Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment 2017

Foreword	3
What is a Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment?	4
2 Aims & Objectives	7
3 Targets & Lichfield District Context	8
4 Methodology	9
5 Summary of Potential Provision & Conclusions	20
6 Monitor & Review	23
Appendices	
A SHLAA Panel	25
B Schedule of all Sites	27
B.1 Alrewas	27
B.2 Armitage with Handsacre	33
B.3 Burntwood	43
B.4 Clifton Campville	79
B.5 Colton	83
B.6 Drayton Bassett	89
B.7 East of Rugeley	93 99
B.8 Edingale B.9 Elford	99 105
B.10 Fazeley	105
B.11 Fradley	118
B.12 Hammerwich	129
B.13 Hamstall Ridware	132
B.14 Harlaston	135
B.15 Hill Ridware	142
B.16 Hopwas	147
B.17 Kings Bromley	150
B.18 Lichfield	156
B.19 Little Aston	205
B.20 Longdon	214
B.21 North Tamworth	219
B.22 Other Rural B.23 Shenstone	223 264
B.24 Stonnall	204
B.25 Upper Longdon	279
B.26 Whittington	283
B.27 Wigginton	291
C Windfall Evidence	296
Glossary	
Glossary	337

# Foreword

The Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) is a snapshot of land which is known to be, or has the potential to be made available for housing development in Lichfield District in the period up to 2029. It identifies sites which have the potential to accommodate housing, estimates their future potential capacity and assesses the status of sites already allocated for housing through the Lichfield District Local Plan, as well as sites which have planning permission for housing. It is recognised that settlements evolve and land uses may change and therefore additional sites may become available in time. Therefore this is not a one-off document but a 'living' one which will be reviewed and updated.

This is the tenth edition of the SHLAA and follows the document which was published in September 2016. This SHLAA covers the period from 1st April 2016 - 31st March 2017, however it also includes any site submissions made through either the Call for Sites or through consultation on the Local Plan Allocations document up to the close of the consultation on 12th May 2017. The SHLAA will be updated annually, alongside the Employment Land Availability Assessment (ELAA), the Authority Monitoring Report (AMR) and the Five Year Housing Land Supply Paper as part of the District Council's suite of monitoring documents.

The SHLAA forms part of the evidence base for the production of the Local Plan. The policies and proposals within the Local Plan which cover housing development are based on many considerations, of which this assessment is only one.

### SHLAA Disclaimer

The inclusion of any site in this assessment <u>does not</u> indicate that it will be allocated or successfully obtain permission for housing<sup>(i)</sup>. The SHLAA is published with a view that it will be available alongside the Local Plan as part of the evidence supporting the Plan. Similarly, the non-inclusion of a site in this study does not in any way preclude future residential development, providing it meets the planning policy framework that exists at the time a site comes forward.

# **1 What is a Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment?**

**1.1** The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) requires local planning authorities to prepare a Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) to demonstrate that there are sufficient suitable sites within the study area (the District) to meet the identified housing need over the plan period (NPPF para 159). The SHLAA should be used to establish realistic assumptions about the availability, suitability and the likely economic viability of land over the plan period.

**1.2** The National <u>Planning Practice Guidance</u> (PPG) was published on 6th March 2014, and updated in 27th March 2015 and included updated land availability assessment guidance. The PPG makes clear that SHLAA's are a key component of the evidence base to support the delivery of sufficient land for housing to meet the community's need for more homes and should produce the following core outputs:

1 A list of all sites and broad locations considered, cross referenced to their location on maps. 2 An assessment of each site or broad location, in terms of its suitability for development, availability and achievability (including whether the site/broad location is viable) to determine whether a site is realistically expected to be developed and when. 3 Contain more detail for those sites which are considered to be realistic candidates for development, where others have been discounted for clearly evidenced and justified reasons. 4 The potential type and quantity of development that could be delivered on each site/broad location, including a reasonable estimate of build out rates, setting out how any barriers to delivery could be overcome and when. An indicative trajectory of anticipated development and consideration of associated 5 risks.

**1.3** Lichfield District Council, Cannock Chase District Council and Tamworth Borough Council share a SHLAA methodology which meets the requirements of the PPG and is used across the three local authority's which form part of the same housing market area as identified through evidence supporting each authorities Local Plans. The methodology has been devised so that each authority will still undertake and produce their own SHLAA on an annual basis, whilst allowing for local elaboration to reflect the District Characteristics of each Local Authority Areas.

**1.4** The sites identified by the Lichfield SHLAA can be broadly divided into three categories, These categories are defined within the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF):

• **Deliverable sites** are those that are available now, are in a suitable location for housing and there is realistic prospect that housing will be delivered on the site within the next 5 years and in particular that development of a site is viable.

- **Developable sites** are those that are, or are likely to become available for housing development, where there is a reasonable prospect they could be developed in the future. Sites should be in a suitable location for housing development and that they can be viably delivered at the point envisaged.
- Not developable sites are those where one or more of the constraints to development are severe and it is not known when they might be overcome. For examples those sites that do not pass suitability testing, and/or are considered to be unavailable or unachievable for housing development. These sites will not be included in the final supply of SHLAA sites which are deliverable and developable. It should be noted however, that where it can be demonstrated that the severe constraint can be realistically overcome, the site may be re-considered as a 'developable' or 'deliverable' site.
- **1.5** In identifying these potential sites, the SHLAA has assessed:
- The likely level of housing that could be provided if unimplemented planning permissions were carried forward and development took place;
- Land availability by identifying buildings or areas of land (including previously developed land and greenfield land) that have development potential for housing, including within mixed use developments;
- The potential level of housing that could be provided on identified land;
- Constraints affecting particular sites and what action could be taken to overcome these;
- **1.6** The assessment includes:
- The context provided by evidence of the housing requirement and the scale and nature of recent housing development within the District;
- A list of identified sites, cross-referenced to maps showing their locations and boundaries;
- An assessment of the deliverability/developability of each identified site (i.e. in terms of its suitability, availability and achievability);
- An estimate of the potential quantity of housing that could be delivered on each site;
- The constraints on the delivery of identified sites; and
- Recommendations on how these constraints could be overcome and when.

**1.7** This SHLAA does not make judgements as to what the spatial strategy of the Local Plan should be nor does it allocate land for housing. It simply provides an indication of the land that could come forward for development and forms an evidence base for the Local Plan. Furthermore, the SHLAA identifies all settlements where housing could be provided, not just the main urban areas, in order to look at all potential sources of supply.

**1.8** The assessment will be kept up-to-date annually and published as part of the Council's suite of monitoring documents which includes the Authority Monitoring Report (AMR), Employment Land Availability Assessment (ELAA) and Five Year Housing Land Supply Paper.

# 2 Aims & Objectives

2.1 Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessments are a key component of the evidence base to support the delivery of sufficient land for housing. The NPPF requires Local Authorities to identify and annually update their supply of sites available for housing. The SHLAA remains the best method to do this, and when combined with the Five Year Housing Land Supply Paper will provide a detailed picture of the Districts housing supply. The aim is to ensure that land availability is not a constraint on the delivery of the Government's priority to significantly boost the supply of housing.

**2.2** The objective of an Assessment is to provide robust information on potential housing sites to:

- Identify a short term supply of deliverable sites that are ready for development (years 1-5: a five-year supply of specific sites);
- Identify specific developable sites for the medium term (years 6–10) and ideally in the long term (years 11–15), to enable the five-year supply to be topped-up; and
- Where it is not possible to identify specific sites, to indicate broad locations for future growth in years 11 – 15 of the SHLAA period.

### Data availability and baseline

**2.3** The base date for the SHLAA is the current monitoring year of 2016 - 2017. This includes completions from 2008-2017 and sites with extant planning permission up to the end of March 2017. Lichfield District Council has undertaken several consultations in relation to its emerging Local Plan Allocations (LPA) document within the monitoring year, the most recent closed on 12th May 2017. Any site submissions made through either the 'Call for Sites' exercise or through consultation on the Local Plan up to the close of the consultation on 12th May have been included within this assessment.

**2.4** Lichfield District Council published an Urban Capacity Assessment (UCA) and Employment Land Capacity Assessment (ECLA) in October 2016 as part of the evidence to support its emerging Local Plan. Information obtained through the UCA and ELCA has been considered and factored into this edition of the SHLAA. A key aspect of the UCA and ECLA was to consider in detail the deliverability and availability of sites for development.

# **3 Targets & Lichfield District Context**

**3.1** The NPPF requires Local Authorities to set their own housing targets to meet the 'full, objectively assessed needs for market and affordable housing'. Lichfield District Council adopted its Local Plan Strategy on 17th February 2015. The Local Plan Strategy proposes the delivery of 10,030 homes between 2008-2029, which also includes 1,000 homes to meet the needs arising in neighbouring authorities (500 for Tamworth Borough and 500 for Cannock Chase District). This equates to annual delivery of 478 homes with the objectively assessed need of Lichfield District being 430 homes per annum.

**3.2** Lichfield District Council has been progressing the Local Plan Allocations document, with Regulation 19 consultation on the draft submission document closing on 12 May 2017. The emerging Local Plan Allocations document identifies proposed housing sites which will provide sufficient housing land to meet the requirements set out in Core Policy 6. At the time of writing the Local Plan Allocations document has yet to be submitted for examination whilst the District Council consider the responses to the Regulation 19 consultation.

**3.3** The National Planning Policy Framework (paragraph 47) states that local authorities should identify sufficient specific deliverable sites to deliver housing in the first 5 years with an additional 5% buffer to be moved forward from later in the plan period.<sup>(ii)</sup> The NPPF also states Authorities should identify specific 'developable' sites and broad locations that will enable continuous delivery of housing for years 6-10 and, where possible, for years 11-15.

**3.4** As sites are completed, they are recorded through the annual Residential Land Monitoring Returns, and also on to the SHLAA database. Completions have been kept within the assessment in simple tabulated form and remain plotted on to the mapping so that it is clear where development has taken place. Copies of the residential land report can be viewed via the monitoring section of the District Council's website.

https://www.lichfielddc.gov.uk/Council/Planning/The-local-plan-and-planning-policy/Resource-centre/Monitoring

# 4 Methodology

**4.1** This section sets out the methodology used for this assessment. This edition of the SHLAA has closely followed the guidance contained within the PPG and the agreed methodology being utilised by the three south-east Staffordshire authorities. The methodology below will also set out the District specific elements which have been applied to reflect the distinctive character of Lichfield District.

# Stage 1: Identification

### What geographical area should the assessment cover?

**4.2** The PPG advocates that SHLAAs be produced to cover the housing market area. However, it also states that different areas can be used for the assessment including local planning authority areas (ID: 3-007-20140306). As set out at paragraph 1.3 the SHLAA methodology will be used across the south-east Staffordshire housing market area, with each authority carrying out the assessment within its own administrative boundary. Previous editions of the SHLAA have been produced at the District level while having regard to SHLAAs being produced by neighbouring authorities, particularly where cross boundary requirements may be identified (iii)

### Who should plan makers work with?

**4.3** The PPG requires assessments to involve a number of key stakeholders. Previous editions of the SHLAA used a Panel approach, this meant that a number of stakeholders were involved in the formulation of the assessment methodology and were asked to contribute each year to the document The Panel approach used conforms with guidance within the PPG which suggests assessments involve a number of stakeholders (ID: 3-008-20140306). A joint SHLAA Panel for the South-East Staffordshire Authorities has been used most recently in 2016 when the SHLAA Panel considered the methodology.

### Should the assessment be constrained by the need for development?

**4.4** The PPG states that the assessment should identify all sites and broad locations regardless of the quantum of development needed. The SHLAA methodology employed by Lichfield District has continued to identify sites from all sources in excess of the housing requirement (ID: 3-009-20140306). As such the assessment is not constrained by the housing requirement within the adopted Local Plan.

### What site/broad location size should be considered for the assessment?

**4.5** The Lichfield District SHLAA does not apply a minimum site threshold for a sites inclusion and consideration within the Assessment. The PPG states that assessments should consider all sites and broad locations capable of delivering 5 or more dwellings or on sites of 0.25 or more (ID: 3-010-20140306). It is considered appropriate to consider sites below these thresholds through this assessment to provide a detailed assessment of land availability.

iii In particular Cannock Chase District and Tamworth Borough Councils are members of the SHLAA panel which helps to shape the document each year

# How should sites/broad locations be identified & What types of sites and sources of data should be used?

**4.6** The PPG provides guidance on the sources of data which should be used to identify sites through the assessment (ID: 3-012-20140306). This includes; existing allocations; planning permissions; unimplemented and under construction schemes with the benefit of planning permission; planning applications that have been refused or withdrawn; local authority owned land; surplus public sector land; vacant and derelict land and buildings; additional opportunities in established uses; sites in rural locations; large scale redevelopment of existing areas; sites in and adjoining rural settlements and rural exception sites; potential urban extensions and new settlements.

**4.7** No particular types of land or areas are excluded from the Assessment but in order to maximise resources the desktop survey for site identification concentrates in and around Lichfield, Burntwood and other settlements with development envelopes defined by the Local Plan. It is considered that these sites would be more sustainable and more likely to be deliverable or developable.

### Should plan makers issue a call for potential sites?

**4.8** More recently the District Council has held an ongoing 'Call for Sites' for the proposed Local Plan: Allocations, and this has led to further sites being put forward for residential schemes. The Call for Sites is an ongoing, open process which has been used to identify further sites for inclusion with the SHLAA. The Call for Sites is open throughout the year and new submissions are included within the SHLAA up to the data baseline outlines in Section 2.

**4.9** In order to get an up-to-date picture of the supply, sites which were listed as Under Construction, or with Full or Outline Planning Permission and those sites identified through the above processes as of the 31<sup>st</sup> March 2017 have been included in the assessment.

### What should be included in the site and broad location survey?

**4.10** Identified sites (and broad locations) will be assessed against national policies and designations to establish which have a reasonable potential for development and should be included in the site survey for further assessment.

### What Characteristics should be recorded during the survey?

**4.11** The following characteristics were recorded in the site database:

- Site size, boundaries, and location;
- Current land use and character;
- Land use and character of surrounding area;
- Physical constraints, which might include poor access, steep slopes, potential for flooding, natural features of significance, noise from surrounding land use, location of buildings or pylons and contamination;

- Potential environmental constraints;
- Development progress, e.g. ground works completed, number of homes started and number of homes completed;
- Initial assessment of whether the site is suitable for housing or housing as part of a mixed-use development (policy off); and
- Current planning policy.

### Stage 2: Assessment

**4.12** Site assessment work for residential uses will be undertaken in accordance with the PPG under the stage 2 guidance for land availability assessments. There are a number of locally distinctive criteria which are incorporated into the assessment which assist in determining the development potential of a given site. These are; site density, net developable area, implementation timescales and 'build out' rates, which are summarised below.

**4.13** The assessment will be locally distinctive and make reference to the respective Local Plans and/or the most recent policy approaches of the three South-East Staffordshire authorities.

### Site density

**4.14** The Lichfield District SHLAA has historically used the following site density assumptions as was agreed by the SHLAA Panel. If a site has a layout and proposed yield then this will be considered and used where it is considered appropriate.

- Sites with planning permission will use the permitted density and number of dwellings;
- If the information submitted alongside a site includes a layout and/or proposed yield this will be considered and used where it is considered appropriate; and
- For other sites, the character of the surrounding area or similar nearby permissions will be taken into consideration, and unless evidence suggests otherwise, in general terms: 30dwellings per hectare (dph) to be applied as a minimum, in rural locations; 40dph to be applied in urban locations and; 50dph to be applied where higher density may be achieved such as town centre locations and around public transport nodes.

### Net developable area

**4.15** The following net developable area ratios will be used where a site does not provide detail on the area of the site which is to be developed or, where any such detail is not considered appropriate by the District Council. The net developable area ratios are designed to take into consideration any ancillary uses of land, for example roads, open space provision, pathways and other infrastructure which would be included onsite along with residential development. This helps to give a more accurate reflection of the anticipated land take up from residential development, particularly on larger sites where considerable areas will be required for onsite infrastructure. Sites will be considered on a case by case basis where further information is available to complement these threshold assumptions.

Site Size	Gross Net Ratio Standard
Up to 0.4 Hectare	100%
0.4 - 2 Hectare	80%
2 Hectares and above	60%

**4.16** Where possible, known constraints have been taken into account in terms of yield, however, it is important to recognise that yields may also be affected by issues not currently evident, such as ground conditions on certain parts of sites. Incorporating existing features of the landscape such as trees and hedges may also affect layouts and yields. The yields derived for this assessment therefore have the potential to change for individual sites that progress through to the later stages of the planning process.

### Implementation time-scales

**4.17** The SHLAA methodology needs to consider the lead in times required for development to commence and the anticipated rates of delivery that can be achieved. These factors need to be taken into account in determining a housing trajectory. The following lead in times have been applied to sites where there has been no further information of when sites are likely to commence. These estimates are based on knowledge of the planning process locally:

	Major (10+ dwellings)	Minor (1-9 dwellings)
Sites with Planning Permission	2 years to implementation (from grant of planning permission)	1 year to implementation (from grant of planning permission)
Sites without Planning Permission	3 years to implementation	2 years to implementation

Table 4.1

### 'Build out' rates

**4.18** The issue of 'build out' rates for housing sites was considered by the SHLAA Panel, which agreed that landowners and agents were likely to be best placed to estimate 'build out' rates and that they should be consulted on this aspect. In the absence of this information it was agreed that the following 'build out' rates for different sized sites would be appropriate:

- Up to 25 dwellings completed in first year of building (per developer, if appropriate)
- Maximum of 50 dwellings per year for a single developer
- Sites up to 250 dwellings: assume single developer (50 dwellings per year)
- Sites 251 to 500 dwellings: assume 2 developers, i.e. 2 x 50 dwellings per year
- Sites 501+: assume 3 developers, i.e. 3 x 50 dwellings per year
- Ceiling of 150 dwellings per year for all sites.

**4.19** Where large deliverable and developable sites in the housing supply would be delivered over several of the 5 year periods, the supply has been split across the periods to give a realistic estimation of when development could be delivered on each site. This also recognises that on larger sites, only a proportion of the dwellings will be deliverable within the first 5 year period, with those outside being considered developable.

### Other criteria considered when undertaking the assessment

**4.20** The PPG requires the SHLAA to assesses the suitability, availability and achievability of sites (including whether the site is economically viable). The following paragraphs outline the approach taken with the assessment which draws on the guidance contained within the PPG and the joint methodology of the three South-East Staffordshire authorities.

### Assessing Suitability for housing

**4.21** A site is suitable for housing development if it offers a suitable location for development and would contribute to the creation of sustainable mixed communities. In the context of the District, the adopted Local Plan Strategy is based on a key settlement focused strategy with development focused on Lichfield, Burntwood, Fradley and five Key Rural Settlements (Fazeley, Mile Oak and Bonehill; Shenstone; Armitage with Handsacre; Whittington and Alrewas). The Local Plan Strategy acknowledges the key role these settlements will have in delivering the housing requirements of the District until 2029.

**4.22** Therefore, if a site is within or adjacent to the settlement boundary of Burntwood, Lichfield, Armitage with Handsacre, Shenstone, Fazeley, Fradley, Alrewas and Whittington it is considered for the purposes of the assessment to contribute to sustainable mixed communities. If a site is located away from these settlements then it will be considered that it does not contribute to a sustainable mixed community, unless other provisions as part of the development are being proposed that would allow a different conclusion to be drawn. It is recognised that a site may be adjacent to a sustainable community but some distance from services and facilities. Therefore each site has been examined to see how it contributes to those sustainable communities. If a site is considered not to make a contribution to sustainable mixed communities then it is considered not suitable.

### **Policy Restrictions**

**4.23** Sites will be assessed with reference to the relevant, up-to-date, national and local planning policy (including 'made' neighbourhood plans). Where any such planning policy shows a presumption against development this will be recorded in the individual site assessments. Whilst this approach does not remove sites from the Assessment it should be noted that those existing policies would need to change through the Local Plan and/or neighbourhood plan processes. Where a site is proposed to be allocated through the emerging Local Plan Allocations document it has been considered to represent a suitable location for development. Should changes be made to the emerging plan this could need to be reviewed in future editions of the SHLAA.

### Physical Problems or limitations

**4.24** Each site is assessed in terms of access and infrastructure, ground conditions, flood risk, hazardous risks, pollution or contamination. Where these were considered so significant as to affect a site coming forward then the site was considered unsuitable.

**4.25** For assessing flood risk, the latest flood risk maps from the Environment Agency were used from the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment which forms part of the Local Plan's evidence base. Where sites include an area of flood risk, a flood risk assessment may be required. Where a site fell within flood risk zone 2 or 3 then this element was considered unsuitable and density was calculated on the remaining area of the site.

**4.26** Contaminated land data from the District Council's Environmental Health Team was used to identify any significant issues. A 50m buffer was applied to each contaminated land source point and if a site contained part of the buffer and the contamination was considered significant then this was noted.

**4.27** Historic landfill data from the Council's Environmental Health team was also used to identify any significant issues. A 50m buffer was applied to each landfill site and if a site contained part of the buffer then the landfill was noted.

**4.28** Information on coal subsidence areas was also used to show where work may be required.

**4.29** The site assessments used available information on access and highways issues in many cases with transport information from prospective developers. However, in some cases, particularly with larger scale sites, there could be significant transportation issues that require more detailed assessment. It is difficult to make an assumption with desk-top exercises to overcome physical constraints and in some cases further detailed assessment will be required. This may impact on the achievability of some sites.

