfield and Shenstone;
- Safe cycle areas at Hopwas;
- Facilities for older children and teenagers within Kings Bromley and Hill Ridware;
- Lichfield City Council specified a need for a skate park.

4.12 Further to this, seven of the settlements were said to be deficient in play equipment. They were:

- Kings Bromley;
- Hill Ridware;
- Upper Longdon;
- Edingale;
- Whittington;
- Burntwood;
- Lichfield.

4.13 Seven of the settlements were noted to have some low accessibility to the open space. They were:

- Pipe Ridware;
- Hill Ridware;
- Hopwas;
- Shenstone;
- Whittington;
- Burntwood;
- Lichfield.

4.14 Two settlements were identified as having some poor quality open space; these were Hill Ridware and Lichfield.

General Household Satisfaction Survey

4.15 This survey was undertaken by Lichfield District Council in 2006 as part of the Government's Best Value Initiatives. The broad objective of this study is to examine the levels of satisfaction amongst local residents with the services they receive from Lichfield District Council. At the same time, the survey is also used to collect basic demographic and social profiles of the local population.

4.16 Parts of this survey relate to parks and open spaces and people's perception of them. It also asked the respondent questions on litter and how this is dealt with by Lichfield District Council. The survey found:

- Almost 75% of respondents are satisfied that the Council had fulfilled its duty to keep land clear of litter and refuse, whilst only 10.2% said they were dissatisfied;
- The majority of residents (86%) are users of local parks, open spaces and play areas. Over half (53.5%) are regular monthly users. Younger residents are significantly more likely to be users;
- Parks and open spaces are particularly well rated by local residents;
- Access to nature, parks and open spaces are the only features seen to have improved over the past three years.

Chase Terrace Environmental Improvements

4.17 The study was conducted in September 2007 as part of an exhibition to gather people's opinions on proposals for the area.

4.18 This survey concentrated on the areas of Larks Rise, Ironstone Road, Kingsdown Road and Balmoral Way. In Larks Rise the majority of people supported the proposals for:

- Dog bins
- New footpaths
- Tree thinning
- Scrub clearance
- Mowed area for play

4.19 However, the majority of people did not welcome the proposal for more goals as they suggested it would encourage noise and disturbance.

4.20 All those who responded to proposals for Ironstone Road supported the proposal for a heather habitat, dog bins and tree planting.

4.21 At Kingsdown Road there was majority support for dog bins, a new path, tree thinning and informal seating.

4.22 The proposal for shrub and tree planting at Balmoral Way got the full support of respondents.

4.23 It is intended that a small area of play for children up to the age of 12 is to be developed at the Larks Rise site. Respondents gave a mixed response to this proposal and cited the fear of antisocial behaviour and vandalism as reasons for not wanting the play area.

Greens & Open Spaces Summary Document Scoping Questions

4.24 These questions were linked to the Greens and Open Spaces Strategy Summary Document and concerned issues such as the respondents' interest in open spaces and any specific types of open space they would like addressed in the Strategy.

4.25 Nature of interest in Green and Open Spaces?

- The most common nature of interest is use of the space for informal leisure and recreation. Use by sporting organisations is infrequent.

4.26 What are the five most important benefits in developing a Greens and Open Spaces Strategy?

- Over 80% of respondents expressed that protection of the environment is one of the five most important benefits from developing a Greens and Open Spaces Strategy and three-quarters stated alternative walking and cycling networks. Reinforcement of local identity is deemed the least important benefit;
- Other benefits specified include: appropriate protection, enhanced biodiversity, linking to management plan provisions to extend visitor potential surrounding the AONB, and keeping areas of green space.

4.27 Are there any specific types of green spaces you would like to see addressed by the Strategy?

- Half of respondents said that they would like to see specific types of green spaces addressed by the Strategy. Suggestions include: parks, specific dog walking areas, canals, sports pitches, local woodland, access links, equity of provision and protection.

4.28 In addition one-third of respondents indicated that cleanliness of parks and open spaces is the most important element to be included in the Strategy. An equal percentage of respondents attached highest importance to access and provision of open space.

Open Space, Sport & Recreation Household Survey

4.29 This questionnaire was developed to ascertain the views of a random selection of the District population on issues concerning open spaces and their level of use. This includes their method of transport and distance travelled.

4.30 The majority of respondents to this questionnaire agree that there is a park or open space within easy walking distance from their home.

4.31 When asked if they were happy with the facilities that are available in their nearest park the most popular response was in the 'agreement' section although there were large numbers of people who 'disagreed' or 'disagreed strongly'. Those people who 'disagreed' or 'strongly disagreed' with this statement highlighted the following areas as being inadequate:

- Burntwood - Burntwood Park, Redwood Park, Chase Terrace Park;
- Lichfield - Beacon Park, Darnford Park, Shortbutts Lane;
- Alrewas;

- Fazeley and Mile Oak;
- Armitage with Handsacre;
- Fradley;
- Clifton Campville.