**4.30** Any other physical problems were identified on site and noted including landform features that could have implications on capacity.

### Potential Impacts

**4.31** Sites were assessed for the effect of any development on the landscape features or conservation. This included looking at conservation areas, listed buildings, Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI), Tree Preservation Orders (TPO), Ancient Woodland, Agricultural Land Classification, Site of Biological Interests (SBI), Cannock Chase Special Area of Conservation (SAC) and the River Mease SAC.

**4.32** Key issues relevant to the principle of development or capacity include the presence of statutory and non-statutory biodiversity sites, protected species, Biodiversity Action Plan (BAP) habitats and species, the need to provide green infrastructure, the impact of the development of the site on local landscape character and whether the site falls within landscape areas such as the Forest of Mercia, Central Rivers or National Forest.

**4.33** Whilst the presence of any of these may not necessarily prevent the development of a site, they may affect the number of houses that the site can support through, for example, a requirement to provide buffer land next to a protected site, the need to provide mitigation for protected species, the provision of green infrastructure or landscape enhancements or contributions to biodiversity objectives.

**4.34** Sites that fall within the River Mease water catchment or the Cannock Chase zone of influence would be expected to mitigate for damage that development would have on the Special Areas of Conservation in these areas. This is particularly true of the larger sites which may need to either include and/or contribute to green infrastructure and accessible natural greenspace with consequent implications for site capacity.

**4.35** Sites that fall within the Forest of Mercia or National Forest would be expected to contribute to the delivery of objectives relating to the Forest of Mercia or the National Forest, as applicable. This is particularly true of the larger sites which will need to either include and/or contribute to green infrastructure and accessible natural greenspace with consequent implications for site capacity.

**4.36** Sites that are within 1km of protected sites such as SSSI, SBI or SAC were noted. Potential protected species on the sites were also identified. Where it is felt that these would impact on the suitability of the sites these have been noted. It is likely that further survey work will be required to identify more precisely the impact the presence of these areas and species would have on the suitability of the sites.

### Assessing Availability for housing

**4.37** The assessment of availability has been informed by the landowner / agent where they have indicated when the site could be developed. Where sites are occupied by existing uses, the need to relocate that use and the time it would likely take to relocate have been considered.

**4.38** Where a site has planning permission, it is assumed that the development will commence within 5 years unless there is clear evidence which suggests otherwise. This approach is consistent with guidance contained within the NPPF and PPG.

**4.39** On submitted sites, advice from the promoter has been taken and consideration of any other work required before building can commence.

**4.40** Lichfield District Council produced an Urban Capacity Assessment in October 2016. This assessment considered the availability of potential urban sites. The conclusions of this assessment have been fed into the SHLAA process where appropriate.

**4.41** The assessment of availability will assist in deciding whether a suitable and achievable site is considered as either deliverable or developable. Where sites are considered to start within 5 years they have been assessed as 'deliverable'. Where sites are considered to be unable to commence until after the first 5 years then these are considered as 'developable'. For some sites implementation may overlap between deliverable and developable years.

### Assessing Achievability for housing

**4.42** A site has been considered achievable for development where there is a reasonable prospect that housing will be developed on the site at a particular point in time. This is most likely to refer to viability as if a development opportunity does not realise a viable return on investment, it is very unlikely the site will be brought forward for development. Viability can be affected by:

- **Market factors** such as adjacent uses, economic viability of existing, proposed and alternative uses in terms of land values, attractiveness of the locality, level of potential market demand and projected rate of sales (particularly important for larger sites)
- Cost factors including site preparation costs relating to any physical constraints, any exceptional works necessary, relevant planning standards or obligations, prospect of funding or investment to address identified constraints or assist development
- **Delivery factors** including the developer's own phasing, the realistic build-out rates on larger sites (including likely earliest and latest start and completion dates), whether there is a single developer or several developers offering different housing products, and the size and capacity of the developer.

**4.43** Information that was received and gathered for individual sites contributed to the assessment of achievability as detailed in the appendices. The SHLAA Panel has also played a key role in viability analysis by contributing commercial judgement and advice where appropriate. Where additional information has been provided by the developers which seeks to address the constraints identified this has been considered through the SHLAA process. The

SHLAA seeks only to identify these potential constraints and does not form a judgement on their impact upon viability, as this may change over time, it has however acknowledged the evidence provided this year.

**4.44** Feedback on required infrastructure has been given to promoters of sites and they have been asked about the impact such requirements would have on viability.

**4.45** The PPG requires sites potential viability to be considered as part of its achieveability. Given the large number of sites within the SHLAA it is not possible to test viability on individual sites. As part of the Local Plan and Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) preparation the District Council has commissioned several pieces of evidence relating to viability. Both 2012 and 2014 studies conclude that residential development (as tested on a number of policy compliant scenarios) is viable across the District. As such the SHLAA assumes that residential development within the District remains a viable option.

### Stage 3: Windfall Assessment

**4.46** The NPPF (para 48) and PPG (ID 3-24-2-140306) state that planning authorities may include a windfall allowance where there is 'compelling' evidence that such sites have consistently become available. The PPG allows for a windfall allowance to be identified within broad locations that form part of the 6-15 year supply. In order to calculate a windfall allowance the SHLAA database has been used to calculate the number of windfalls which have been granted planning permission each year (between editions of the SHLAA) and the number of completions each year which have been delivered from 'windfall' sites.<sup>(iv)</sup>

**4.47** Lichfield District has historically consistently delivered windfall sites. As such it is felt appropriate to include a windfall allowance within the housing trajectory. Table 4.1 shows the number of windfalls which have been granted planning permission between each edition of the SHLAA (not including residential garden developments). Evidence to support the windfall allowance can be found at Appendix C.

Year	Number of Windfalls granted Planning Permission	Completions delivered from windfall sites
2008-2009	16	197
2009-2010	72	75
2010-2011	33	131
2011-2012	33	89
2012-2013	64	66
2013-2014	44	128
2014-2015	107	113
2015-2016	185	116
2016-2017	191	218

iv The NPPF states that developments in 'residential gardens' cannot be included in the windfall allowance calculation, as such these sites have been removed from the calculation.

	Number of Windfalls granted Planning Permission	Completions delivered from windfall sites
Average	83	126

### Table 4.2 Windfalls

**4.48** The average number of windfalls granted planning permission since 01/04/2008 is 83 dwellings per year. This provides evidence that Lichfield District has historically provided a significant number of windfalls each year and as such this should be taken into account within the five year supply, in line with guidance within the NPPF.

**4.49** The Urban Capacity Assessment concludes a windfall allowance of 55 dwellings per annum is appropriate.

**4.50** Table 4.1 suggests that an average windfall rate of 83 dwellings per year could be used. Although the average number of completions delivered from windfall sites would support a higher windfall allowance, to reflect a cautious approach and to ensure there is not an over reliance on windfalls, the District Council proposes a windfall allowance of 55 dwellings per year. This accords with the windfall allowance set out within the urban capacity assessment. This allowance will be used within the 5 year housing land supply paper and housing trajectory.

## Stage 4: Assessment review

**4.51** The assessment methodology provides for a review of the findings of the assessment. Its purpose is to consider refined site assessments, including when sites are likely to come forward, in order to identify whether enough housing potential has been identified to meet the needs of the District to 2029.

**4.52** The outcome of the assessment has shown that there is a significant supply of sites assessed as either deliverable or developable (bearing in mind the policy off approach)

**4.53** This outcome means that there is no need to seek further sites to top up the assessment, nor any need to re-visit the assumptions made through the assessment process. Neither is there a need to consider whether additional broad locations for potential housing need to be identified. This optional stage in the SHLAA process is identified within the SHLAA Methodology in cases where the assessment has identified insufficient sites to meet housing requirements. This will be kept under review through the SHLAA process and monitoring of the Local Plan as part of the longer-term planning process.

## Stage 5: Final evidence base

**4.54** The core outputs of the assessment are presented in the following section of the SHLAA and will be as described within the PPG (ID 3-028-20140306). Sites will be identified based on their deliverability (1-5 years), developability (6-15 years) in accordance with paragraph 47 of the NPPF and guidance contained within the PPG. The assessment will also detail those sites which have been considered to be 'Not Developable' by the assessment.

**4.55** The SHLAA will be updated annually with data to the end of the most recently completed financial year.

# **5 Summary of Potential Provision & Conclusions**

### SHLAA Results (PPG: Stage 5: Final Evidence Base)

**5.1** In total 1076 sites (including completed sites) have been identified and assessed through the process with a remaining capacity for 30,797dwellings identified on sites considered to be deliverable (including those in the planning process) or developable and a further 2,331 (gross) dwellings already completed as of 31<sup>st</sup> March 2017 (since 2008). The results of the assessment are shown in the table below. Sites have been associated with their nearest settlement. Where sites do not have close ties with a settlement they have been classed as "Other Rural". Where large sites would deliver residential completions across different five year periods the total yield has been split into the deliverable and developable bands according to the SHLAA methodology.

**5.2** The figures for each settlement relate to sites which are within the respective settlement boundaries or adjacent sites which are closely associated to the settlements (these sites are shown on the settlement maps). A number of the 'Other Rural' sites have the benefit of planning permission, or are adjacent to settlements outside of the Lichfield District boundary (these are shown on the District Wide map and within the Other Rural section of Appendix B). Please note that these figures include all sites considered to be deliverable or developable in line with the SHLAA methodology. As this is a policy-off document sites are included which are not consistent with current planning policy. The results distinguish between those sites within and without the Green Belt.

S e t t l e m e n t (aligned to Spatial	Deliverable Commitments (net)			Sites outsid		Total			
Strategy)	U/C	P P	P P	Delive	Deliverable		Developable		
		Full	Outline	Non-Green Belt	G r e e n Belt	Non-Green Belt	G r e e n Belt		
Burntwood	301	51	163	158	859	558	1,090	3,180	
Lichfield	487	560	848	578	1,022	1,814	756	6,169	
Alrewas	1	139	0	12	N/A	24	N/A	176	
Armitage with Handsacre	4	4	199	88	1,010	45	1,205	2,555	
Fazeley, Mile Oak & Bonehill	1	5	4	134	562	72	540	1,318	
Fradley	287	3	705	742	N/A	1,475	N/A	3,212	
Shenstone	0	1	0	50	585	1	1,137	1,774	
Whittington	2	0	0	17	189	10	0	218	
Sub-Total	1,083	763	1,919	1,779	4,227	3,999	4,728	18,498	
Clifton Campville	2	2	0	0	N/A	2	N/A	6	
Colton	1	2	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	3	
Drayton Bassett	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

S e t t l e m e n t (aligned to Spatial			v e r a b l e Sites outside Planning Process nents (net)					Total
Strategy)	U/C	P P P		Delive	rable	Developable		
		Full	Outline	Non-Green Belt	G r e e n Belt	Non-Green Belt	G r e e n Belt	
Edingale	0	0	0	0	N/A	4	N/A	4
Elford	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hammerwich	4	3	0	0	38	0	0	45
Hamstall Ridware	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0
Harlaston	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	14
Hill Ridware	50	0	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	50
Hopwas	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Kings Bromley	3	5	0	0	N/A	2	N/A	10
Little Aston	3	2	0	0	0	11	0	16
Longdon	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
Stonnall	0	0	1	0	0	12	1	14
Upper Longdon	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
Wigginton	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0
Sub-Total	70	15	1	0	38	32	1	157
North of Tamworth	164	0	0	0	N/A	1,596	N/A	1,760
East of Rugeley	49	0	0	0	0	1,604	215	1,868
Outside Settlements (Other Rural)	25	127	15	0	29	8,281	37	8,514
Sub-Total	238	127	15	0	29	11,481	252	12,142
Total	1,391	905	1,935	1,779	4,924	15,512	4,981	30,797

Table 5.1 SHLAA Results (at 31st March 2017)

**5.3** Sites listed as 'Not Developable' have been assessed, however, they do not represent sites that are considered appropriate to contribute towards housing provision due to significant constraints. If additional information becomes available relating to these sites, then the assessment may change in future revisions of this document. The site assessments for these sites are included within Appendix B.

### **Indicative Housing Trajectory**

**5.4** The 'deliverable' sites identified within Lichfield District, for the period 1<sup>st</sup> April 2017 to the 31<sup>st</sup> March 2022, total approximately 6,073 dwellings. A large majority of these are located outside of settlement boundaries, with many being within the Green Belt. A further 4,231 dwellings are under construction or have full or outline planning permission (or resolution to grant planning permission subject to the signing of a Section 106). Looking beyond 2022, there is a developable supply of some 20,493 dwellings. As explained earlier, these figures do not take into account policy considerations or constraints although sites within the Green Belt are now shown within a separate column of the SHLAA results.

**5.5** From this assessment of sites it can be concluded that within Lichfield District there are sufficient potential housing sites to meet the housing requirement in the adopted Local Plan. This provides the Council with a strategic choice of locations for consideration through the Local Plan, which can identify a spatial development approach to a housing trajectory that provides for a responsive rate of development over 5, 10 and 15 year periods to take place in appropriate locations.

**5.6** It is to be expected that the bulk of the housing sites needed to maintain a 5 year supply will come from the stock of existing permissions, Local Plan and Neighbourhood Plan allocations and sites already under construction. Years 6 to 15 will largely rely on the release of further sites from within the developable stock sites that are currently outside the planning process, sufficient to enable the delivery of our spatial development strategy consistent with the adopted Local Plan. The Local Plan Allocations process will provide further allocations for residential development which will contribute to the supply of sites across the plan period.

**5.7** For more specific information on the detailed housing trajectory, please refer to the 'Five Year Housing Land Supply' Paper.

# 6 Monitor & Review

**6.1** The preparation of a SHLAA enables a clear base for the future monitoring of housing provision against targets contained within the Local Plan. This is the tenth edition of the SHLAA, which is a living document. Additional sites (or any changes), will be added to the SHLAA database upon submission to Lichfield District Council and will be contained within subsequent publications of the document. Information contained within the SHLAA will be based on the most recently completed financial year.

**6.2** The SHLAA will be subject to a process of annual review as part of the monitoring process and will be published annually.

SHLAA Panel

# Appendix A SHLAA Panel

The PPG advocates that land availability assessments (ELAA/SHLAA) should be prepared in partnership with key stakeholders. This should include developers, local property agents, local communities and other agencies who should be involved from the outset.

Cannock Chase District, Lichfield District and Tamworth Borough Councils determined to set up a joint SHLAA/ELAA Panel which built upon the success of earlier panels employed by the Councils. The SHLAA/ELAA Panel were consulted on the draft methodology and will be consulted on each edition of the SHLAA/ELAA. Its remit will be to consider the methodology and the detailed approach to site assessment, as set out within section 4 of this document.

The Panel comprised representatives from the local authorities, local agents, developers and others with property interest/knowledge within the area. The Panel comprised:

- Representatives from the three south-east Staffordshire authorities: Lichfield District Council, Cannock Chase District Council and Tamworth Borough Council;
- Developers representatives including St Modwen, Persimmon Homes and Bromford Housing Group;
- Representatives from planning consultants active in the local area including CT Planning, Pegasus Group and Barton Wilmore;
- Representatives from the land promotion sector including First City, Richborough Estates, Jones Lang Lasalle (JLL), Kingstons CPC;
- Representatives from the Statutory Consultees; and
- Representatives from other Local Plan Authorities including South Staffordshire District Council and Stafford Borough Council.

The specific terms under which the Panel operated were agreed by the panel and defined as:


- To act as an independent panel that is representative of key stakeholders for the sole purpose of the preparation of the ELAA/SHLAA;
- To consider and give advice and opinions on the findings of the initial assessment undertaken by Lichfield District Council on the 'suitability' and 'availability' of potential sites within its administrative area;
- To assess the 'achievability' of potential sites for housing which are being assessed for the purpose of the ELAA/SHLAA. This will essentially be a judgement on the economic viability of each site, and the capacity of a developer to complete a proposed development over a certain period. Each Panel member will have regard to relevant published documents and draw from their own experience in giving a view on the 'achievability' of each potential site;
- To consider and give advice and opinions on the ELAA/SHLAA report(s) prior to its consideration and approval by Lichfield District Council; and
- To undertake any other task identified and agreed with the Council for the purpose of preparing the ELAA/SHLAA report(s).

Copies of the Panel Terms of Reference and representations received during the panel consultation can be made available on request.

Schedule of all Sites

# Appendix B Schedule of all Sites

**B.1 Alrewas** 



# SHLAA Sites 2016-2017

 Developable
 Not Developable Green Belt Site Complete Deliverable

 District Boundary
 Site with Planning Permission
 Site under construction Settlement BoundaryDistrict Boundary

Z Proposed for allocation in emerging LPA

district Acouncil

www.lichfielddc.gov.uk

### Alrewas

ID	Location	Source	Planning Application No.	Net Yield	Deliverability
28	Essington House Farm, North of Dark Lane,	Planning Permission Full	13/01175/FULM	121	Deliverable
229	Main Street, 156	Complete	06/00372/FUL	1	Complete
230	Main Street, 27	Planning Permission Full	16/00849/FUL	1	Deliverable
281	Furlong Lane, 50	Complete	03/00967/FUL	1	Complete
581	Mill End Lane, 16	Complete	09/00118/FUL	1	Complete
580	Main Street, 5-7	Complete	08/00297/FUL	3	Complete
123	Fox Lane	Complete	04/00778/FUL	10	Complete
124	Main Street, 126a	Complete	04/00779/FUL	2	Complete
550	Mill End Lane, 18	Complete	09/00591/FUL	1	Complete
454	Main Street, 60-64	Complete	07/01029/FUL	1	Complete
610	Main Street, Tudor Chocolates Workshop	Complete	12/00293/FUL	4	Complete
751	Bagnall Lock	Planning Permission Full	14/01103/FULM	8	Deliverable
752	Essington House Farm (Part) Conversions	Complete	11/00793/FUL	4	Complete
810	Church Road, Cranfield House outbuilding	Complete	12/00821/FUL	1	Complete
847	Main Street, land rear 70	Complete	12/01230/FUL	2	Complete
868	Park Road, 4, Land rear of	Planning Permission FUII	15/01211/FUL	1	Deliverable
943	Micklehome Drive, 35	Complete	14/00946/OUT	1	Complete
944	Churchill Crescent, land adj 8	Complete	14/01007/FUL	1	Complete
945	Somerville Road. 7	Complete	14/00953/FUL	1	Complete
974	Kings Bromley Road, Jaipur Cottage	Planning Permission Full	14/01099/FUL	6	Deliverable
979	Mill End Lane, Alderhay	Complete	15/00499/FUL	1	Complete
990	Mickleholme Drive, Land adj 66,	Complete	15/00693/FUL	1	Complete
46	Park Road, Mastrom Printers	Under Construction	11/01025/FUL	(6 total) 1 remains to be completed	Deliverable
1011	Main Street, 25	Complete	15/01200/FUL	2	Complete

ID	Location	Source	Planning Application No.	Net Yield	Deliverability
1045	Mickleholme Drive, Adj. 64	Planning Permission Full	16/00257/FUL	1	Deliverable
1050	Somerville Rd., 65	Complete	16/00400/FUL	1	Complete
1089	Mickleholme Drive, Adj. 78	Planning Permission Full	16/00338/FUL	1	Deliverable

ID: 36	: 36 Settlement: Alrewas Location: Eas Canal Cottage			Ward: Alrewas
Site Area (ha): 0.68	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.68	Density Rate (dph): 30
Yield Note: Yield based o	n submitted planning applicat	ion (15/00739/Fl	JLM) - application approved after	base date of SHLAA
Currently Suitable? Yes		Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes	
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> Within village boundary in a settlement considered to be a Key Rural Settlement as identified within the adopted Local Plan Strategy. Close proximity to public transport routes, services and facilities. Site falls within broad area for search for assessment of sites which could contribute to sustainable mixed communities. Site is being proposed for allocation in the emerging LPA.			Availability Notes: Site has been promoted by the owner/agent. Planning application for scheme has been submitted. UCA assesses site as available.	Achievability Notes: Access to the site is restrictive and influences yield.
An SBI and BAS are within 1km and the impact may need further			When is site likely to come forward?: 0-5 years	
	investigation. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence and National Forest and therefore mitigation may be required.			Current Deliverability: Deliverable

ID: 869	Settlement: Alrewas	Location: Park Road	Location: Park Road 4		
Site Area (ha): 0.14	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.14	Density Rate (dph): -	
Yield Note: Yield prop proposed would be 1.	•	oposed yield of 2 which v	would indicate demolition of exist	ing property. Net yield	
Currently Suitable?	Yes		Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes	
Rural Settlement as ic Close proximity to pub not wholly comply with	side village boundary but lentified within the adopte lic transport routes, servio h current development pla lis within broad area for s	ed Local plan Strategy. ces and facilities. Does an policies in the Local	Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.	Achievability Notes: No Known constraints	
	Plan, however, site falls within broad area for search for assessment of sites which could contribute to sustainable mixed communities			orward?: 0-5 years	
Within Conservation Area. An SBI and BAS are within 1km and the impact may need further investigation. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence and National Forest therefore mitigation may be required.			Proposed Yield: 1	Current Deliverability: Deliverable	

ID: 897	Settlement: Alrewas	Location: Fox Lane 4	41 & 42	Ward: Alrewas	
Site Area (ha): 0.2	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.2	Density Rate (dph): -	
Yield Note: Yield pro yield of 4.	posed by submission. P	roposed yield of 6 which	n would include demolition of 2 exi	sting properties as such net	
Currently Suitable?	Yes		Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes	
to be a Key Rural Ser plan Strategy. Close and facilities. Site fal	ithin village boundary in a ttlement as identified with proximity to public trans lis within broad area for s contribute to sustainable	hin the adopted Local port routes, services search for assessment	Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.	Achievability Notes: No Known constraints	
An SBI and BAS are	within 1km and the impa	ct may need further	When is site likely to come forward?: 0-5 years		
investigation. Site is v	vithin the Cannock Chase fore mitigation may be re	e zone of influence and	Proposed Yield: 4	Current Deliverability: Deliverable	

ID: 204	Settlement: Alrewas	Location: Cot	tton Close, land off	Ward: Alrewas		
Site Area (ha): 0.83	Source: Expired	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.08	Density Rate (dph):		
Yield Note: Yield based of	Yield Note: Yield based on previous planning permission which has now expired					
Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Available? No	Currently Achievable? Yes		
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> Within village boundary in a settlement considered to be a Key Rural Settlement as identified within the adopted Local plan			Availability Notes: Planning permission has now lapsed.	Achievability Notes: No known constraints		
Strategy. Close proximity to public transport routes, services and facilities. Planning permission previously has been granted. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence and National Forest therefore mitigation may be required.		When is site likely to come forward?: 6 - 10 years				
		Proposed Yield: 2	Current Deliverability Developable			

ID: 753	Settlement: Alrewas	Location: Mai	n Street, Storage Site	Ward: Alrewas
Site Area (ha): 0.83	<b>Source:</b> Submission/Rural Planning Project	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.72	Density Rate (dph): 30
Yield Note: Yield of 15 c	wellings was identified through	the Rural Plann	ing Project	
Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Available? No	Currently Achievable? No
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> Within village boundary in a settlement considered to be a Key Rural Settlement as identified within the adopted Local Plan Strategy. Close proximity to public transport routes, services and facilities. Site is within the National Forest therefore mitigation may be required. Within Conservation Area (Part). A BAS is within 1km and the impact may need further investigation.		Availability Notes: Unsure if site is available. UCA assessed site as 'not available'. Site was identified by local community through community engagement.	Achievability Notes: No known constraints.	
		When is site likely to come fo	rward?: - 6-10 years	


ID: 753	Settlement: Alrewas	Location: Main Street, Storage Site		Ward: Alrewas
			Proposed Yield: 20	Current Deliverability: Developable

ID: 883	Settlement: Alrewas	Location: Mill End Lane, 10		Ward: Alrewas		
<b>Site Area (ha):</b> 0.05	<b>Source:</b> Planning Permission Full	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.05	Density Rate (dph): 30		
Yield Note: Yield fro	Yield Note: Yield from 13/00837/FUL which has now expired					
Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Available? No	Currently Achievable? No		
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> Within village boundary in a settlement considered to be a Key Rural Settlement as identified within the adopted Local Plan Strategy. Close proximity to public transport routes, services and facilities. Site is within the National Forest			Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.	Achievability Notes: No known constraints.		
therefore mitigation may be required. Within Conservation Area (Part). A BAS is within 1km and the impact may need further investigation.		When is site likely to come forward?: - 6-10 years				
		Proposed Yield: 2	Current Deliverability: Developable			

Table B.7

ID: 439	Settlement: Alrewas	Location: Mill End Lane, 18		Ward: Alrewas		
Site Area (ha): 0.83	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.08	Density Rate (dph): 30		
Yield Note: Yield based or	Yield Note: Yield based on area outside floodzone, 30 dph used to reflect nature and character of settlement.					
Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Available? No	Currently Achievable? Yes		
Suitability Notes: Within village boundary in a settlement considered to be a Key Rural Settlement as identified within the adopted Local Plan Strategy. Close proximity to public transport routes, services and facilities. Floodzone 2 (part) 3a (part). FRA may be required. Access may be		Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent. UCA assesses site as not available.	Achievability Notes: Unknown if site is achievable due to floodzone and access.			
difficult to achieve given planning permission at adjacent site.			When is site likely to come forward?: -			
Within Conservation Area. The loss of Grade 3 Agricultural Land may need justification. Site is within the National Forest therefore mitigation may be required. A BAS is within 1km and the impact may need further investigation. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.		Proposed Yield: 1	Current Deliverability: Not Developable			

# **B.2 Armitage with Handsacre**



SHLAA Sites 2016-2017

 Developable
 Not Developable Complete Deliverable

Green Belt Site

Settlement Boundary
 District Boundary
 Site with Planning Permission
 Site under construction

Z Proposed for allocation in emerging LPA

www.lichfielddc.gov.uk N district acouncil

# Armitage with Handsacre

ID	Location	Source	Planning Application No.	Net Yield	Deliverability
91	Lichfield Rd., East of	Planning Permission Outline	15/01198/OUTM	199	Deliverable
588	Rugeley Road, 61 (2)	Complete	08/01215/FUL	6	Complete
234	New Road, land adj 3	Complete	06/01046/FUL	1	Complete
288	St Lukes Church Hall, Handsacre	Complete	06/00694/COU	1	Complete
287	Rectory Lane, Land Rear 1-5	Complete	06/00766/REM	2	Complete
286	The Olde Peculiar PH	Complete	06/00328/FUL	7	Complete
121	Handsacre Service Station	Complete	08/01051/FULM	14	Complete
178	Tuppenhurst Lane, The Poplars	Complete	07/00028/OUTM & 08/000356/REMM	15	Complete
690	New Road, 71B	Complete	10/00857/FUL	1	Complete
411	New Road, land rear of 62	Complete	08/00894/FUL	8	Complete
421	Land Rear 87 New Road	Complete	11/00487/FUL	14	Complete
772	Harvey Road 35-37 (Garage Court)	Complete	11/00853//FUL	2	Complete
779	Milmoor Avenue, land adj 26	Complete	12/00116/FUL	1	Complete
798	Rugeley Road, 34A	Complete	12/00209/FUL	3	Complete
816	Leaside Avenue, land adj 9	Complete	12/00703/FUL	1	Complete
888	Rugeley Road, Clarke Hayes Barn	Complete	13/01225/COU	1	Complete
950	Old Road, Old Farm	Planning Permission Full	14/00725/FUL	1	Deliverable
967	Uttoxeter Road, 70,	Complete	14/01179/FUL	1	Complete
986	New Road, 90	Planning Permission Full	15/00580/FUL	1	Deliverable
1058	Hood Lane, 1	Unedr Construction	16/00427/FUL	3	Deliverable
1067	New Rd., r/o 56	Planning Permission Full	16/00351/FUL	2	Deliverable
1086	Lichfield Rd., 41	Under Construction	16/00235/FUL	1	Deliverable

ID: 92	Settlement: Armitage with Handsacre	Location: Brick Kiln Farm, land at			Ward: Armitage with Handsacre
Site Area (ha): 5.98	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 5.98		Density Rate (dph): 30
Yield No	te: 30dph @60% to reflect existing of	character and gra	ain of settlement		
Currently Suitable? Yes		Currently Available? Yes		Currently Achievable? Yes	
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> Outside village boundary but is adjacent to a Key Rural Settlement as identified within the adopted Local Plan Strategy. Close proximity to public transport routes, services and facilities.		Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.		Achievability Notes: Impact of landfill and Coal Subsidence area may need investigation.	
Within Greenbelt. Does not wholly comply with current development plan policies in the Local Plan, however, site falls within broad area for search for assessment of sites which could contribute to sustainable mixed communities. Further investigation of Coal Subsidence area may be required. Landfill site within 50m, further investigation may be required.		When is site likely to come forward?: 0 - 5 years			
		0-5 years	6-10 years	11-15 years	
		75	33	-	
		Proposed Yield: 108 Current Deliverability Deliverable			
Within Conservation Area. The loss of Grade 3 Agricultural Land may need justification. An SBI and BAS are within 1km and the impact may need further investigation. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.					

ID: 379	Settlement: Armitage with Handsacre	nitage with Location: Church Farm		Ward: Armitage with Handsacre
Site Area (ha): 0.82	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.82	Density Rate (dph): 30
Yield Note: 30dph @60%	to reflect existing character a	nd grain of settle	ement	
Currently Suitable? Yes		Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes	
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> Outside village boundary but is adjacent to a Key Rural Settlement as identified within the adopted Local plan Strategy. Close proximity to public transport routes, services and facilities.		Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.	Achievability Notes: Coal Subsidence area may need investigation.	
Within Greenbelt. Does not wholly comply with current development plan policies in the Local Plan, however, site falls within broad area for search for assessment of sites which could contribute to sustainable mixed communities.		When is site likely to come fo	rward?: 0 - 5 years	

ID: 379	Ward: Armitage with Handsacre		
Within Conservation Area need justification. Site fall may be required. An SBI a	bal Subsidence area may be required. The loss of Grade 3 Agricultural Land may s within BEA and contributions to this project and BAS are within 1km and the impact may . Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of tion may be required.	Proposed Yield: 25	Current Deliverability: Deliverable

ID: 583	Settlement: Armitage with Handsacre	Location: Rug	eley Road, land to th	ne south of	Ward: Armitage with Handsacre
<b>Site</b> Area (ha): 0.41	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.31		Density Rate (dph): 30
Yield No	te: 30dph @60% to reflect existing of	character and gra	in of settlement		
Currentl	Currently Suitable? Yes		Currently Available? No		Currently Achievable? Yes
to a Key Local Pla	<b>Suitability Notes:</b> Outside village boundary but is adjacent to a Key Rural Settlement as identified within the adopted Local Plan Strategy. Close proximity to public transport routes, services and facilities.		Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed.		Achievability Notes: Coal Subsidence area may need investigation.
	e Greenbelt. Does not wholly comply	with ourront	When is site likely to come forward?: 0 - 10 years		
developn	nent plan policies in the Local Plan,	however, site	0-5 years	6-10 years	11-15 years
	Is within broad area for search for assessment of sites which uld contribute to sustainable mixed communities.		150	127	-
Further ir required.	Further investigation of Coal Subsidence area may be required.		Proposed Yield: 22	27	Current Deliverability: Deliverable
Site is wi	of Grade 3 Agricultural Land may ne thin the Cannock Chase zone of influ n may be required.				

ID: 650	Settlement: Armitage with Handsacre	Location: land south of Armitage		Ward: Armitage with Handsacre
Site Area	Source: Submission	Proposal: Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 61.4	Density Rate (dph): 30
(he)d Note: 30dph @60% to reflect existing character and gr			ain of settlement - may be less when flood z	one is taken into account.
Currently Suitable? Yes		Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes	
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> Outside village boundary but is adjacent to a Key Rural Settlement as identified within the adopted Local Plan Strategy. Close proximity to public transport routes, services and facilities.		<b>Availability Notes:</b> Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.	Achievability Notes: Coal Subsidence area	

650 Settlement: Armitage with Location: land south of Armitage Handsacre		
		and flood risk area may need further investigation.
When is site likely to come forward?: 0 - 15 years		
0-5 years	6-10 years	11-15 years
225	500	380
Proposed Yield: 11	05	Current Deliverability: Deliverable
	When is site likely 0-5 years 225	When is site likely to come forward?: 0         0-5 years       6-10 years

ID: 651	Settlement: Armitage with Location: land Handsacre Armitage		d north of Rugeley Road,	Ward: Armitage with Handsacre	
Site Area (ha): 1.5	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha):	Density Rate (dph): 30	
Yield Note: 30dph @60%	6 to reflect existing character a	nd grain of settle	ement		
Currently Suitable? Yes	Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Achievable? Yes	
Rural Settlement as ident Close proximity to public	<b>Suitability Notes:</b> Outside village boundary but is adjacent to a Key Rural Settlement as identified within the adopted Local Plan Strategy. Close proximity to public transport routes, services and facilities.			Achievability Notes: Coal Subsidence area may need investigation.	
plan policies in the Local	s not wholly comply with currer Plan, however, site falls within	broad area for	When is site likely to come forward?: 0 - 5 years		
mixed communities. Adjacent to the Trent and investigation of Coal Sub- A BAS and SBI are within may need justification. S	search for assessment of sites which could contribute to sustainable		Proposed Yield: 37	Current Deliverability: Deliverable	

ID: 747	Settlement: Armitage with Handsacre	Location: land	Ward: Armitage with Handsacre		
<b>Site</b> Area (ha): 16.1	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha):	Density Rate (dph): 30	
Yield No	Yield Note: 30dph @60% to reflect existing character and grain of settlement - may be less when flood zone is taken into account.				
Current	Currently Suitable? Yes		Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes	

ID: 747 Settlement: Armitage with Location: land Handsacre	I south of Armitage v	with Handsacre	Ward: Armitage with Handsacre
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> Outside village boundary but is adjacent to a Key Rural Settlement as identified within the adopted Local Plan Strategy. Close proximity to public transport routes, services and facilities.	<b>Availability Notes:</b> Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.		Achievability Notes: Coal Subsidence area and flood risk area may need further investigation.
Within the Greenbelt. Does not wholly comply with current development plan policies in the Local Plan, however, site falls within broad area for search for assessment of sites which	When is site likely	to come forward?: 0	-10 years
could contribute to sustainable mixed communities.	0-5 years	6-10 years	11-15 years
Flood Zone runs through the middle of the site. Further investigation of Coal Subsidence area may be required.	150	140	-
A BAS and SBI are within 1km. The loss of Grade 3 Agricultural Land may need justification. Site is within the	Proposed Yield: 29	0	Current Deliverability: Deliverable
Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.			

ID: 1021	Settlement: Armitage	Location: Lichfield R	toad, West of Handsacre	Ward: Armitage with	
<b>Site Area (ha):</b> 10.2	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 10.2	Density Rate (dph): 30	
Yield Note: Yield b	ased on developer submis	sion			
Currently Suitable	? Yes		Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes	
<b>Current Deliverability:</b> Outside village boundary but is adjacent to a Key Rural Settlement as identified within the adopted Local Plan Strategy. Close proximity to public transport routes, services and			of Proposed Residential (ha): 10.2	Density Rate (dph): 30	
facilities.		outes, services and	When is site likely to come forward?: - 0-10 years		
	Does not wholly comply with Local Plan, however, site f	•	0-5 years	6-10 years	
	sment of sites which could c		225	25	
Further investigation of Coal Subsidence area may be required. The loss of Grade 3 Agricultural Land may need justification. BAS Hedgerows are within the site and a SBI and other BAS are within 1km and the impact may need further investigation. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.		Proposed Yield: 250	Current Deliverability: Deliverable		

ID: 1024	Settlement: Armitage with Handsacre	Location: Lichfield Road, East of Handsacre		Ward: Armitage with Handsacre		
Site Area (ha): 6.8	Source: Submission	Proposal: Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha):4.08	Density Rate (dph): 30		
Yield Note: Outline pla	Yield Note: Outline plannng application -not yet determineed					
Currently Suitable? Yes		Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes			

ID: 1024	ID: 1024 Settlement: Armitage Location: Lichfield Road, East of Handsacre with Handsacre				
<b>Current Deliverability:</b> Outside village boundary but is adjacent to a Key Rural Settlement as identified within the adopted Local Plan Strategy. Close			of Proposed Residential (ha):4.08	Density Rate (dph): 30	
	proximity to public transport routes, services and facilities.			me forward?: -0-5 years	
policies in the Local	n Greenbelt. Does not wholly comply with current development plan es in the Local Plan, however, site falls within broad area for search ssessment of sites which could contribute to sustainable mixed nunities.		Proposed Yield: 123	Current Deliverability: Deliverable	
Further investigation of Coal Subsidence area and the impacts of groundwater on the site may be required. The loss of Grade 3 Agricultural Land may need justification. BAS Hedgerows are within the site and a SBI and other BAS are within 1km and the impact may need further investigation. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.					

ID: 1030	Settlement: Armitage	Location: Uttoxeter Ro	ad (A513) Land Adj The	Ward: Armitage with	
Site Area (ha): .03	Source: Submission	Proposal: Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 3.03	Density Rate (dph): 30	
Yield Note: Yield base	ed on erfused planning app	lication (Ref 15/01336/OU	TM)		
Currently Suitable?	Yes	Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes		
Rural Settlement as ide	<b>y:</b> Outside village boundary entified within the adopted L hsport routes, services and	Local Plan Strategy. Close	of Proposed Residential (ha): 3.03	Density Rate (dph): 30	
comply with current de	evelopment plan policies in	the Local Plan, however,	When is site likely to come forward?: 0-5 years		
site falls within broad area for search for assessment of sites which could contribute to sustainable mixed communities. Further investigation of Coal Subsidence area may be required. Adjacent to the Trent and Mersey Canal Conservation Area. Further investigation of Coal Subsidence area may be required. A BAS and SBI are within 1km. The loss of Grade 3 Agricultural Land may need justification. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required. Planning permission for site refused. Appeal in progress (Ref 15/01336/OUTM)			Proposed Yield: 88	Current Deliverability: Deliverable	

ID: 120 (ELAA ID: 86/107)	Settlement: Armitage with Handsacre	Location: Armitage Shanks		Ward: Armitage with Handsacre	
Site Area (ha): 1.9	Source: Urban Capacity Study	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Density Rate (dph):		
Yield Note: Site ider	Yield Note: Site identified in Urban Capacity Study with yield of 40 based on mixed use scheme				
Currently Suitable? Yes		Currently Available? No	Currently Achievable? Yes		
Suitability Notes: Within village boundary in a settlement considered to be a Key Rural Settlement as identified within the		Availability Notes: Consolidation of site would not impact on	Achievability Notes: Site clearance not believed to		

ID: 120 (ELAA ID: 86/107)	Settlement: Armitage with Handsacre	Location: Armitage	e Shanks	Ward: Armitage with Handsacre
routes, services and Employment. Does n plan policies in the L area for search for as sustainable mixed co	ot wholly comply with cu ocal Plan, however, site ssessment of sites which ommunities. Further inve	urrent development falls within broad n could contribute to stigation of Coal	business of Armitage Shanks but not expected to become available until after 5 years. UCA assesses availability as 'uncertain' Potential for site to deliver within plan period	be a constraint to redevelopment.
Subsidence area may be required. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.			When is site likely to come forward?: 6 - 10 years	
			Proposed Yield: 40	Current Deliverability: Developable

ID: 214	Settlement: Armitage with Handsacre	Location: Glebe Road, 8, land adj		Ward: Armitage with Handsacre		
<b>Site Area (ha):</b> 0.01	Source: Expired	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.01	Density Rate (dph):		
Yield Note: Yield ba	Yield Note: Yield based on previous planning application which has now expired					
Currently Suitable	? Yes		Currently Available? No	Currently Achievable? Yes		
considered to be a	Suitability Notes: Within village boundary in a settlement considered to be a Key Rural Settlement as identified within the			Achievability Notes: No known constraints		
adopted Local Plan Strategy. Close proximity to public transport routes, services and facilities. Planning permission previously granted. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.		When is site likely to come forward?: 6 - 10 years				
			Proposed Yield: 1	Current Deliverability: Developable		

# Table B.20

ID: 274	Settlement: Armitage with Handsacre	Location: Uttoxeter Road, land rear 19 - 27		Ward: Armitage with Handsacre
<b>Site Area (ha):</b> 0.11	Source: Expired	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.11	Density Rate (dph):
Yield Note: Site ide	ntified in Urban Capacity	/ Study with yield of 40 I	based on mixed use scheme	
Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Available? No	Currently Achievable? Yes
considered to be a l	Suitability Notes: Within village boundary in a settlement considered to be a Key Rural Settlement as identified within the			Achievability Notes: No known constraints
adopted Local Plan Strategy. Close proximity to public transport routes, services and facilities. Planning permission previously granted. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence		When is site likely to come forward?: 6 - 10 years		
therefore mitigation			Proposed Yield: 4	Current Deliverability: Developable

ID: 122	Settlement: Armitage with Handsacre	Location: Old Road		Ward: Armitage with Handsacre
<b>Site Area (ha):</b> 0.24	Source: Survey	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.24	Density Rate (dph):
Yield Note: 30dph @	60% to reflect existing c	character and grain of s	settlement	
Currently Suitable? No			Currently Available? No	Currently Achievable? No
to be a Key Rural Se Plan Strategy. Close	<b>Suitability Notes:</b> Within village boundary in a settlement considered to be a Key Rural Settlement as identified within the adopted Local Plan Strategy. Close proximity to public transport routes, services			Achievability Notes:
and facilities. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required. SBI within 1Km. Adjacent West Coast mainline.		t When is site likely to come forward?: -		
		Proposed Yield: 7	Current Deliverability: Not Developable	

# **B.3 Burntwood**



Burntwood (North)

0

SHLAA Sites 2016-2017

 Developable
 Not Developable Green Belt Site Complete Deliverable

Π

Settlement Boundary District Boundary District Boundary

Site with Planning Permission Site under construction

Z Proposed for allocation in emerging LPA



# Dence No. 100017765 NOT TO SCALE abase Rights 2046. Lichfield District Council

2

69

No decision has been taken to allocate these sites. The inclusion of any site in this assessment does not indicate that it will be allocated or successfully obtain permission for housing.

ř

958

Ø

123

93



strict Council, Licence No: 100017765

🕲 Crown\Copyright. Darwase Rights 2016. Lich

484

NOT TO SCALE

No decision has been taken to allocate these sites. The inclusion of any site in this assessment does not indicate that it will be allocated or successfully obtain permission for housing.