4.32 When asked if they can easily get to other parks or open spaces that provide facilities they need the most popular response was 'agree', however there was still a large number of respondents who stated 'disagree' or 'strongly disagree'.

4.33 Respondents were asked if it is easy to find out about parks and open spaces and facilities they provide. Their responses were closely split between 'agree' and 'disagree'.

4.34 Nearly 80% of respondents agreed that improving off-road footpaths and cycle routes would encourage them to walk or cycle.

4.35 Approximately two-thirds of respondents use the park or open space closest to their home most often. One-third use a different park or open space further away from home.

4.36 Most people travel to the park or open space they use most often by foot. Travelling by car is also a popular mode of transport. Over 60% of journeys take up to 10 minutes with an additional 20% taking up to 15 minutes. For journeys that take less than 5 minutes the main mode of transport is by foot, followed by cycling and then car. The main mode of transport for journeys between 5 and 10 minutes is by foot, followed by car and then cycling. For journeys between 11-15 minutes the main mode of transport is by car, followed by foot and then bicycle.

Omnibus Survey

4.37 This survey was conducted in August 2007 over a two week period by an external agency through face to face interviews at randomly selected properties within Lichfield District. This survey shows results against previous Omnibus Surveys conducted at the same time in previous years. 299 interviews were conducted and were split between Burntwood (78), Lichfield (103) and Rural (118).

4.38 Satisfaction with parks and open spaces is quite high at 73% of all respondents, which includes 20% who are very satisfied.

4.39 While the majority (77%) of respondents providing a response feel that parks and open spaces have stayed the same over the last 12 months, the proportion feeling they have improved (11%) is greater than the proportion (2%) that feel they have deteriorated.

4.40 *Frequency of use of parks and open spaces:*

- More than eight in ten (85%) respondents reported that they have visited a park or open space in the last twelve months, including more than half of these (54%) who reported at least weekly use;
- The majority of respondents use parks and open spaces to walk (76%), for fresh air (39%), to walk dogs (26%) and/or to exercise (22%).

4.41 *Satisfaction with parks and open spaces:*

- Amongst users, almost two-thirds (86%) are satisfied to a degree, including 23% who are very satisfied. This compares positively with just over one in ten (12%) who are indifferent and 2% who have expressed a level of dissatisfaction;
- The level of user satisfaction with parks and open spaces at 86% is the same as that returned in July 2005 after a 4 percentage point increase from July 2004;
- There is no significant variation by area although respondents living in the rural areas are most satisfied with parks and open spaces.

4.42 *Provision of different types of open space:*

4.43 Respondents were provided with a list of eight types of open space and asked to state whether they feel there is enough or not enough provisions for each within the local area:

- About one in four respondents are indicating that there are not enough spaces for children and young people (25%) or outdoor sporting facilities (22%);
- Respondents living in the Burntwood areas are more likely to feel that there are not enough spaces for children and young people. They are also more likely to feel that there are not enough outdoor sporting facilities.

4.44 Respondents were then asked how long they think they should expect to travel to each type of open space and also the mode of transport they would expect to use:

- In terms of the time one would expect to travel, respondents would, on the whole, expect to travel for about ten minutes or less;
- Respondents would expect to spend most time (over 20 minutes) to travel to spaces for children and young people (14%), outdoor sporting facilities (14%), and cemeteries and churchyards (14%);
- In terms of the mode of transport, the results show that respondents tend to walk to the open spaces that are nearest to them and use the car for those that are farthest;
- Respondents are therefore most likely to use the car to spaces for children and young people (21% use cars), outdoor sporting facilities (32%), allotments (32%) and cemeteries and churchyards (46%).

Snap Place Survey 2008/2009

4.45 Introduced in 2008/9, this survey replaces the Best Value Satisfaction , Benefits and Libraries Survey and focuses more on the local area as opposed to the Local Authority and is designed to capture peoples views.

4.46 It showed that overall 47% of respondents were very/fairly satisfied with sport/leisure facilities (57% had used sport/leisure facilities in last year) and 70% were very/fairly satisfied with parks and open spaces with 89% having used parks and open spaces in last year.

4.47 In terms of community safety 43% felt teenagers hanging around street were a very/fairly big problem and in terms of improvements to local areas **activities for teenagers** (55% response) was identified as **most** in need of improvement. This also relates to another finding within the report which shows 43% of respondents felt that teenagers hanging around the streets was a very big problem.

4.48 In terms of over all satisfaction with the local area as a place to live, the response decreased by 1% from 2006/7 to 2008, from 82% to 81% but this still remains fairly high.