CLACCI

482

483

20

69

3

0

6

Z Proposed for allocation in emerging LPA Site with Planning Permission

 Developable
 Not Developable Green Belt Site Complete Deliverable

Burntwood (South)

SHLAA Sites 2016-2017

713

Settlement Boundary
 District Boundary

Site under construction

# Burntwood

ID	Location	Source	Planning Application No.	Net Yield	Deliverability
146	High St., 114, Burntwood	Under Construction	16/01201/FUL & 16/00629/FUL	9	Deliverable
156	Queen Street, 82-84	Planning Permission Full	15/00568/FULM	14	Deliverable
167	Hill St., 1-3	Planning Permission Full	16/00581/FUL	7	Deliverable
249	Princess Street, land adj 9	Complete	07/00556/FUL	1	Complete
250	Princess Street, 113	Complete	05/00992/FUL	1	Complete
23	Rake Hill, land at	Complete	06/00917/FUL	1	Complete
37	Chase Terrace Post Office	Complete	05/00346/FUL	1	Complete
48	Squirrels Hollow, land adj 8	Complete	08/00008/FUL	1	Complete
452	Ogley Hay Road, Hawthorn	Complete	07/01003/FUL	1	Complete
461	Springhill Road, land adj 5	Complete	07/00177/FUL	1	Complete
466	Chorley Road, land rear of 186 - 188	Complete	07/00287/FUL	1	Complete
56	Ironstone Road, land off	Complete	08/00901/FUL	1	Complete
611	Highfields Road, 58	Complete	09/00701/FUL	1	Complete
6Đ	High Street, 37	Complete	09/00996/FUL	1	Complete
398	Paviors Road, land rear 15-17	Complete	07/00186/FUL	2	Complete
453	High Street, 33	Complete	09/01017/FUL	2	Complete
155	High Street, 144	Complete	06/01056/FUL	6	Complete
143	Hammerwich Hospital	Complete	02/00654/OUTM & 08/00132/REMM	50	Complete
195	Cannock Road, 22	Complete	04/00070/COU	1	Complete
258	Spinney Lane, land adj 49	Complete	07/00285/FUL	1	Complete
291	Squirrel's Hollow, 3	Complete	02/00114/FUL	1	Complete
309	Princess Street, land to the rear of 61	Complete	04/01014/FUL	1	Complete
315	Mortuary, Edwards Road	Complete	02/00455/FUL	1	Complete
397	High Street, land rear of 50	Complete	07/01135/FUL	1	Complete
463	The Crescent, 40	Complete	08/00006/FUL	1	Complete
553	Sanderling Rise, land adj 2	Complete	09/00193/FUL	1	Complete
590	Spencer Drive, 7	Complete	08/00202/FUL	1	Complete
<b>6</b> B	High Street, 8	Complete	09/00860/COU	1	Complete

ID	Location	Source	Planning Application No.	Net Yield	Deliverability
259	Spinney Lane, 71	Complete	07/00712/FUL	2	Complete
306	Highfields, 3	Complete	05/00456/FUL	2	Complete
308	Thorpe Street, 4	Complete	00/01161/FUL	2	Complete
310	Rugeley Road, rear of 45-47	Complete	03/01118/FUL	2	Complete
311	Water Street, 62	Complete	03/00676/FUL	2	Complete
312	Baker Street, 14-16	Complete	06/01066/FUL	2	Complete
313	High Street, 52/52a	Complete	06/01070/FUL	2	Complete
314	Queen Street, land rear 83-87	Complete	06/01193/REM	2	Complete
158	Travellers Rest, Chase Road, 237	Complete	07/00845/REM	9	Complete
479	High Street, 103,	Complete	15/00286/FULM	10	Complete
499	Church Street, 13-19	Complete	06/00849/FUL	17	Complete
729	Church Road, 58	Complete	11/00389/FUL	1	Complete
552	Princess Street, 78A	Complete	08/00565/FUL	2	Complete
724	Cottage Lane, 58	Complete	11/00056/ENFDE	1	Complete
790	Boney Hay Road, 75	Complete	12/00214/FUL	2	Complete
804	High Street, 56	Complete	12/00876/FUL	1	Complete
46)	Springhill Road, land adj 103	Complete	07/00177/FUL	1	Complete
789	High Street, 39 Former Swan Inn	Complete	10/01563/FUL	7	Complete
617	Rugeley Road, 19, Chase Terrace	Complete	08/01187/COU	1	Complete
560	Summerfield Road, land adj 1	Complete	08/00815/FUL	2	Complete
712	Ogley Hay Road, 140	Complete	09/00781/FUL	2	Complete
40	Springhill Road, 90	Complete	07/00756/FUL	3	Complete
23	Princess Street, 4-6	Complete	05/00510/FUL	1	Complete
198	Rake Hill, land adj 15	Complete	12/01084/FUL	3	Complete
471	Rugeley Road, 123	Complete	11/01047/FUL	1	Complete
418	Cannock Road, Bridgecross Garage	Planning Permission Outline	14/00230/OUTM	8	Deliverable
57	Oakdene Road, 104	Planning Permission Outline	15/00080/OUT	1	Deliverable
56	Ashmead Road, 41	Complete	08/00145/FUL	1	Complete
624	Padbury Lane, Hillside Farm	Complete	09/00932/COU	1	Complete

ID	Location	Source	Planning Application No.	Net Yield	Deliverability
60	Chase Road, Former Dental Surgery	Complete	09/01290/COU & 11/00642/COU	3	Complete
62	The Centurion, 110 Chase Road	Complete	10/00467/FUL	3	Complete
726	Sanderling Rise, land adj 1	Under Construction	11/00507/REM	1	Deliverable
49	High Street, 58B	Complete	08/00111/FUL	7	Complete
40	Cannock Road, land to west of 193	Complete	12/00160/FUL	4	Complete
74	Thorpe Street 2	Complete	11/00926/FUL	1	Complete
79	Coney Lodge Farm, Chase Terrace	Complete	11/00980/COU	1	Complete
78	High Street, 7-9	Complete	12/00063/FULM	11	Complete
78)	Rugeley Rd., Adj 65	Under Construction	16/00457/FUL	1	Deliverable
781	New Street, land adj 30	Complete	12/01084/FUL	1	Complete
783	High Street, land rear 27	Complete	11/01425/FUL	1	Complete
787	High Street, 44, Sheila's Flower Box	Complete	12/00073/COU	1	Complete
79)	Bridge Cross Road, Lambourne House	Complete	12/00627/COU & 13/01284/COU	6	Complete
838	Cannock Road, 109	Complete	12/00865/FUL	1	Complete
814	Baker Street, land adj 84	Complete	12/00825/FUL	1	Complete
66	Chase Road, land adj to 236	Under Construction	14/00668/FUL	1	Deliverable
8	Kingsdown Road, land at 2,	Complete	10/01284/OUT & 12/00339/REM	1	Complete
79	Chawner Close, land at	Complete	10/01414/OUT & 13/00664/FUL	1	Complete
65	Hospital Road, 13 Triangle Tavern	Complete	14/00254/FUL	7	Complete
75)	Baker Street, land at	Complete	12/00804/FUL	7	Complete
81	Rake Hill, 13	Under Construction	12/00859/FUL	3	Deliverable
88	High Street, land rear 56	Complete	13/00032/FUL	2	Complete
82	Woodhouses Road, land adj 163	Complete	13/00286/COU	1	Complete
891	Bridge Cross Road, land rear 20	Complete	12/00279/FUL	1	Complete
83	Water Street, 6	Complete	13/00851/COU	1	Complete
908	Lichfield Road, 34	Complete	13/00022/FUL	1	Complete
12	The Greyhound Public House	Under Construction	13/00414/FULM & 14/00034/FUL	25	Deliverable
924	Farewell Lane, land adj 24	Planning Permission Outline	14/00368/OUT	2	Deliverable

ID	Location	Source	Planning Application No.	Net Yield	Deliverability
95	Chorley Road, Boney Hay Concrete Works	Planning Permission Full	13/00669/FUL	7	Deliverable
92	Boney Hay Road, land rear 66	Under Construction	14/00658/FUL	1	Deliverable
98	Highfields Road, land rear 113	Complete	14/00508/FUL	2	Complete
96	Princess Street, 78	Complete	14/00703/FUL	6	Complete
98	Chorley Road, land adj Ring O Bells	Complete	14/00808/FUL	4	Complete
99	Bridge Cross Road, land adj 37	Complete	15/00015/FUL	1	Complete
952	Baker Street, land adj 101	Planning Permission Full	14/01187/OUT	1	Deliverable
92	Lichfield Road, Adj. 60, Bunrtwood	Planning Permission Outline	15/00080/OUT	1	Deliverable
95	Rugeley Road, 175, Chase Terrace	Planning Permission Full	15/00302/FUL	2	Deliveable
90	Cannock Road, 154, Springhill Medical Centre	Under Construction	15/00301/COU & 15/01465/FUL	4	Deliverable
<b>992</b>	Gorsty Lea, Burntwood	Plannng Permission Full	15/00170/FUL	1	Deliverable
95	High Street, 41, Chasetown	Complete	15/00860/COU	1	Complete
15	High Street, 99-101 and Tricone House, Chasetown	Under Construction	15/00767/FUL	7	Deliverable
T	Rake Hill, 7	Planning Permission Full	15/00811/FUL	4	Deliverable
12	Coulter Lane, Land at Cresswell Green,	Planning Permission Full	15/00673/FUL	1	Deliverable
σ	Rugeley Rd., Coney Lodge Farm	Under Construction	15/01470/FUL	8	Deliverable
47 88	Burntwood Bypass, land east of (East of Burntwood Bypass SDA)	Under Construction	14/00612/FULM	(351 total) 240 remain	Deliverable
18	Bank Crescent, Land Adj 18,	Planning Permission Full	16/00303/FUL	1	Deliverable
1814	New Rd., Neon Sound Studio	Under Construction	16/00312/FUL	1	Deliverable
18	Queens Drive, 8	Complete	16/00212/FUL	5	Complete
16	Cannock Rd., 152, Fwr Surgery	Planning Permission Full	15/01412/FULM	3	Deliverable
16	Lichfield Rd., r/o 25	Planning Permission Full	15/00814/FUL	1	Deliverable
8	Sycamore Rd., Adj 81,	Planning Permission Full	16/00882/FUL	1	Deliverable

ID	Location	Source	Planning Application No.	Net Yield	Deliverability
18	Rugeley Rd., Fulfen Farm	Planning Permission Full	16/00442/FUL	1	Deliverable
19	Norton Ln., 46	Planning Permission Full	16/00901/FUL	1	Deliverable
18	Church Rd.,62	Planning Permission Outline	16/00850/OUT	1	Deliverable
191	High St., 47-49, Chasetown	Planning Permission Full	16/00788/COU	2	Deliverable
10	Leam Drive, Adj 58,	Planning Permission Full	16/01311/FUL	1	Deliverable
11	Oakdene Rd., Adj. 78	Planning Permission Fuil	16/01138/FUL	1	Deliverable
16	Chorley Rd., 212	Planning Permission Full	16/01075/COU	1	Deliverable
16	School Ln., r/o 60	Planning Permission Full	16/00124/FUL	1	Deliverable
12	Land south of Milestone Way,	Planning Permission Outline (s.106)	16/00666/OUTM	150	Deliverable

ID: 4	Settlement: Burntwood Location: Cha		ase Terrace Primary School	Ward: Burntwood Central
Site Area (ha): 1.01	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 1.01	Density Rate (dph): 30
Yield Note: A minimum de	ensity rate has been applied to	o reflect the surro	ounding area and difficulty of asse	essing the site.
Currently Suitable? Yes	Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Achievable? Yes
be allocated for residentia Allocations document. Re- is contrary to guidance with Sport England criteria wou	<b>Suitability Notes:</b> Within a sustainable settlement. Site is proposed to be allocated for residential development within emerging Local Plan Allocations document. Redevelopment would see loss of playing fields is contrary to guidance within the NPPF. Replacement facilities meeting Sport England criteria would need to be constructed first in a suitable			Achievability Notes: No known constraints
location. This has not yet been demonstrated. Site falls within the FOM and contributions to these projects may be required. An SBI is within 1km and the impact on it may need further			When is site likely to come forward?: 0 -5 year	
investigation. Site has pot	fluence therefore mitigation m	ite is within the	Current Deliverability: Deliverable	

ID: 7	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Maple Close, Oakdene		Ward: Chasetown
Site Area (ha): 1.33	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 1.3	Density Rate (dph): 30
Yield Note: Yield based of	on 30dph on 80% site.		·	·

ID: 7	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Map	ole Close, Oakdene	Ward: Chasetown
Currently Suitable? Yes	3		Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes
be allocated for residenti Allocations document.	n a sustainable settlement. Site al development within emergin	g Local Plan	Availability Notes: Site put forward by landowner as available for redevelopment. UCA assesses site as available	Achievability Notes: No known constraints
•	ee loss of former playing fields. Land owner ancy rule' means that replacement facilites will		When is site likely to come fo	rward?: 0 - 5 years
provision should be mitig Site falls within the FOM required. An SBI is within investigation. Site has po		ects may be need further Site is within the	Proposed Yield: 32	Current Deliverability: Deliverable

ID: 69	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Ha	nney Hay Road, Nort	h of, area 1	Ward: Hammerwich	
Site Area (ha): 35.56	Source: Submission	Proposal: Residential	Size of Proposed R	esidential (ha):	Density Rate (dph):	
Yield No	ote: yield proposed by agent for this	larger scheme		I		
Current	ly Suitable? Yes		Currently Available	? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes	
Suitability Notes: Outside village boundary but is adjacent to a settlement considered to be sustainable in the RSSS, and close to public transport routes. Provision of services and facilities may be required to assist sustainability. Within Greenbelt. Does not wholly comply with current development plan policies in the Local Plan, however, site falls within broad area for search for assessment of sites which could contribute to sustainable mixed communities.		Availability Notes: Site not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent. Option agreement shows available at present.		Achievability Notes: Part of larger site proposing mix of uses. Agent confirms development at this scale is achievable and development will not be affected by flood risk.		
Floodzo	ne 2 (part) 3a (part). SFRA may be re	ouired Landfill	When is site likely to come forward?: 0 - 10 years			
	in 50m, further investigation may be	•	0-5 years	6-10 years	11-15 years	
	Loss of Grade 2/3 Agricultural Land may need justification. Site falls within the FOM and contributions to these projects may be required. An SBI is within 1km and the impact on it may need further investigation. Site has potential for protected species. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of		150	163	-	
may be may nee			Proposed Yield: 31	3	Current Deliverability: Deliverable	
•	e therefore mitigation may be require					

ID: 70	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Ho	Ward: Hammerwich	
Site Area (ha): 15.26	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha):	Density Rate (dph):

ID: 70 Settlement: Burntwood Location: Ho	ospital Road, east of,	area 2	Ward: Hammerwich		
Yield Note: yield proposed by agent for this parcel as part of larger scheme					
Currently Suitable? Yes	Currently Available	?? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes		
Suitability Notes: Outside village boundary but is adjacent to a settlement considered to be sustainable in the RSSS, and close to public transport routes. Provision of services and facilities may be required to assist sustainability. Within Greenbelt. Does not wholly comply with current development plan policies in the Local Plan, however, site falls within broad area for search for assessment of sites which could contribute to sustainable mixed communities.	Availability Notes: Site not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent. Option agreement shows available at present.		Achievability Notes: Part of larger site proposing mix of uses. Agent confirms development at this scale is achievable and development will not be affected by flood risk.		
	-	to come forward?: 0	- 10 years		
Floodzone 2 (part) 3a (part). SFRA may be required. Landfill site within 50m, further investigation may be required.	0-5 yeras	6-10 yeras	11-15 years		
Loss of Grade 2/3 Agricultural Land may need justification.	75	112	-		
ite falls within the FOM and contributions to these projects hay be required. An SBI is within 1km and the impact on it hay need further investigation. Site has potential for rotected species. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of	Proposed Yield: 187		Current Deliverability: Deliverable		
influence therefore mitigation may be required.					

ID: 71	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Nor	rton Lane, south of, area 4	Ward: Hammerwich	
Site Area (ha): 2.78	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha):	Density Rate (dph):	
Yield Note: yield propose	d by agent for this parcel as p	art of larger sche	eme		
Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes	
settlement considered to be transport routes. Provision assist sustainability. Within Greenbelt. Does n plan policies in the Local F search for assessment of	Suitability Notes: Outside village boundary but is adjacent to a settlement considered to be sustainable in the RSSS, and close to public transport routes. Provision of services and facilities may be required to assist sustainability. Within Greenbelt. Does not wholly comply with current development plan policies in the Local Plan, however, site falls within broad area for search for assessment of sites which could contribute to sustainable			Achievability Notes: Part of larger site proposing mix of uses. Agent confirms development at this scale is achievable and development will not be affected by flood risk.	
mixed communities.			When is site likely to come forward?: 0 - 5 years		
Floodzone 2 (part) 3a (part). SFRA may be required. Landfill site within 50m, further investigation may be required. Loss of Grade 2/3 Agricultural Land may need justification. Site falls within the FOM and contributions to these projects may be required. An SBI is within 1km and the impact on it may need further investigation. Site has potential for protected species. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.		Proposed Yield: 79	Current Deliverability: Deliverable		

ID: 98	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Hig	h Street, 51-55	Ward: Chasetown	
Site Area (ha): 0.06	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha):	Density Rate (dph):	
Yield Note: yield based of	on conversion of existing and n	ew build to form	apartments		
Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes	
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> Within a sustainable settlement. Site falls within the FOM and contributions to these projects may be required. An SSSI & SBI is within 1km and the impact on it may need further investigation. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.			Availability Notes: Site not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent. UCA assesses site as not available	Achievability Notes: No known constraints.	
			When is site likely to come forward?: 0 - 5 years		
		Proposed Yield: 8	Current Deliverability: Deliverable		

Table B.29

ID: 102	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Hig	hfields Road, land of	f	Ward: Chasetown
Site Area (ha): 23.77	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed R 12.75	tesidential (ha):	Density Rate (dph): 40
Yield No	te: The developer proposes 250 hor	nes.			·
Currently Suitable? Yes		Currently Available	?? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes	
Suitability Notes: Site is outside current settlement boundary but is adjacent to sustainable settlement of Burntwood and in proximity to public transport route, services and facilities. Within Greenbelt. Does not wholly comply with current development plan policies in the Local Plan. However, site falls within broad area for search for assessment of sites which could contribute to sustainable mixed communities. Site is proposed to be allocated for residential development within emerging Local Plan Allocations document.			<b>Availability Notes:</b> Site is used for farming at present and agent confirmed that it is immediately available.		Achievability Notes: Although the site is held in two ownerships, the owners are working together towards one scheme. Both feel development can not come forward without the other. Therefore, site is achievable.
	ne 2 (part) 3a (part). SFRA may be re		When is site likely to come forward?: 0		- 10 years
	site within 50m, further investigation may be required. Loss of Grade 3 Agricultural Land may need justification. Site falls within the FOM and contributions to these projects may be required. An SSSI and SBI is within 1km and the impact on it may need further investigation. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.		0-5 years	6-10 years	
falls with			25	225	
on it may			Proposed Yield: 250		Current Deliverability: Deliverable

ID: 129	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Rugeley Road, rear of 19		Ward: Chase Terrace
Site Area (ha): 0.08	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 12.75	Density Rate (dph):

	ID: 129	2: 129 Settlement: Burntwood Location: Rugeley Road, rear of 19 Ward: Chase Terrace					
	Yield Note: 6 apartments services and accessibility.	<b>(ield Note:</b> 6 apartments to rear. Yield proposed by agent considered to be appropriate given nature of access and proximity to services and accessibility.					
	Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes		
	<b>Suitability Notes:</b> Within a sustainable settlement. Site falls within the FOM and contributions to these projects may be required. An SBI is within 1km and the impact on it may need further investigation. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.		contributions to these projects may be required. An SBI iscurrently for sale or beingn and the impact on it may need further investigation. Site ismarketed but has beencannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation maypromoted by the owner/agent.		Achievability Notes:		
			When is site likely to come fo	rward?: 0 - 5 years			
				Proposed Yield: 6	Current Deliverability: Deliverable		

ID: 482	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Fai	rewell Lane		Ward: Highfield
<b>Site</b> Area (ha): 16.51	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed R	esidential (ha): 8.74	Density Rate (dph):
Yield No	te: Yield proposed by developer.				
Currently Suitable? Yes		Currently Available	? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes	
but is adj is in prox facilities. Within Gi developm falls withi which co	Suitability Notes: Site is outside current settlement boundary but is adjacent to sustainable settlement of Burntwood and is in proximity to public transport routes, services and facilities. Within Greenbelt. Does not wholly comply with current development plan policies in the Local Plan, however, site falls within broad area for search for assessment of sites which could contribute to sustainable mixed communities.		Availability Notes: Site not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.		Achievability Notes: Unknown how measures to mitigate local highway impact would affect viability but agent believes development is achievable, further work may be required demonstrate.
SCC high	nlight negative impact on local highw	/ay network.	When is site likely to come forward?: 0 - 10 years		
Site falls	Loss of Grade 2 Agricultural Land may need justification. Site falls within the FOM and contributions to these projects		0-5 years	6-10 years	11-15 years
,	ay be required. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of uence therefore mitigation may be required.	150	155	-	
			Proposed Yield: 305		Current Deliverability: Deliverable

ID: 483	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Stoc	Ward: Hammerwich	
Site Area (ha): 1.34	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 1.34	Density Rate (dph): 40

ID: 483 Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Stock	thay Lane, land at		Ward: Hammerwich
Yield Note: Owner proposes yield of 80,	with masterplan to sup	oport, considered suit	able assumption at the	nis time
Currently Suitable? Yes		Currently Available	e? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes
Suitability Notes: Site is outside current but is adjacent to sustainable settlement in proximity to public transport routes, set Within Greenbelt. Does not wholly comply development plan policies in the Local Pla within broad area for search for assessm	of Burntwood and is rvices and facilities. y with current an, however, site falls ent of sites which	Availability Notes: No known constraints		Achievability Notes: Mitigation of highway impact measures may impact on viability, but as stand alone site, works required may be minor.
could contribute to sustainable mixed cor		When is site likely	0 - 10 years	
SCC highlight negative impact on local hig area due to other sites. Unlikely to have		0-5 years	6-10 years	11-15 years
stand along site.		75	5	-
Loss of Grade 2 Agricultural Land may need justification. Site falls within the FOM and contributions to these projects may be required. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.	Proposed Yield: 80		Current Deliverability: Deliverable	
and choice magaalon may be required.				

Table B.33

ID: 494	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: C	hurch Road, land nor	th of	Ward: Highfield
Site Area (ha): 18.64	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Re	esidential (ha): 14.6	Density Rate (dph):
Yield No	ote: Agent proposes yield of 440 at 3	0 dph.			
Currently Suitable? Yes		Currently Available	? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes	
boundar Burntwo services Within G develop falls with	Suitability Notes: Site is outside current settlement boundary but is adjacent to sustainable settlement of Burntwood and is in proximity to public transport routes, services and facilities. Within Greenbelt. Does not wholly comply with current development plan policies in the Local Plan, however, site falls within broad area for search for assessment of sites		Availability Notes: Site not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the agent/developer through the Local Plan Allocations document. Additional information has been submitted by the developers seeking to address on site issues through a masterplan		Achievability Notes: Agent advises measures to mitigate local highway impact are unlikely to make site unviable, further work may be required to demonstrate.
	ould contribute to sustainable mixed o		When is site likely to come forward?: 0 - 5 years		
affect de	ry and well identified on site but not or velopment. SCC highlight negative in pathwark in this area.	pact on local	0-5 years	6-10 years	11-15 years
	network in this area. Small watercousite which may have an associated fl		150	250	-
Site falls	Loss of Grade 2/3 Agricultural Land may need justification. Site falls within the FOM and contributions to these projects may be required. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of		Proposed Yield: 400	)	Current Deliverability: Deliverable
	e therefore mitigation may be require				

ID: 496 (ELAA ID: 85)	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Car	nnock Road, land south of	Ward: Chasetown		
Site Area (ha): 0.33	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.33	Density Rate (dph): 50		
Yield Note: 50dph@100% due to proximity to town centre						
Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes		
and is in proximity to public Employment land. Does no	<b>Suitability Notes:</b> Site is within sustainable settlement of Burntwood and is in proximity to public transport routes, services and facilities. Employment land. Does not wholly comply with current development			Achievability Notes: No known constraints.		
search for assessment of s	lan, however, site falls within ites which could contribute to	o sustainable	When is site likely to come forward?: 0 - 5 years			
development within emergi	mixed communities. Site is proposed to be allocated for residential development within emerging Local Plan Allocations document. Further investigation of Coal Subsidence area may be required.		Proposed Yield: 17	Current Deliverability: Deliverable		
Site falls within the FOM and contributions to these projects may be required. An SBI is within 1km and the impact on it may need further investigation. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.						

ID: 653	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Sto	ckhay Lane, land at	Ward: Hammerwich			
Site Area (ha): 1	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 1	Density Rate (dph): 30			
Yield Note: indicative using	Yield Note: indicative using 80% at 30 dph						
Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes			
Suitability Notes: Site is outside current settlement boundary but is adjacent to sustainable settlement of Burntwood and is in proximity to public transport routes, services and facilities. Within Greenbelt. Does not wholly comply with current development plan policies in the Local Plan, however, site falls within broad area for			Availability Notes: Site not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent. UCA assesses site as available.	Achievability Notes: No known constraints.			
mixed communities.	search for assessment of sites which could contribute to sustainable mixed communities. Site falls within the FOM and contributions to these projects may be required. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.		When is site likely to come forward?: 0 - 5 years				
required. Site is within the			Proposed Yield: 24	Current Deliverability: Deliverable			

ID: 654	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Coppy Nook Lane, 11 The Neuk		Ward: Hammerwich	
Site Area (ha): 0.42	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.42	Density Rate (dph): 30	
Yield Note: indicative using 100% at 30dph - potential to come forward as part of larger scheme					

ID: 654 Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Coppy Nook Lane, 11 The Neuk	Ward: Hammerwich		
Currently Suitable? Yes	Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes		
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> Site is outside current settlement bo adjacent to sustainable settlement of Burntwood and is public transport routes, services and facilities.	n proximity to currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.	Achievability Notes: No known constraints.		
Within Greenbelt. Does not wholly comply with current of plan policies in the Local Plan, however, site falls within search for assessment of sites which could contribute to	broad area for When is site likely to come for	When is site likely to come forward?: 0 - 5 years		
search for assessment of sites which could contribute to mixed communities. Site falls within the FOM and contributions to these proj required. Loss of grade 3 agricultural land may need just is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore be required.	Proposed Yield: 12 cts may be ification. Site	Current Deliverability: Deliverable		

ID: 655	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Co	opy Nook Lane, The Bungalow	Ward: Hammerwich			
Site Area (ha): 0.31	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.31	Density Rate (dph): 30			
Yield Note: indicative usir	Yield Note: indicative using 100% at 30dph - potential to come forward as part of larger scheme						
Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes			
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> Site is outside current settlement boundary but is adjacent to sustainable settlement of Burntwood and is in proximity to public transport routes, services and facilities.		Availability Notes: Site not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.	Achievability Notes: No known constraints.				
plan policies in the Local F	ot wholly comply with current of Plan, however, site falls within sites which could contribute to	broad area for	When is site likely to come forward?: 0 - 5 years				
mixed communities. Site falls within the FOM a required. Loss of grade 3	thin the FOM and contributions to these projects may be oss of grade 3 agricultural land may need justification. Site cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may		Proposed Yield: 9	Current Deliverability: Deliverable			

ID: 656	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Co	opy Nook Lane, Sunnyside	Ward: Hammerwich
Site Area (ha): 0.07	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.07	Density Rate (dph): 30
Yield Note: indicative usi	ng 100% at 30dph - potential	as part of larger scheme		
Currently Suitable? Yes		Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes	
Suitability Notes: Site is outside current settlement boundary but is adjacent to sustainable settlement of Burntwood and is in proximity to public transport routes, services and facilities.		Availability Notes: Site not currently for sale or being	Achievability Notes: No known constraints.	

	ID: 656	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Cop	opy Nook Lane, Sunnyside	Ward: Hammerwich
Within Greenbelt. Does not wholly comply with current development plan policies in the Local Plan, however, site falls within broad area for search for assessment of sites which could contribute to sustainable		marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.			
	mixed communities.		When is site likely to come forward?: 0 - 5 years		
		e falls within the FOM and contributions to these projects may be quired. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore		Proposed Yield: 2	Current Deliverability: Deliverable

ID: 657	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Cop	opy Nook Lane, 9	Ward: Hammerwich			
Site Area (ha): 0.06	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.06	Density Rate (dph): 30			
Yield Note: indicative usin	Yield Note: indicative using 100% at 30 dph - potential to come forward as part of larger scheme						
Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes			
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> Site is outside current settlement boundary but is adjacent to sustainable settlement of Burntwood and is in proximity to public transport routes, services and facilities.			Availability Notes: Site not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.	Achievability Notes: No known constraints.			
plan policies in the Local F	ot wholly comply with current d Plan, however, site falls within	broad area for	When is site likely to come forward?: 0 - 5 years				
search for assessment of sites which could contribute to sustainable mixed communities. Site falls within the FOM and contributions to these projects may be required. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.		Proposed Yield: 2	Current Deliverability: Deliverable				

ID: 658	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Cop	opy Nook Lane, 7	Ward: Hammerwich
Site Area (ha): 0.05	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 1	Density Rate (dph): 30
Yield Note: indicative usir	ng 100% at 30 dph - potential	as part of larger scheme		
Currently Suitable? Yes		Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes	
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> Site is outside current settlement boundary but is adjacent to sustainable settlement of Burntwood and is in proximity to public transport routes, services and facilities.		Availability Notes: Site not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.	Achievability Notes: No known constraints.	
Within Greenbelt. Does not wholly comply with current development plan policies in the Local Plan, however, site falls within broad area for search for assessment of sites which could contribute to sustainable		When is site likely to come fo	rward?: 0 - 5 years	
mixed communities.				

ID: 658	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Cop	opy Nook Lane, 7	Ward: Hammerwich
	nd contributions to these projec Cannock Chase zone of influen I.		Proposed Yield: 2	Current Deliverability: Deliverable

ID: 659	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Co	opy Nook Lane, 3 Fairview	Ward: Hammerwich	
Site Area (ha): 0.66	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.66	Density Rate (dph): 30	
Yield Note: indicative using 80% at 30 dph - potential to come forward as part of larger scheme					
Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes	
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> Site is outside current settlement boundary but is adjacent to sustainable settlement of Burntwood and is in proximity to public transport routes, services and facilities.			Availability Notes: Site not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.	Achievability Notes: No known constraints.	
plan policies in the Local F	ot wholly comply with current of Plan, however, site falls within pitco which could contribute to	broad area for	When is site likely to come forward?: 0 - 5 years		
mixed communities. Site falls within the FOM a required. Loss of grade 2/3	for assessment of sites which could contribute to sustainable communities. Is within the FOM and contributions to these projects may be d. Loss of grade 2/3 agricultural land may need justification. Site n the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may		Proposed Yield: 16	Current Deliverability: Deliverable	

ID: 660	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Co	opy Nook Lane, 1	Ward: Hammerwich
Site Area (ha): 0.28	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.28	Density Rate (dph): 30
Yield Note: indicative usir	ng 100% at 30 dph - potential	to come forward	as part of larger scheme	
Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes
adjacent to sustainable se public transport routes, se	<b>Suitability Notes:</b> Site is outside current settlement boundary but is adjacent to sustainable settlement of Burntwood and is in proximity to public transport routes, services and facilities.		Availability Notes: Site not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.	Achievability Notes: No known constraints.
plan policies in the Local F	ot wholly comply with current of Plan, however, site falls within sites which could contribute to	broad area for	When is site likely to come forward?: 0 - 5 years	
mixed communities. Site falls within the FOM a required. Loss of grade 2/3	n for assessment of sites which could contribute to sustainable communities. Ills within the FOM and contributions to these projects may be ed. Loss of grade 2/3 agricultural land may need justification. Site in the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may		Proposed Yield: 8	Current Deliverability: Deliverable

ID: 841 (ELAA ID: 81)	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Cannock	Ward: Chasetown			
Site Area (ha): 2.4	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Mixed-use	Size of Proposed Residential (ha):1.44	Density Rate (dph): 40		
	Yield Note: Town centre location, 50dph could be applied however 40dph is felt more appropriate to this location given adjacent land uses and character.					
Currently Suitable?	Yes		Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes		
Burntwood and in pro and facilities. Site fa zone of influence cor	ite is within the sustain oximity to public transp Ils within the FOM and itributions to these proj nock Chase zone of in quired	ort routes, services Cannock Chase SAC ects may be required.	Availability Notes: Site not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent. UCA assesses site as not available.	Achievability Notes: No known constraints		
		When is site likely to come forward?: 0 - 5 years				
		Proposed Yield: 57	Current Deliverability: Deliverable			

Table B.44

ID: 907	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Coulter Lane Burntwood			Ward: Highfield	
Site Area (ha): 10.8	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 10.8		Density Rate (dph): 30	
Yield Note: Yield taken from proposed allocation						
Currently Suita	able? Yes		Currently Available	? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes	
Suitability Notes: Site is outside current settlement boundary but is adjacent to sustainable settlement of Burntwood and is in proximity to public transport routes, services and facilities. Within Greenbelt. Does not wholly comply with current		Availability Notes: owner/agent/develop	Site promoted by the per.	Achievability Notes: Agent advises measures to mitigate local highway impact are unlikely to make site unviable, further work may be required to demonstrate.		
site falls within t	an policies in the Loc proad area for searc ould contribute to su	h for assessment	When is site likely to come forward?: 0 - 5 years			
communities. S	ite is proposed to be lopment within eme	e allocated for	0-5 years	6-10 years	11-15 years	
Allocation docu			80	-	-	
considered to a	well identified on sit ffect development. S on local highway ne	SCC highlight	Proposed Yield: 80		Current Deliverability: Deliverable	
Small watercou	Small watercourse runs through site which may have an associated floodplain.					
justification. Site to these project Cannock Chase	Loss of Grade 2/3 Agricultural Land may need justification. Site falls within the FOM and contributions to these projects may be required. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.					

ID: 12	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Eas	stgate Street, The Abattoir	Ward: Chase Terrace
Site Area (ha): 0.57	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha):	Density Rate (dph):
Yield Note: Estimated yie	ld is based on discussions wh	ich suggested 4	5 units, a mix of 2/3 bed homes a	nd apartments 50:50 mix.
Currently Suitable? No			Currently Available? No	Currently Achievable? Yes
Suitability Notes: Within the sustainable settlement of Burntwood and in proximity to public transport route, services and facilities. Site may require remediation. Site falls within the FOM and contributions to these projects may be required. An SBI is within 1km and the impact on it may need further investigation. Site has potential for protected species. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.			Availability Notes: Pre-app discussions held, owner willing to sell. The existing use will need to be relocated first and the site cleared. Remediation may be required before work can commence. UCA assesses site as not available.	Achievability Notes: Viability work undertaken at the pre app stage show that development is achievable.
			When is site likely to come forward?: 6 - 10 years	
				Current Deliverability: Developable

ID: 119	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Mount Roa	Ward: Summerfield				
Site Area (ha): 7.56	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 7.56 (2.8Ha LPA B4)	Density Rate (dph): 40			
	Yield Note: 40 dph @60% used to reflect the nature and character of the surrounding area. Site has Planning permission subject to s106 after base date of SHLAA						
Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes			
Suitability Notes: Site is within sustainable settlement of Burntwood and in proximity to public transport route, services and facilities. Allocated for employment. Does not wholly comply with current development plan policies in the Local Plan. However, site falls within			Availability Notes: Site currently used as employment, however some units are empty or for sale. Site needs to be cleared first.	Achievability Notes: Site clearance not believed to be a constraint to redevelopment.			
to sustainable mixed	for assessment of sites communities. Part of sit	e is proposed to be	When is site likely to come forward?: 5 - 10 years				
allocated for residential development within emerging Local Plan Allocations document. Site falls within the FOM and contributions to these projects may be required. Site has potential for protected sites. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.			Proposed Yield: 180 (95 LPA B4))	Current Deliverability: Developable			

ID: 146	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Hig	h Street, 100-126	Ward: Chasetown		
Site Area (ha): 0.46	Source: Urban Capacity Study	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.46	Density Rate (dph): 30		
Yield Note: 30dph@80%						

ID: 146	Settlement: Burntwood Location: Hig	jh Street, 100-126	Ward: Chasetown
Currently Suitable	? Yes	Currently Available? No	Currently Achievable? Yes
Site falls within the required. An SSSI	Within a sustainable settlement. FOM and contributions to these projects may be is within 1km and the impact on it may need further s within the Cannock Chase zone of influence may be required.	Availability Notes: No indication when site may come forward, in multiple ownerships. UCA assesses site as not available	Achievability Notes: No known constraints
		When is site likely to come forward?: 11 - 15 years	
		Proposed Yield: 11	Current Deliverability: Developable

ID: 147	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Sw	an Island, Garage Site	Ward: All Saints	
Site Area (ha): 0.16	Source: Urban Capacity Study	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.16	Density Rate (dph): 50	
Yield Note: A high yield used to reflect proximity to local services and facilities and public transport corridor. Site lends itself to apartments over 3 or more floors.					
Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Available? No.	Currently Achievable? Yes	
Suitability Notes: Within a sustainable settlement. Site falls within the FOM contributions to these projects may be required. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation			Availability Notes: Site has been re-opened as a garage. UCA assesses site as	Achievability Notes: No known constraints	
may be required.			not available. When is site likely to come forward?: 11 - 1		
			Proposed Yield: 8	Current Deliverability: Developable	

#### Table B.49

ID: 151	Settlement: Burntwood Location: Squ		uash Club, Spinney Lane	Ward: Boney Hay		
Site Area (ha): 0.57	Source: Urban Capacity Study	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.57	Density Rate (dph): 50		
Yield Note: 50dph @ 80	Yield Note: 50dph @ 80 %					
Currently Suitable? Yes	3		Currently Available? No. UCA assesses site as not available	Currently Achievable? Yes		
Site falls within the FOM of	n a sustainable settlement. contributions to these projects m		Availability Notes: No known interest	Achievability Notes: No known constraints		
Site has potential for protected species. An SSSI is within 1km and the impact on it may need further investigation. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.						
			Proposed Yield: 23	Current Deliverability: Developable		

ID: 163	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Cannock Road, R/o 21		Ward: Summerfield
<b>Site Area (ha):</b> 0.01	Source: Expired	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.01	Density Rate (dph):
Yield Note: based of	on previous planning ap	plication 13/00889/FUI	which has now expired	
Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Available? No	Currently Achievable? Yes
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> Within the sustainable settlement of Burntwood and in proximity to public transport route, services and facilities. Planning permission previously granted. Site is within the Cannock			Availability Notes: Planning permission has lapsed	Achievability Notes: No known constraints
Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.		When is site likely to come forward?: 6 - 10 years		
		Proposed Yield: 2	Current Deliverability: Developable	

ID: 175	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Springhill Road, 107		Ward: Summerfield
<b>Site Area (ha):</b> 0.05	Source: Expired	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.05	Density Rate (dph):
Yield Note: based of	on previous planning ap	oplication which has no	w expired	
Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Available? No	Currently Achievable? Yes
Suitability Notes: Within the sustainable settlement of Burntwood and in proximity to public transport route, services and facilities. Planning permission previously granted. Site is within the Cannock			Availability Notes: Planning permission has lapsed	Achievability Notes: No known constraints
Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.		When is site likely to come fo	rward?: 6 - 10 years	
		Proposed Yield: 1	Current Deliverability: Developable	

#### Table B.52

ID: 221	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Highfields	Ward: Chasetown	
<b>Site Area (ha):</b> 0.02	Source: Expired	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.02	Density Rate (dph):
Yield Note: based	on previous planning a	pplication which has not	w expired	·
Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Available? No	Currently Achievable? Yes
and in proximity to	Vithin the sustainable se public transport route, s	services and facilities.	Availability Notes: Planning permission has lapsed	Achievability Notes: No known constraints
Planning permission previously granted. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.		When is site likely to come for	rward?: 6 - 10 years	
		Proposed Yield: 1	Current Deliverability: Developable	

ID: 252	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Queen Street, 4		Ward: Chasetown
<b>Site Area (ha):</b> 0.04	Source: Expired	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.04	Density Rate (dph):
Yield Note: based of	on previous planning ap	plication which has no	w expired	
Currently Suitable	? Yes		Currently Available? No	Currently Achievable? Yes
and in proximity to p Planning permission	Vithin the sustainable se public transport route, so previously granted. Site	ervices and facilities. is within the Cannock	Availability Notes: Planning permission has lapsed	Achievability Notes: No known constraints
Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.		When is site likely to come forward?: 6 - 10 years		
		Proposed Yield: 1	Current Deliverability: Developable	

ID: 417	Settlement: Burntwood Location: Spri		inghill Road, 107	Ward: Summerfield
Site Area (ha): 0.05	Source: Expired	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): -	Density Rate (dph): -
Yield Note: Yield from expired planning permission.				
Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes
Suitability Notes: Within the sustainable settlement of Burntwood and is in proximity to public transport routes, services and facilities.			Availability Notes: No known constraints	Achievability Notes: No known constraints
	hin site, and further work is be	ing undertaken	When is site likely to come forward?: 5 - 10 years	
in relation to this. Site falls within the FOM and contributions to these projects may be required. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.			Proposed Yield: 1	Current Deliverability: Developable

ID: 429	Settlement: Burntwood Location: Que PH		een Street, Cottage of Content	Ward: Chasetown
Site Area (ha): 0.24	Source: Survey	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.24	Density Rate (dph): 40
Yield Note: 40dph used t	o reflect surrounding area			
Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Available? No	Currently Achievable? Yes
Suitability Notes: Within a sustainable settlement. Site is proposed to be allocated for residential development within emerging Local Plan Allocations document.			Availability Notes: Currently occupied. UCA assesses site as available	Achievability Notes: None identified
Site falls within the FOM and contributions to these projects may be required. An SSSI is within 1km and the impact on it may need further investigation. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.		When is site likely to come fo	rward?: 6 - 10 years	

ID: 429	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Queen Street, Cottage of Content PH		Ward: Chasetown
			Proposed Yield: 10	Current Deliverability: Developable

ID: 458	Settlement: Burntwood Location: Que		een Street, 32	Ward: Chasetown	
Site Area (ha): 0.04	Source: Expired	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): -	Density Rate (dph): -	
Yield Note: Yield from exp	pired planning permission.				
Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes	
Suitability Notes: Within the sustainable settlement of Burntwood and is in proximity to public transport routes, services and facilities.			Availability Notes: No known constraints	Achievability Notes: No known constraints	
SBI has been identified wit	SBI has been identified within site, and further work is being undertaken			When is site likely to come forward?: 6 - 10 years	
Site falls within the FOM and contributions to these projects may be required. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.		Proposed Yield: 3	Current Deliverability: Developable		

# Table B.57

ID: 471	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Rugeley Road, 123		Ward: Chasetown	
<b>Site Area (ha):</b> 0.09	Source: Expired	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.12	Density Rate (dph):	
Yield Note: based on previous planning application which has now expired					
Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Available? No	Currently Achievable? Yes	
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> Within the sustainable settlement of Burntwood and in proximity to public transport route, services and facilities. Planning permission previously granted. Site falls within the FOM			Availability Notes: Planning permission has lapsed	Achievability Notes: No known constraints	
contributions to these projects may be required. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.		When is site likely to come for	orward?: 6 - 10 years		
		Proposed Yield: 1	Current Deliverability: Developable		

ID: 507	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Mount Road Industrial Estate (North Part)		Ward: Summerfield	
Site Area (ha): 10.6	Source: Survey	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 10.6	Density Rate (dph): 40	
Yield Note: 40dph@60% has been used.					
Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Available? No	Currently Achievable? Yes	

ID: 507	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Mo (North Part)	unt Road Industrial Estate	Ward: Summerfield
Suitability Notes: Within the sustainable sett public transport routes, se	tlement of Burntwood and is ir rvices and facilities.	n proximity to	Availability Notes: Site in multiple ownerships, many occupied, but some initial interest.	Achievability Notes: Unknown, dependent on success of businesses on site.
Potential for contaminated land, however due to size of site not likely to		When is site likely to come forward?: 11-15 years		
Redevelopment of site wo nearby residential, both ex	re negative impact. development of site would improve environmental conditions for arby residential, both existing and potential of site 119. Site is within Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be		Proposed Yield: 255	Current Deliverability: Developable

ID: 557	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Oakdene Road, 104		Ward: Chasetown
<b>Site Area (ha):</b> 0.04	Source: Expired	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): -	Density Rate (dph): -
Yield Note: Yield from expired planning permission.				
Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes
and is in proximity to	Suitability Notes: Within the sustainable settlement of Burntwood and is in proximity to public transport routes, services and facilities.			Achievability Notes: No known constraints
Site falls within the FOM and contributions to these projects may be required. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.		When is site likely to come fe	orward?:6 -10 years	
		Proposed Yield: 1	Current Deliverability: Developable	

#### Table B.60

ID: 584	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Queen Street 20B		Ward: Chasetown	
<b>Site Area (ha):</b> 0.05	Source: Expired	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): -	Density Rate (dph): -	
Yield Note: Yield from	Yield Note: Yield from expired planning permission.				
Currently Suitable	Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Achievable? Yes	
and is in proximity to	Suitability Notes: Within the sustainable settlement of Burntwood and is in proximity to public transport routes, services and facilities.			Achievability Notes: No known constraints	
be required. Site is v	Site falls within the FOM and contributions to these projects may be required. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.		When is site likely to come forward?:6 -10 years		
		Proposed Yield: 1	Current Deliverability: Developable		

ID: 587	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Rugeley road, land rear of 109 & 111		Ward: Chase Terrace
<b>Site Area (ha):</b> 0.07	Source: Expired	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): -	Density Rate (dph): -
Yield Note: Yield from expired planning permission.				
Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes
and is in proximity to	Suitability Notes: Within the sustainable settlement of Burntwood and is in proximity to public transport routes, services and facilities.			Achievability Notes: No known constraints
Site falls within the FOM and contributions to these projects may be required. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.		When is site likely to come for	orward?: 6 - 10 years	
		Proposed Yield: 1	Current Deliverability: Developable	

ID: 626	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: High Street, 87		Ward: Chasetown
<b>Site Area (ha):</b> 0.01	Source: Expired	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): -	Density Rate (dph): -
Yield Note: Yield from expired planning permission.				
Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes
Suitability Notes: Within the sustainable settlement of Burntwood and is in proximity to public transport routes, services and facilities.			Availability Notes: No known constraints	Achievability Notes: No known constraints
Site falls within the FOM and contributions to these projects may be required. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.		When is site likely to come fe	orward?:6 - 10 years	
		Proposed Yield: 1	Current Deliverability: Developable	

## Table B.63

ID: 722	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Springhill Road 38 & 40		Ward: Summerfield	
<b>Site Area (ha):</b> 0.06	Source: Expired	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.06	Density Rate (dph):	
Yield Note: based of	Yield Note: based on previous planning application which has now expired				
Currently Suitable	? Yes		Currently Available? No	Currently Achievable? Yes	
and in proximity to p	Vithin the sustainable se public transport route, s	ervices and facilities.	Availability Notes: Planning permission has lapsed	Achievability Notes: No known constraints	
Planning permission previously granted. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.		When is site likely to come forward?: 6 - 10 years			
		Proposed Yield: 2	Current Deliverability: Developable		

ID: 800	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Rugeley Road, 3,		Ward: Boney Hay and Central
<b>Site Area (ha):</b> 0.04	Source: Expired	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.04	Density Rate (dph):
Yield Note: based of	on previous planning ap	oplication which has no	w expired 12/00567/COU	
Currently Suitable	? Yes		Currently Available? No	Currently Achievable? Yes
and in proximity to p Planning permission	Vithin the sustainable se public transport route, s previously granted. Site	ervices and facilities. is within the Cannock	Availability Notes: Planning permission has lapsed	Achievability Notes: No known constraints
Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.		When is site likely to come forward?: 6 - 10 years		
		Proposed Yield: 1	Current Deliverability: Developable	

ID: 821	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: High St., r/o 161-167		Ward: Chase Town
Site Area (ha): 0.25	Source: Expired	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.25	Density Rate (dph):
Yield Note: based on previous planning application 12/00594/FUL which has now expired				
Currently Suitable	Currently Suitable? Yes		Currently Available? No	Currently Achievable? Yes
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> Within the sustainable settlement of Burntwood and in proximity to public transport route, services and facilities. Planning permission previously granted. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be			Availability Notes: Planning permission has lapsed. UCA assesses site as not available.	Achievability Notes: No known constraints
required.		When is site likely to come forward?: 6 - 10 years		
		Proposed Yield: 7	Current Deliverability: Developable	

# Table B.66

ID: 857	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Lawnswood Ave, 1-3		Ward: Chase Terrace
Site Area (ha): 0.02	Source: Expired	Proposal: Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.02	Density Rate (dph):
Yield Note: based on previous planning application 13/00939/COU which has now expired				
Currently Suitable	? Yes		Currently Available? No	Currently Achievable? Yes
and in proximity to p	Vithin the sustainable se oublic transport route, se	ervices and facilities.	Availability Notes: Planning permission has lapsed	Achievability Notes: No known constraints
Planning permission previously granted. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.		When is site likely to come forward?: 6 - 10 years		
		Proposed Yield: 2	Current Deliverability: Developable	

ID: 872	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: High Street, 57, R/o Post Office		Ward: Chase Terrace
<b>Site Area (ha):</b> 0.01	Source: Expired	Proposal: Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.01	Density Rate (dph):
Yield Note: based of	on previous planning ap	oplication 13/00889/FUL	which has now expired	
Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Available? No	Currently Achievable? Yes
and in proximity to p	Vithin the sustainable se public transport route, s	ervices and facilities.	Availability Notes: Planning permission has lapsed	Achievability Notes: No known constraints
Planning permission previously granted. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.		When is site likely to come for	rward?: 6 - 10 years	
		Proposed Yield: 1	Current Deliverability: Developable	

ID: 1123	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Coult	ter Lane Burntwood	Ward: Highfield	
Site Area (ha): 7.5	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 4.5		Density Rate (dph): 40
Yield Note: Yi	eld based upon 40dp	oh @60% used to	reflect the nature and o	character of the surrou	nding area
Currently Sui	table? No		Currently Available	? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes
Suitability Notes: Site is outside current settlement boundary and is in proximity to public transport routes, services and facilities. Within Greenbelt. Does not wholly comply with current development plan policies in the Local Plan, however,		Availability Notes: Site promoted by the owner/agent/developer.		Achievability Notes: Agent advises measures to mitigate local highway impact are unlikely to make site unviable, further work may be required to demonstrate.	
	broad area for searc could contribute to s		When is site likely to come forward?: 0 - 5 years		
	1	1. h. f f	0-5 years	6-10 years	11-15 years
considered to	d well identified on si affect development.	SCC highlight		180	-
negative impact on local highway network in this area. Small watercourse runs through site which may have an associated floodplain.		Proposed Yield: 18	0	Current Deliverability: Developable	
justification. Si contributions to	2/3 Agricultural Land te falls within the FO these projects may annock Chase zone	M and be required. Site			

#### Table B.69

therefore mitigation may be required.

ID: 3	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Bridge Cross Road, rear of Lambourne House		Ward: Chasetown
<b>Site Area (ha):</b> 0.42	Source: Submission	Proposal: Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.42	Density Rate (dph): 50
Yield Note: Further work between housing and a RSL indicates higher yield than proposed in draft SHLAA. 50dph @ 80%				
Currently Suitable? Yes		Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes	

	ID: 3 Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Bridge Cro House	Location: Bridge Cross Road, rear of Lambourne House	
	Suitability Notes: Within a sustainable settlement. Close proximity to public transport nodes, services and facilities. Access would need to be established via by-pass. Site falls within the FOM and contributions to these projects may be required. An SBI is within 1km and the impact on it may need further investigation. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.		Availability Notes: Site has been redeveloped for an alternative use.	Achievability Notes: No known constraints.
			When is site likely to come forward?: 0 - 5 years	
			Proposed Yield: 17	Current Deliverability: Not Developable

ID: 5	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Gre	eenwood House OPH	Ward: All Saints		
Site Area (ha): 0.53	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.53	Density Rate (dph): 40		
Yield Note: A medium de transport. 40dph @ 80%	Yield Note: A medium density rate has been applied to reflect the surrounding area and the close proximity to shops and public transport. 40dph @ 80%					
Currently Suitable? Yes	Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Achievable? Yes		
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> Within a sustainable settlement. Close proximity to public transport nodes, services and facilities. Site falls within the FOM and contributions to these projects may be required. An SBI is within 1km and the impact on it may need further investigation. Site has potential for protected species. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.			Availability Notes: Site put forward by landowner and is clear and available now. SCC long standing desire to dispose. UCA assesses site as not available	Achievability Notes: No known constraints.		
			When is site likely to come fo	rward?: -		
				Current Deliverability: Not Developable		

ID: 42	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Ral	ce Hill	Ward: Highfield
Site Area (ha): 7.5	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 2.22	Density Rate (dph): 40
Yield Note: Residential ar	ea calculated on area outside	floodzone and i	ninus size of site 93. 40dph@60	% has been used.
Currently Suitable? Yes	Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Achievable? No
Suitability Notes: Site is outside current settlement boundary but is adjacent to sustainable settlement of Burntwood and in close proximity to services and facilities. Lack of public transport in this location. Within Greenbelt. Does not wholly comply with current development plan policies Local Plan, however, site falls within broad area for search for assessment of sites which could contribute to sustainable mixed			Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.	Achievability Notes: It is unknown how impact of SFRA, highway mitigation measures and measures to improve sustainability would impact on viability.
communities.	communities.		When is site likely to come fo	rward?: -

ID: 42	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Ral	ke Hill	Ward: Highfield
	3a (part). SFRA may be required. S local road network requiring investm		Proposed Yield: 67	Current Deliverability: Not Developable
the FOM and contril potential for protect the impact on it may	cultural Lane may need justification. butions to these projects may be rec ed species. An SSSI and BAS are need further investigation. Site is with ence therefore mitigation may be re	uired. Site has within 1km and hin the Cannock		

ID: 93	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Me	g Lane, land at	Ward: Highfield
Site Area (ha): 18.53	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 18.53	Density Rate (dph): 40
Yield Note: 40dph@60%	່ has been used. However, ag	ent proposes 50	0 but no scheme provided to dem	ionstrate.
Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? No
<ul> <li>Suitability Notes: Site is outside current settlement boundary but is adjacent to sustainable settlement of Burntwood and in close proximity to services and facilities. Lack of public transport in this location.</li> <li>Within Greenbelt. Does not wholly comply with current development plan policies in the Local Plan, however, site falls within broad area for search for assessment of sites which could contribute to sustainable mixed communities.</li> <li>Floodzone 2 (part) 3a (part). SFRA may be required. SCC highlight a negative impact on local road network requiring investment in mitigation measures.</li> <li>Loss of Grade3 Agricultural Lane may need justification. Site falls within the FOM and contributions to these projects may be required. Site has potential for protected species. An SSSI and BAS are within 1km and the impact on it may need further investigation. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.</li> </ul>			Availability Notes: Site is being promoted by the owner/agent through the LPA.	Achievability Notes: It is unknown how impact of SFRA & highway mitigation measures would impact on viability. Additional information has been submitted by the developers indicating no issue.
			When is site likely to come fo	rward?: -
			Proposed Yield: 445	Current Deliverability: Not Developable

ID: 99	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: St Josephs Church and Presbytery, Chasetown		Ward: Chasetown	
<b>Site Area (ha):</b> 0.08	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.08	Density Rate (dph):	
Yield Note: base	Yield Note: based on agents comments				
Currently Suitat	ole? Yes		Currently Available? No	Currently Achievable? Yes	
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> Within the sustainable settlement of Burntwood and in proximity to public transport route, services and facilities. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.		Availability Notes: Planning permission has lapsed for conversion of the church - planning permission granted for alternative use of site. UCA assesses site as not available769	Achievability Notes: No known constraints		

ID: 99	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: St Josephs Church and Presbytery, Chasetown		Ward: Chasetown
			When is site likely to come forward?: -	
			Proposed Yield: 8	Current Deliverability: Not Developable

ID: 260	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: St Joseph	Ward: Chasetown				
<b>Site Area (ha):</b> 0.05	Source: Expired	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.05	Density Rate (dph):			
Yield Note: based on previous residential planning application which has now expired							
Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Available? No	Currently Achievable? Yes			
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> Within the sustainable settlement of Burntwood and in proximity to public transport route, services and facilities. Planning permission previously granted. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.			Availability Notes: Planning permission has lapsed - planning permission granted for alternative use of site.	Achievability Notes: No known constraints			
			When is site likely to come forward?: -				
			Proposed Yield: 1	Current Deliverability: Not Developable			

ID: 404	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Rugeley Road, land to the east of		Ward: Highfield					
Site Area (ha): 6.2	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 6.2	Density Rate (dph): 40					
Yield Note: 40dph@60% has been used.									
Currently Suitable? Yes		Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? No						
adjacent to sustainable set to services and facilities. Within Greenbelt. Does n plan policies in the Local f search for assessment of mixed communities.	outside current settlement bout ttlement of Burntwood and in Lack of public transport in this ot wholly comply with current Plan, however, site falls within sites which could contribute to impact on local road network measures.	Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but is being promoted by the agent/ develoepr through the Local Plan Allocation document.	Achievability Notes: It is unknown how impact of SFRA, highway mitigation measures and measures to improve sustainability would impact on viability. Additional information has been submitted by the developers indicating no issue.						
	al Lane may need justification.	When is site likely to come forward?: -							
potential for protected spe the impact on it may need f	s to these projects may be req ccies. An SSSI and BAS are v iurther investigation. Site is with herefore mitigation may be red	within 1km and iin the Cannock	Proposed Yield: 149	Current Deliverability: Not Developable					

ID: 477	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Ble	ak House Farm	Ward: Chase Terrace	
Site Area (ha): 28.91	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 28.91	Density Rate (dph): 40	
Yield Note: 40dph@60%	has been used.				
Currently Suitable? Yes		Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? No		
adjacent to sustainable se to services and facilities. I Within Greenbelt. Does no	outside current settlement bout ttlement of Burntwood and in Lack of public transport in this ot wholly comply with current	Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.	Achievability Notes: Unknown how measures to improve sustainability would impact on viability.		
• •	Plan, however, site falls within sites which could contribute to		When is site likely to come forward?: -		
	oal Subsidence area may be r	Proposed Yield: 694	Current Deliverability: Not Developable		
the FOM and contributions potential for protected spe the impact on it may need for	I Lane may need justification. to these projects may be req cies. An SSSI and BAS are v urther investigation. Site is with herefore mitigation may be red				

Table B.77

ID: 491	Settlement: Other rural	Location: Ogley Hay	Road, land at	Ward: Chasetown	
<b>Site Area (ha):</b> 8.73	Source: Omission site	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 8.73	Density Rate (dph): 40	
Yield Note: 40 dph	@ 60%				
Currently Suitable? No			Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes	
Suitability Notes: Adjacent to Brownhills. Close proximity of public transport route, services and facilities. Within greenbelt. Does not wholly comply with current development			Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.	Achievability Notes: No known constraints.	
	ocal Plan. Unlikely to be cy perspective in light o		When is site likely to come forward?: -		
mpact on SSSI within 1km may need investigation. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.			Proposed Yield: 210	Current Deliverability: Not Developable	

Table B.78

ID: 632	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: St.	Matthews Road, land south of	Ward: Highfield
Site Area (ha): 2.99	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha):	Density Rate (dph): 40
Yield Note: 40dph@60%	has been used.			
Currently Suitable? No		Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? No	

ID: 632	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: St.	Matthews Road, land south of	Ward: Highfield
not adjacent to sustainab Within Greenbelt. Does n plan policies in the Local	outside current settlement bo le settlement of Burntwood. ot wholly comply with current of Plan. Unlikely to be considered	development	Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.	Achievability Notes: Unknown how measures to improve sustainability would impact upon viability.
	rspective in light of location.		When is site likely to come fo	rward?: -
	and contributions to these proj e Cannock Chase zone of influ d.		Proposed Yield: 72	Current Deliverability: Not Developable

ID: 957	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Ironstone F	Location: Ironstone Road, land west		
Site Area (ha): 8.1	Source: Submission	Proposal: Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 4.86	Density Rate (dph): 30	
Yield Note: 30dph@	60% has been used - ag	ent suggest higher yield	of 200		
Currently Suitable?	Yes		Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? No	
adjacent to sustainabl to services and faciliti	<b>Suitability Notes:</b> Site is outside current settlement boundary but is adjacent to sustainable settlement of Burntwood and in close proximity to services and facilities. Lack of public transport in this location.			Achievability Notes: Unknown how measures to improve sustainability would impact on viability.	
plan policies in the Lo	es not wholly comply wit cal Plan, however, site fa	lls within broad area for	When is site likely to come forward?: -		
search for assessmer mixed communities.	nt of sites which could co	ntribute to sustainable	Proposed Yield: 146 (Agent proposes 200)	Current Deliverability: Not Developable	
Further investigation	of Coal Subsidence area	may be required.			
Loss of Grade3 Agricultural Lane may need justification. Site falls within the FOM and contributions to these projects may be required. Site has potential for protected species. An SSSI and BAS are within 1km and the impact on it may need further investigation. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.					

ID: 958	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Ironstone F	Road, land west	Ward: Chase Terrace
Site Area (ha): 6.7	Source: Submission	Proposal: Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 4.02	Density Rate (dph): 30
Yield Note: 30dph@	60% has been used - ag	ent suggest higher yield	of 175	
Currently Suitable?	Yes		Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? No
adjacent to sustainabl to services and faciliti	te is outside current settle e settlement of Burntwoo es. Lack of public transp	d and in close proximity port in this location.	Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.	Achievability Notes: Unknown how measures to improve sustainability would impact on viability.
Within Greenbelt. Does not wholly comply with current development plan policies in the Local Plan, however, site falls within broad area for			When is site likely to come f	orward?: -
search for assessment of sites which could contribute to sustainable mixed communities.				

ID: 958	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Ironstone F	Location: Ironstone Road, land west			
Loss of Grade3 Agric within the FOM and c Site has potential for 1km and the impact o	ultural Lane may ne ontributions to these protected species. A n it may need furthe	area may be required. eed justification. Site falls e projects may be required. An SSSI and BAS are within er investigation. Site is within erefore mitigation may be	Proposed Yield: 120 (Agent proposes 175)	Current Deliverability: Not Developable		

ID: 964	Settlement: Burntwood	Location: Chorley Roa	ad, Land northof, Boney Hay	Ward: Boney Hay	
Site Area (ha): 2.82	Source: Submission	Proposal: Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 2.82	Density Rate (dph): 30	
Yield Note: 30dph@	60% has been used				
Currently Suitable?	Yes		Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? No	
Suitability Notes: Site is outside current settlement boundary but is adjacent to sustainable settlement of Burntwood and in close proximity to services and facilities. Lack of public transport in this location. Within Greenbelt. Does not wholly comply with current development			Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.	Achievability Notes: Unknown how measures to improve sustainability would impact on viability.	
· · ·	cal Plan, however, site fa nt of sites which could co		When is site likely to come forward?: -		
mixed communities. Further investigation of	of Coal Subsidence area	may be required.	Proposed Yield: 51	Current Deliverability: Not Developable	
the FOM and contribu potential for protected the impact on it may r	Itural Lane may need just tions to these projects ma I species. An SSSI and F need further investigation of influence therefore mit	ay be required. Site has BAS are within 1km and I. Site is within the			


ID	Location	Source	Suitability	Available	Achievable	Deliverability	Yield
509	Cedar Road, Garage Court	Survey	Yes - within the sustainable settlement of Burntwood	No - No known interest, multiple ownerships	No - May require CPO	Not Developable	7
510	Glenmore Avenue, Garage Court 1	Survey	Yes - within the sustainable settlement of Burntwood	No - No known interest, multiple ownerships	No - May require CPO	Not Developable	4
511	Cedar Road, Garage Court 2	Survey	Yes - within the sustainable settlement of Burntwood	No - No known interest, multiple ownerships	No - May require CPO	Not Developable	4

ID	Location	Source	Suitability	Available	Achievable	Deliverability	Yield
512	Glenmore Avenue, Garage Court 2	Survey	Yes - within the sustainable settlement of Burntwood	No - No known interest, multiple ownerships	No - May require CPO	Not Developable	4
513	Woodland Way, Garage Court	Survey	Yes - within the sustainable settlement of Burntwood	No - No known interest, multiple ownerships	No - May require CPO	Not Developable	2
514	Mossbank Avenue, Garage Court	Survey	Yes - within the sustainable settlement of Burntwood	No - No known interest, multiple ownerships	No - May require CPO	Not Developable	2
515	Thistledown Avenue, Garage Court 2	Survey	Yes - within the sustainable settlement of Burntwood	No - No known interest, multiple ownerships	No - May require CPO	Not Developable	2
516	Thistledown Avenue, Garage Court 1	Survey	Yes - within the sustainable settlement of Burntwood	No - No known interest, multiple ownerships	No - May require CPO	Not Developable	3
517	Thistledown Avenue, Garage Court 3	Survey	Yes - within the sustainable settlement of Burntwood	No - No known interest, multiple ownerships	No - May require CPO	Not Developable	2
518	Leafenden Avenue, Garage Court	Survey	Yes - within the sustainable settlement of Burntwood	No - No known interest, multiple ownerships	No - May require CPO	Not Developable	4
519	Keepers Close, Garage Court	Survey	Yes - within the sustainable settlement of Burntwood	No - No known interest, multiple ownerships	No - May require CPO	Not Developable	4
520	Russett Avenue, Garage Court	Survey	Yes - within the sustainable settlement of Burntwood	No - No known interest, multiple ownerships	No - May require CPO	Not Developable	6
521	Chase Road, Garage Court	Survey	Yes - within the sustainable settlement of Burntwood	No - No known interest, multiple ownerships	No - May require CPO	Not Developable	2
522	Sycamore Road, Garage Court	Survey	Yes - within the sustainable settlement of Burntwood	No - No known interest, multiple ownerships	No - May require CPO	Not Developable	2
523	Poplar Avenue, Garage Court	Survey	Yes - within the sustainable settlement of Burntwood	No - No known interest, multiple ownerships	No - May require CPO	Not Developable	4
524	Laburnum Grove, Garage Court 1	Survey	Yes - within the sustainable settlement of Burntwood	No - No known interest, multiple ownerships	No - May require CPO	Not Developable	2

ID	Location	Source	Suitability	Available	Achievable	Deliverability	Yield
525	Laburnum Grove, Garage Court 2	Survey	Yes - within the sustainable settlement of Burntwood	No - No known interest, multiple ownerships	No - May require CPO	Not Developable	2
526	Hill Street, Garage Court 1	Survey	Yes - within the sustainable settlement of Burntwood	No - No known interest, multiple ownerships	No - May require CPO	Not Developable	2
527	Hill Street, Garage Court 2	Survey	Yes - within the sustainable settlement of Burntwood	No - No known interest, multiple ownerships	No - May require CPO	Not Developable	2
528	Hill Street, Garage Court 3	Survey	Yes - within the sustainable settlement of Burntwood	No - No known interest, multiple ownerships	No - May require CPO	Not Developable	2
529	Ash Grove, Garage Court	Survey	Yes - within the sustainable settlement of Burntwood	No - No known interest, multiple ownerships	No - May require CPO	Not Developable	2
530	Cottage Close, Garage Court	Survey	Yes - within the sustainable settlement of Burntwood	No - No known interest, multiple ownerships	No - May require CPO	Not Developable	2
531	Edwards Road, Garage Court	Survey	Yes - within the sustainable settlement of Burntwood	No - No known interest, multiple ownerships	No - May require CPO	Not Developable	2
532	Cottage Lane, Garage Court	Survey	Yes - within the sustainable settlement of Burntwood	No - No known interest, multiple ownerships	No - May require CPO	Not Developable	2
533	Avon Road	Survey	Yes - within the sustainable settlement of Burntwood	No - No known interest, multiple ownerships	No - May require CPO	Not Developable	4
534	Queen Street, Garage Court	Survey	Yes - within the sustainable settlement of Burntwood	No - No known interest, multiple ownerships	No - May require CPO	Not Developable	4
535	Summerfield Road, Garage Court	Survey	Yes - within the sustainable settlement of Burntwood	No - No known interest, multiple ownerships	No - May require CPO	Not Developable	3
536	Newgate Street, Garage Court	Survey	Yes - within the sustainable settlement of Burntwood	No - No known interest, multiple ownerships	No - May require CPO	Not Developable	4
537	Grange Road, Garage Court	Survey	Yes - within the sustainable settlement of Burntwood	No - No known interest, multiple ownerships	No - May require CPO	Not Developable	5

ID	Location	Source	Suitability	Available	Achievable	Deliverability	Yield
538	Foxhills Close, Garage Court	Survey	Yes - within the sustainable settlement of Burntwood	No - No known interest, multiple ownerships	No - May require CPO	Not Developable	2
539	Manor Rise, Garage Court 1	Survey	Yes - within the sustainable settlement of Burntwood	No - No known interest, multiple ownerships	No - May require CPO	Not Developable	4
540	Manor Rise, Garage Court 2	Survey	Yes - within the sustainable settlement of Burntwood	No - No known interest, multiple ownerships	No - May require CPO	Not Developable	3
541	Hospital Road, Garage Court	Survey	Yes - within the sustainable settlement of Burntwood	No - No known interest, multiple ownerships	No - May require CPO	Not Developable	2
769	Queens Drive Industrial Estate	Survey	Yes- within the sustainable settlement od Burntwood	No - No know interest, multiple ownerships	No - May require CPO	Not Developable	48

# **B.4 Clifton Campville**



# **Clifton Campville**

ID	Location	Source	Planning Application No.	Net Yield	Deliverability
347	Main Street, 127-129	Complete	07/01256/OUT	1	Complete
579	Main Street, land adj 94A	Complete	09/00259/FUL	1	Complete
344	Main Street, 117	Complete	02/01029/FUL	1	Complete
346	Hill Top Farm Cottage, land adj	Complete	03/00909/FUL	1	Complete
349	Main Street, 96	Complete	03/00097/FUL	1	Complete
736	Church Farm Cottages, land adj	Complete	04/01271/FUL	1	Complete
556	Main Street, land rear of 114-116	Under Construction	06/00962/OUT	1	Deliverable
746	Lullington Road, 2	Complete	11/01005/COU	7	Complete
444	Chestnut Lane, land rear of 11	Planning Permission Full	11/00856/REM	1	Deliverable
991	Main Street, 131, Field House,	Under Construction	15/01067/PND	1	Deliverable

# Table B.84

ID: 176	,		Ward: Mease and Tame		
Site Area (ha): 0.08	Source: Expired	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.08	Density Rate (dph):	
Yield Note: Yield based on previous planning application which has now expired					
Currently Suitable? No	Currently Suitable? No			Currently Achievable? Yes	
planning permission has p	<b>Suitability Notes:</b> The site is within the settlement boundary and planning permission has previously been granted. Site is within the River Mease water catchment therefore mitigation will be required.			Achievability Notes: No known constraints	
		When is site likely to come fo	rward?: 6-10 years		
			Proposed Yield: 2	Current Deliverability: Developable	

ID: 444	Settlement: Clifton Campville	Location: Chestnut Lane, Land rear of 11,		Ward: Mease and Tame		
<b>Site Area (ha):</b> 0.07	Source: Expired	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.07	Density Rate (dph):		
Yield Note: Yield	Yield Note: Yield based on previous planning application which has now expired					
Currently Suitable? No		Currently Available? No	Currently Achievable? Yes			

ID: 444	Settlement: Clifton Campville	Location: Chestnut Lane, Land rear of 11,		Ward: Mease and Tame
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> The site is within the settlement boundary and planning permission has previously been granted. Site is within the River Mease water catchment therefore mitigation will be required.		Availability Notes: Planning permission has now expired	Achievability Notes: No known constraints	
		When is site likely to come forward?: 6-10 years		
		Proposed Yield: 1	Current Deliverability: Developable	

ID: 107	Settlement: Clifton Campville	Location: Lul	lington Road	Ward: Mease and Tame
Site Area (ha): 0.41	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.41	Density Rate (dph): 30
Yield Note: 30 dph @ 80	% used to reflect nature and c	character of settl	ement.	
Currently Suitable? No			Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? No
settlement is not consider adopted Local Plan Strate development of the site w	<b>Suitability Notes:</b> The site is outside the current village boundary. The settlement is not considered to be a sustainable settlement in the adopted Local Plan Strategy and it has not been demonstrated how the development of the site would contribute to sustainable communities.			Achievability Notes: Unknown how measures to improve sustainability would impact on viability.
plan policies in the Local F	not wholly comply with curren Plan. Unlikely to be considered	•	When is site likely to come forward?: -	
Within Conservation Area. has potential for protected	perspective in light of location. ea. Impact on SAC may need investigation. Site ed species. An SSSI is within 1km and the impact vestigation. Site is within the River Mease water ligation will be required.		Proposed Yield: 10	Current Deliverability: Not Developable

**B.5 Colton** 



Settlement Boundary
 District Boundary
 Site with Planning Permission
 Site under construction
 Proposed for allocation in emerging LPA

Developable
 Not Developable

SHLAA Sites 2016-2017


Complete Deliverable

Colton

D

Green Belt Site

© Crown Copyright, Database Rights/2016. Lichfield District Council. Licence No: 100017765 NOT TO SCALE



AP.

No decision has been taken to allocate these sites. The inclusion of any site in this assessment does not indicate that it will be allocated or successfully obtain permission for housing.

留

പ

R

# Colton

ID	Location	Source	Planning Application No.	Net Yield	Deliverability
153	High Street, Littlehay Manor Farm	Complete	07/01159/FUL	5	Complete
324	Ye Olde Dun Cow, Colton	Complete	06/00036/FUL	2	Complete
683	Bellamour Way, Croft House	Complete	10/00861/FUL	1	Complete
79	High Street, Littlehay Manor Farm (barns)	Complete	08/01142/COU	5	Complete
75	High Street,2,	Complete	14/00924/FUL	2	Complete
76	Martlin Lane, land off	Complete	12/00141/FUL	2	Complete
791	Bellamour Way, land rear Lloyds Cottages	Complete	12/00396/FUL	1	Complete
802	Martlin Land, land off (2)	Complete	12/00776/FUL	1	Complete
828	Bellamour Way, Lloyds Cottages (2)	Complete	13/00013/FUL	1	Complete
959	Newlands Lane, Land at	Planning Permission Full	14/01231/PND	1	Deliverable
998	Narrow Lane, Chase View	Planning Permission Full	15/01220/PND	1	Deliverable
1026	High Street, 8	Complete	16/00098/ENFCU	1	Complete
1064	High St., 2 Apsley House	Under Construction	16/00140/FUL	1	Deliverable

ID: 74	Settlement: Colton	Location: Hig	h Street	Ward: Colton and Mavesyn Ridware
Site Area (ha): 2.25	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 2.25	Density Rate (dph): 30
Yield Note: 30 dph @ 609	% used to reflect existing cha	racter and grain	of settlement. TPO may affect de	esign and yield.
Currently Suitable? No	Currently Suitable? No			Currently Achievable? No
The settlement is not cons adopted Local Plan Strateg development of the site wo Does not wholly comply wi Local Plan. Unlikely to be o	Suitability Notes: The site is outside the current village boundary. The settlement is not considered to be a sustainable settlement in the adopted Local Plan Strategy and it has not been demonstrated how the development of the site would contribute to sustainable communities. Does not wholly comply with current development plan policies in the Local Plan. Unlikely to be considered acceptable from a strategic policy			Achievability Notes: Suitable access required. Not been demonstrated that development can occur without negative impact on nature conservation.
perspective in light of location. Suitable access required. Further investigation of Coal Subsidence area may be required.		When is site likely to come fo	rward?: -	

ID: 74	Settlement: Colton	Location: Hig	h Street	Ward: Colton and Mavesyn Ridware
within 1km and the imp potential for protected	cultural Land may need justific act on it may need further inve species. Site is within the Can nitigation may be required.	stigation. Site has	Proposed Yield: 40	Current Deliverability: Not Developable

ID: 75	Settlement: Colton Location: High Stree		h Street, 2	Ward: Colton and Mavesyn Ridware		
Site Area (ha): 0.32	Source: Survey	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.32	Density Rate (dph):		
Yield Note: Two dwelling	Yield Note: Two dwellings in rear garden. TPO may affect design and yield.					
Currently Suitable? No	Currently Suitable? No			Currently Achievable? No		
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> The settlement is not considered to be a sustainable settlement in the adopted Local Plan Strategy and it has not been demonstrated how the development of the site would contribute to sustainable communities.			Availability Notes: No indication that site is available.	Achievability Notes: Suitable access will be required.		
Further investigation of Coal Subsidence area may be required. Loss of Grade 2/3 Agricultural Land may need justification. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.		When is site likely to come forward?: -				
		Proposed Yield: 2	Current Deliverability: Not Developable			

# Table B.90

ID: 77	Settlement: Colton	Location: The	Paddock, Bellamour Way	Ward: Colton and Mavesyn Ridware
Site Area (ha): 0.29	Source: Survey	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.10	Density Rate (dph): 30
Yield Note: Yield based o	on rear field only as front is ga	arden and likely to	o impact on Listed Building	
Currently Suitable? No			Currently Available? No	Currently Achievable? No
Suitability Notes: The settlement is not considered to be a sustainable settlement in the adopted Local Plan Strategy and it has not been demonstrated how the development of the site would contribute to			<b>Availability Notes:</b> No indication that site is available.	Achievability Notes: Suitable access will be required.
sustainable communities. Coal Subsidence area may need investigation. Suitable access to the site is required. Conservation Area - may impact on design. Loss of Grade 2/3 Agricultural Land may need justification. Site has potential for protected species. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.		When is site likely to come forward?: -		
		Proposed Yield: 3	Current Deliverability: Not Developable	

ID: 543	Settlement: Colton	Location: High Street	t, land rear Aspley House	Ward: Colton and Mavesyn Ridware
Site Area (ha): 1.74	Source: Submission	Proposal: Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 1.74	Density Rate (dph): 30

ID: 543	Settlement: Colton Location: High Street	, land rear Aspley House	Ward: Colton and Mavesyn Ridware		
Yield Note: 30 dph @ 80% used to reflect existing character and grain of settlement.					
Currently Suitable?	No	Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? No		
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> The site is outside the current village boundary. The settlement is not considered to be a sustainable settlement in the adopted Local Plan Strategy and it has not been demonstrated how the development of the site would contribute to sustainable communities.		Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.	Achievability Notes: Unknown how measures to improve sustainability would impact on viability.		
	ply with current development plan policies in the	When is site likely to come forward?: -			
Local Plan. Unlikely to be considered acceptable from a strategic policy perspective in light of location. Further investigation of Coal Subsidence area may be required. Loss of Grade 2/3 Agricultural Land may need justification. A BAS is within 1km and the impact on it may need further investigation. Site has potential for protected species. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.		Proposed Yield: 42	Current Deliverability: Not Developable		

ID: 817	17 Settlement: Colton Location: High Street,		, land rear of 61	Ward: Colton and Mavesyn Ridware
Site Area (ha): 2.25	Source: Submission	Proposal: Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 2.3	Density Rate (dph): 30
Yield Note: 30 dph @	60% used to reflect exi	sting character and grain	of settlement.	
Currently Suitable? No			Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? No
The settlement is not adopted Local Plan St development of the sin	<b>Suitability Notes:</b> The site is outside the current village boundary. The settlement is not considered to be a sustainable settlement in the adopted Local Plan Strategy and it has not been demonstrated how the development of the site would contribute to sustainable communities.			Achievability Notes: Suitable access required. Not been demonstrated that development can occur without negative impact on nature conservation.
	bly with current developm be considered acceptabl location	· ·	When is site likely to come forward?: -	
Suitable access requi	Suitable access required. Further investigation of Coal Subsidence area may be required. Loss of Grade 2/3 Agricultural Land may need justification. A BAS is within 1km and the impact on it may need further investigation. Site has potential for protected species. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.		Proposed Yield: 33	Current Deliverability: Not Developable
within 1km and the impotential for protected				

ID: 895	Settlement: Colton	Location: High Street, land off		Ward: Colton and Mavesyn Ridware
Site Area (ha): 1.4	Source: Submission	Proposal: Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 1.1	Density Rate (dph): 30

ID: 895 Settlement: Colton Location: High Stree	t, land off	Ward: Colton and Mavesyn Ridware	
Yield Note: fFrom agent			
Currently Suitable? No	Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? No	
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> The site is outside the current village boundary. The settlement is not considered to be a sustainable settlement in the adopted Local Plan Strategy and it has not been demonstrated how the development of the site would contribute to sustainable communities.	Availability Notes: Site is being promoted by the owner/agent through Local Plan Allocations document	Achievability Notes: Suitable access required. Not been demonstrated that development can occur without negative impact on nature conservation.	
Does not wholly comply with current development plan policies in the Local Plan. Unlikely to be considered acceptable from a strategic	When is site likely to come forward?: -		
policy perspective in light of location. Suitable access required. Further investigation of Coal Subsidence area may be required. Loss of Grade 2/3 Agricultural Land may need justification. A BAS	Proposed Yield: 25	Current Deliverability: Not Developable	
is within 1km and the impact on it may need further investigation. Site has potential for protected species. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.			

Table B.94

ID: 960	Settlement: Colton	Location: High Street, Rear of 31,		Ward: Colton and Mavesyn Ridware	
Site Area (ha): 0.4	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.4	Density Rate (dph): 30	
Yield Note: 30 dph	@ 60% used to reflect e	xisting character and gra	ain of settlement.		
Currently Suitable?	No		Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes	
The settlement is not the adopted Local Pla	<b>Suitability Notes:</b> The site is outside the current village boundary. The settlement is not considered to be a sustainable settlement in the adopted Local Plan Strategy and it has not been demonstrated how the development of the site would contribute to sustainable communities.			Achievability Notes: Not been demonstrated that development can occur without negative impact on nature conservation.	
	ply with current develop ely to be considered acce		When is site likely to come forward?: -		
the Local Plan. Unlikely to be considered acceptable from a strategic policy perspective in light of location. Further investigation of Coal Subsidence area may be required. Suitable access required. Loss of Grade 3 Agricultural Land may need justification. A BAS is within 1km and the impact on it may need further investigation. Site has potential for protected species. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.		Proposed Yield: 5	Current Deliverability: Not Developable		

# **B.6 Drayton Bassett**




Settlement Boundary
 District Boundary
 Site with Planning Permission
 Site under construction
 Site z Proposed for allocation in emerging LPA

Complete Deliverable Developable Not Developable Green Belt Site

SHLAA Sites 2016-2017

**Drayton Bassett** 



# **Drayton Bassett**

ID		Location	Source	Planning Application No.	Net Yield	Deliverability
	247	Post Office, Drayton Bassett	Complete	06/00255/COU	1	Complete
	294	Drayton Lane, 53	Complete	05/00243/FUL	1	Complete

# Table B.96


ID: 672	Settlement: Drayton Bassett	Location: Salts Lane, Willow End		Ward: Bourne Vale
Site Area (ha): 0.43	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.43	Density Rate (dph): 30
Yield Note: Yield fro	om expired planning perr	mission	·	·
Currently Suitable?	' No		Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? No
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> The site is partly outside the current village boundary. The settlement is not considered to be a sustainable settlement in the adopted Local Plan Strategy and it has not been demonstrated how the development of the site would contribute to sustainable communities.			Availability Notes: Site has previously had planning consent however this has expired. When is site likely to come	Achievability Notes: Unknown how measures to improve sustainability would impact on viability. forward?: -
Within Green Belt. (part of site is within settlement boundary). Does not wholly comply with current development plan policies in the Local Plan. Unlikely to be considered acceptable from a strategic policy perspective in light of location.		Proposed Yield: 1	Current Deliverability: Not Developable	
Loss of agricultural la	and may need justificatio	n.		

#### Table B.97

ID: 826	Settlement: Drayton Bassett	Location: Salts La	ne, land off	Ward: Bourne Vale
<b>Site Area (ha):</b> 0.87	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.87	Density Rate (dph): 30
Yield Note: 30dph	0 @ 60%			
Currently Suitable	? No		Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? No
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> The site is outside the current village boundary. The settlement is not considered to be a sustainable settlement in the RSSS and it has not been demonstrated how the development of this site would contribute to mixed communities.		Availability Notes: Development could occur when present agricultural tenancy agreement comes to an end. No information to determine when this may be.	Achievability Notes: Unknown how measures to improve sustainability would impact on viability.	
development plan	Within Green Belt. Does not wholly comply with current development plan policies in the Local Plan. Unlikely to be considered acceptable from a strategic policy perspective in light of location. Loss of agricultural land may need justification.		When is site likely to come forward?: -	
light of location.			Proposed Yield: 20	Current Deliverability: Not Developable

ID: 1115	Settlement: Drayton Bassett	Location: Salts Lane	, Drayton Lane land to north	Ward: Bourne Vale
Site Area (ha): 2.5	Source: Submission	Proposal: Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 2.5	Density Rate (dph): 30
Yield Note: Yield p	proposed by agent			
Currently Suitable	Currently Suitable? No			Currently Achievable? No
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> The site is outside the current village boundary. The settlement is not considered to be a sustainable settlement in the RSSS and it has not been demonstrated how the development of this site would contribute to mixed communities.			Availability Notes: Site is being promoted by land owner	Achievability Notes: Unknown how measures to improve sustainability would impact on viability.
	Does not wholly comply		When is site likely to come forward?: -	
development plan policies in the Local Plan. Unlikely to be considered acceptable from a strategic policy perspective in light of location.		Proposed Yield: 60	Current Deliverability: Not Developable	
Loss of agricultural	land may need justificati	on.		

# **B.7 East of Rugeley**



# East of Rugeley

# SHLAA Sites 2016-2017

 Developable
 Not Developable Green Belt Site Complete Deliverable

Settlement Boundary
 District Boundary
 Site with Planning Permission
 Site under construction

Z Proposed for allocation in emerging LPA



# East of Rugeley

ID	Location	Source	Planning Application No.	Net Yield	Deliverability
157	Rugeley Local Centre	Under Construction	14/01018/FULM	(54 total) 49 remain	Deliverable
586	Rugeley ERZ (phase 2a) Barratt Homes	Complete	08/00387/REMM	79	Complete
585	Rugeley ERZ (Phase 1) Persimmon Homes	Complete	09/00991/REMM	81	Complete
697	Rugeley ERZ (Phase 2B) Barratt Homes	Complete	10/00420/REMM	72	Complete
745	Rugeley ERZ (Phase 3) Persimmon Homes	Complete	10/01200/REMM	117	Complete
848	Rugeley ERZ (Phases 4 & 5)	Complete	10/01201/REMM	219	Complete

#### Table B.100

ID: 27	Settlement: East of Rugeley	Location: Rugeley Road, South of (Part of CC District)		Ward: Armitage with Handscare	
<b>Site Area (ha):</b> 0.49	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): - 0.49	Density Rate (dph): 30	
Yield Note: 0.49ha whole site is 1.22 H		nin LDC. 40dph@80%	has been used to reflect Cannock Ch	ase District Council SHLAA,	
Currently Suitable	? Yes		Currently Available? No	Currently Achievable? Yes	
public transport rou development of this mixed communities Within Greenbelt. I development plan p within broad area for	The site is adjacent to te. It has not been den s site would assist in de Does not wholly comply policies in the Local Pla or search for assessmer nable mixed communiti	nonstrated how the livering sustainable with current n. However, site falls at of sites which could	Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent. Pre-application submissions have been made with a view to bringing the site forward within the next 5 years.	Achievability Notes: Suitable access required through land outside owners control, not considered by agent to affect viability.	
			When is site likely to come forward?: 11-15 years		
Access to site has b proposed. Favoure An SBI is within 1kr investigation. Site h	ter investigation of Coal Subsidence area may be required. ss to site has been investigated by agent and several options osed. Favoured option requires land purchase. BI is within 1km and the impact on it may need further stigation. Site has potential for protected species. Site is in the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation be required.		<b>Proposed Yield:</b> 15 (Based on proportion of site within Lichfield District, Site would provide 30 dwellings in total)	Current Deliverability: Developable	

ID: 406 (ELAA ID: 109)	Settlement: East of Rugeley	Location: Boi	row Pit, Power Station	Ward: Armitage with Handscare
Site Area (ha): 14.69	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 14.69	Density Rate (dph):

ID: 406 (ELAA ID: 109)	Settlement: East of Locat Rugeley	ion: Borrow Pit, Power Station	Ward: Armitage with Handscare		
Yield Note: However agent has suggested that the site could accommodate a higher yield of 450 dwellings					
Currently Suitable? Yes		Currently Available? No	Currently Achievable? Yes		
permission, and adjacent to centre has permission on t sustainability of this site. S Development Allocation ide	te is adjacent to the site with planning Rugeley and public transport routes. he adjacent site and if built would im ite is part of the East of Rugeley Stra entified within the Local Plan Strateg	A local Site has benefit of planning permission for infill with ash tegic from the power station. On complete will allow for redevelopment in approx 20	h Station is not cost to development and assumed site is		
	al Subsidence area may be required in with ash, agent confirms ready for		When is site likely to come forward?: 11-15 years		
Loss of Grade 3 Agricultura BAS are within 1km and the Site has potential for protect	al Land may need justification. An SE e impact on it may need further invest ted species. Site is within the Cannock mitigation may be required.	gation.	Current Deliverability: Developable		

ID: 832	Settlement: East of Rugeley	Location: Armitage Road, land off Hawkesyard (1)		Ward: Armitage with Handscare	
<b>Site Area (ha):</b> 1.37	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): -	Density Rate (dph): 30	
Yield Note: Yield ba	ased on information subn	nitted by agent.			
Currently Suitable?	Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Achievable? Yes	
development of this s communities.	<b>Suitability Notes:</b> It has not been demonstrated how the development of this site would assist in delivering sustainable mixed communities.			Achievability Notes: Suitable access required.	
not wholly comply wi	te is adjacent to listed Ha ith current development p ocal Plan		When is site likely to come forward?: 06-10 years		
Further investigation states access is requ An SBI is within 1km investigation. Site ha	Structure Plan and Local Plan. Further investigation of Coal Subsidence area may be required. Agent states access is required off Rugeley Road. An SBI is within 1km and the impact on it may need further investigation. Site has potential for protected species. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.		Proposed Yield: 40	Current Deliverability: Developable	

ID: 833	Settlement: East of Rugeley	Location: Armitage R	toad, land off Hawkesyard (2)	Ward: Armitage with Handscare
Site Area (ha): 3.7	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): -	Density Rate (dph): 30
Yield Note: Yield based on information submitted by agent				


ID: 833 Settlement: East of Location Rugeley	: Armitage Road, land off Hawkesyard (2)	Ward: Armitage with Handscare	
Currently Suitable? Yes	Currently Available? No	Currently Achievable? Yes	
Suitability Notes: It has not been demonstrated how the development of this site would assist in delivering sustate communities.	-	Achievability Notes: Suitable access required.	
Within Greenbelt. Sites is adjacent to listed Hawkesyard not wholly comply with current development plan policie Structure Plan and Local Plan.		When is site likely to come forward?: 6-10 years	
Further investigation of Coal Subsidence area may be reastates access is required off Rugeley Road.	uired. Agent	Current Deliverability: Developable	
An SBI is within 1km and the impact on it may need fur investigation. Site has potential for protected species. S the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigati required.	ite is within		

ID: 1028	Settlement: East of Rugeley	Location: A51 east of D)	Location: A51 east of/A513, Lea Hall Road, (Site D)		
Site Area (ha): 2.8	Source: Submission	Proposal: Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 2.8	Density Rate (dph): 30	
Yield Note: 30dph b	ased upon 60%developal	ole area		·	
Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes	
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> The site is adjacent to Rugeley, close to public transport route. It has not been demonstrated how the development of this site would assist in delivering sustainable mixed communities.			Availability Notes: Site is being promoted by the owner/agent.	Achievability Notes: Suitable access is required.	
	oes not wholly comply w		When is site likely to come forward?: 6-10 years		
	an policies in the Local Plan. However, site falls within broad area for arch for assessment of sites which could contribute to sustainable xed communities.			Current Deliverability: Developable	
Further investigation	of Coal Subsidence area	may be required.			
Site has potential for p	In SBI is within 1km and the impact on it may need further investigation. Site has potential for protected species. Site is within the Cannock Chase one of influence therefore mitigation may be required.				

ID: 1031	Settlement: East of Rugeley	Location: Rugeley Power Station		Ward: Armitage with Handscare
Site Area (ha): 83.76	Source: Submission	Proposal: ResidentialSize of Proposed Residential (ha): 64.09		Density Rate (dph): 30
Yield Note: A master plan will be prepared. Indicative yield calculated using SHLAA methodology based on 30dph based upon 60% developable area.				
Currently Suitable? Yes		Currently Available? No	Currently Achievable? Yes	

ID: 1031 Settlement: East Location: Rugele of Rugeley	y Power Station	Ward: Armitage with Handscare
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> The site is adjacent to the site with planning permission, and adjacent to Rugeley and public transport routes. The site will be subject to a cross boundary masterplanning exercise.	Availability Notes: The power station has been closed and the decommissioning and demolition/remediation has commenced and is expected to take approximately 3 years.	Achievability Notes: Unknown how measures to improve sustainability will affect viability.
required. Part of the site is within flood zone 2. An SBI and BAS are within 1km and the impact on it may need further investigation. Site has potential for protected	6-10 years	11-15+ years
species. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.	-	800
A Draft SPD is being consulted upon.	When is site likely to come forward	d?: 11-15 years
	Proposed Yield: 1154	Current Deliverability: Developable

# **B.8 Edingale**



# Edingale

ID	Location	Source	Planning Application No.	Net Yield	Deliverability
605	Hollows End, Church Hollow	Complete	09/00473/FUL	1	Complete
734	Croxall Road, land between Fort Joe and Joes Cave	Complete	12/00610/REM	1	Complete
407	Pessall Lane, 14-16	Complete	10/01544/FUL	2	Complete
859	Main Road, 3 Stable Yard Nursery School	Complete	13/00937/COU	1	Complete

# Table B.107

ID: 427	Settlement: Edingale	Location: Bla	ck Horse PH	Ward: Mease and Tame	
Site Area (ha): 0.14	Source: Survey	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.14	Density Rate (dph): 30	
Yield Note: A dph rate of	30 has been used to reflect s	urrounding char	acter and grain of adjacent settle	ment.	
Currently Suitable? No			Currently Available? No	Currently Achievable? Yes	
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> The settlement is not considered to be a sustainable settlement in the adopted Local Plan Strategy and it has not been demonstrated how the development of the site would contribute to			Availability Notes: No interest shown	Achievability Notes: No known constraints	
sustainable communities.			When is site likely to come forward?: 6-10 years		
Part outside settlement. Does not wholly comply with current development plan policies in the Local Plan. Unlikely to be considered acceptable from a strategic policy perspective in light of location.			Proposed Yield: 4	Current Deliverability: Developable	
Further investigation of Coal Subsidence area may be required.Site is within the National Forest therefore mitigation may be required. Impact on SBI may need further investigation. An SSSI is within 1km. Site is within the River Mease water catchment therefore mitigation will be required.					

ID: 37	Settlement: Edingale	Location: Lul	lington Road	Ward: Mease and Tame
Site Area (ha): 2.08	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 2.08	Density Rate (dph): 30
Yield Note: A dph rate of	ng character and grain of adjacen	t settlement.		
Currently Suitable? No			Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? No
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> The site is outside the current village boundary. The settlement is not considered to be a sustainable settlement in the adopted Local Plan Strategy and it has not been demonstrated how the development of the site would contribute to sustainable communities.		Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.	Achievability Notes: Unknown - measures to	

ID: 37 Settlement: Eding	gale Location: Lul	ington Road	Ward: Mease and Tame
Does not wholly comply with current developm Local Plan. Unlikely to be considered acceptab perspective in light of location. Further investiga	le from a strategic policy		improve sustainability may impact on viability.
area may be required.		When is site likely to come forward?: -	
Loss of Grade 3 Agricultural Land may need justification. Site is within the National Forest therefore mitigation may be required. An SSSI & SBI is within 1km and the impact on it may need further investigation. Site is within the River Mease water catchment therefore mitigation will be required.		Proposed Yield: 37	Current Deliverability: Not Developable

ID: 48	Settlement: Edingale Location: Lulli		ington Road, Peartree Cottage	Ward: Mease and Tame	
Site Area (ha): 0.12	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.12	Density Rate (dph): 30	
Yield Note: 30dph to refle	ect existing character and grai	n of adjacent se	ttlement	·	
Currently Suitable? No			Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? No	
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> The site is outside the current village boundary. The settlement is not considered to be a sustainable settlement in the adopted Local Plan Strategy and it has not been demonstrated how the development of the site would contribute to sustainable communities.			Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.	Achievability Notes: Unknown - measures to improve sustainability may impact on viability.	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ith current development plan p		When is site likely to come forward?: -		
Local Plan. Unlikely to be considered acceptable from a strategic policy perspective in light of location. Further investigation of Coal Subsidence area may be required. Loss of Grade 3 Agricultural Land may need justification. Site is within the National Forest therefore mitigation may be required. An SSSI & SBI is within 1km and the impact on it may need further investigation. Site is within the River Mease water catchment therefore mitigation will be required.			Proposed Yield: 3	Current Deliverability: Not Developable	

ID: 49	Settlement: Edingale	Location: Rad Road	dle Lane/Lullington/Croxall	Ward: Mease and Tame
Site Area (ha): 14.57	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 14.57	Density Rate (dph): 30
Yield Note: 30dph @ 60%	% to reflect existing character	acent settlement		
Currently Suitable? No			Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? No
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> The site is outside the current village boundary. The settlement is not considered to be a sustainable settlement in the adopted Local Plan Strategy and it has not been demonstrated how the development of the site would contribute to sustainable communities.		Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.	Achievability Notes: Unknown - measures to	

ID: 49	Settlement: Edingale	Location: Rad Road	ddle Lane/Lullington/Croxall	Ward: Mease and Tame
Does not wholly comply with current development plan policies in the Local Plan. Unlikely to be considered acceptable from a strategic policy perspective in light of location. Further investigation of Coal Subsidence			improve sustainability may impact on viability.	
	area may be required.		When is site likely to come forward?: -	
the National Fore SBI is within 1km Site has potentia	ricultural Land may need justification. Site is within therefore mitigation may be required. An SSSI & nd the impact on it may need further investigation. or protected species. Site is within the River Mease erefore mitigation will be required.		Proposed Yield: 262	Current Deliverability: Not Developable


ID: 50	Settlement: Edingale Location: Crox Primary		xall Road, Mary Howard	Ward: Mease and Tame
Site Area (ha): 7	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 7	Density Rate (dph): 30
Yield Note: 30dph @ 609	% to reflect existing character	and grain of sett	lement	
Currently Suitable? No			Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? No
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> The site is outside the current village boundary. The settlement is not considered to be a sustainable settlement in the adopted Local Plan Strategy and it has not been demonstrated how the development of the site would contribute to sustainable communities.			Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.	Achievability Notes: Unknown - measures to improve sustainability and SFRA may impact on viability.
Local Plan. Unlikely to be	ith current development plan p considered acceptable from a s	strategic policy	When is site likely to come forward?: -	
area may be required. Flo	perspective in light of location. Further investigation of Coal Subsidence area may be required. Floodzone 2(part) 3a (part). Srategic Flood Risk Assessment may be required.		Proposed Yield: 126	Current Deliverability: Not Developable
Loss of Grade 3 Agricultural Land may need justification. Site is within the National Forest therefore mitigation may be required. An SSSI, SBI and SAC are within 1km and the impact on it may need further investigation. Site has potential for protected species. Site is within the River Mease water catchment therefore mitigation will be required.				

ID: 65	Settlement: Edingale	Location: Lullington Road, land at		Ward: Mease and Tame
Site Area (ha): 0.52	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.52	Density Rate (dph): 30
Yield Note: 30dph @ 809	% to reflect existing character	rby settlement although 8 propos	ed.	
Currently Suitable? No		Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? No	
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> The site is outside the current village boundary. The settlement is not considered to be a sustainable settlement in the adopted Local Plan Strategy and it has not been demonstrated how the development of the site would contribute to sustainable communities.		Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.	Achievability Notes: Unknown how	

ID: 65	Settlement: Edingale	Location: Lul	lington Road, land at	Ward: Mease and Tame
plan policies in the Local from a strategic policy pe	Outside settlement. Does not wholly comply with current development olan policies in the Local Plan. Unlikely to be considered acceptable from a strategic policy perspective in light of location. Further nvestigation of Coal Subsidence area may be required.			measures to improve sustainability would impact on viability,
Ŭ	n SSSI and SBI are within 1km and the impact on it may need further vestigation. Site is within the National Forest therefore mitigation may e required. Site is within the River Mease water catchment therefore		When is site likely to come fo	rward?: -
investigation. Site is withi			Proposed Yield: 12	Current Deliverability: Not Developable

ID: 727	Settlement: Edingale	Location: land at Rado	lle Lane, Edingale	Ward: Mease and Tame	
Site Area (ha): 0.83	Source: Submission	Proposal: Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.83	Density Rate (dph): 30	
Yield Note: A dph rate	e of 30 has been used to	reflect surrounding charac	cter and grain of adjacent set	tlement.	
Currently Suitable? No			Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes	
Suitability Notes:	Suitability Notes:			Achievability Notes: No known constraints	
adopted Local Plan St	considered to be a sustain rategy and it has not beer	demonstrated how the	When is site likely to come forward?: 6-10-years		
development of the site would contribute to sustainable communities. Part outside settlement. Does not wholly comply with current development plan policies in the Local Plan. Unlikely to be considered acceptable from a strategic policy perspective in light of location. Further investigation of Coal Subsidence area may be required. Site is within the National Forest therefore mitigation may be required. Impact on SBI may need further investigation. An SSSI is within 1km. Site is within the River Mease water catchment therefore mitigation will be required.			Proposed Yield: 17	Current Deliverability: Not Developable	

**B.9 Elford** 



# Elford

ID		Location	Source	Planning Application No.	Net Yield	Deliverability
3	362	Eddies Lane, land adj 2	Complete	05/00301/FUL	1	Complete
5	597	Church Road, The Rectory	Complete	11/01181/FUL	1	Complete
6	596	The Square, Former Post Office	Complete	10/01148/COU	1	Complete
7	<b>702</b>	The Square, The Crown Inn	Complete	10/01110/FUL	3	Complete

#### Table B.115

ID: 35	Settlement: Elford Location: The		Shubbery	Ward: Mease and Tame
Site Area (ha): 0.47	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.47	Density Rate (dph): 30
Yield Note: 30dph@100% has been used to reflect surrounding character and grain of adjacent settlement				
Currently Suitable? No			Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? No
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> The site is within the current village boundary. The settlement is not considered to be a sustainable settlement in the adopted Local Plan Strategy and it has not been demonstrated how the development of the site would contribute to sustainable communities. Floodzone 2(part) 3a (part). SFRA may be required. Within Conservation Area. Loss of Grade 3 Agricultural Land may need justification. An SBI is within 1km and the impact on it may need further investigation.			Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent. UCA assesses site as not available.	Achievability Notes: Access required and level issues. Unknown how measures to improve sustainability would impact on viability.
		When is site likely to come fo	rward?: -	
		Proposed Yield: 11	Current Deliverability: Not Developable	

ID: 86	Settlement: Elford Location: Web		bbs Farm, South of	Ward: Mease and Tame
Site Area (ha): 2.57	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 2.57	Density Rate (dph): 30
Yield Note: Yield from agent.				
Currently Suitable? No	Currently Suitable? No		Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? No
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> The site is within the current village boundary. The settlement is not considered to be a sustainable settlement in the adopted Local Plan Strategy and it has not been demonstrated how the development of the site would contribute to sustainable communities. Suitable access required. Within Conservation Area. Loss of Grade 3 Agricultural Land may need justification. An SBI is within 1km and the impact on it may need further investigation. Adjacent TPO woodland.		Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent. UCA assesses site as not available.	Achievability Notes: Suitable access required. Unknown how measures to improve sustainability would impact on viability.	
Impact on it may need further investigation. Adjacent TPO woodland.		When is site likely to come fo	rward?: -	

ID: 86	Settlement: Elford	Location: Webbs Farm, South of		Ward: Mease and Tame
			Proposed Yield: 30	Current Deliverability: Not Developable

ID: 133	Settlement: Elford	Location: The	Beck	Ward: Mease and Tame	
Site Area (ha): 0.58	Source: Omission Site	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.58	Density Rate (dph): 30	
Yield Note: 30dph@80%	Yield Note: 30dph@80% used to reflect existing character and grain of adjacent settlement.				
Currently Suitable? No			Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? No	
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> The site is outside the current village boundary. The settlement is not considered to be a sustainable settlement in the adopted Local Plan Strategy and it has not been demonstrated how the development of the site would contribute to sustainable communities. Impact on floodzone 2 may need investigation. Loss of grade 3 agricultural land may need justification.			Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.	Achievability Notes: Suitable access required. Unknown how measures to improve sustainability would impact on viability.	
			When is site likely to come forward?: -		
			Proposed Yield: 14	Current Deliverability: Not Developable	

# Table B.118

ID: 489	Settlement: Elford	Location: Bur	ton East, land east of	Ward: Mease and Tame	
Site Area (ha): 1.78	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 1.78	Density Rate (dph): 30	
Yield Note: 30dph@80%	Yield Note: 30dph@80% used to reflect existing character and grain of settlement.				
Currently Suitable? No	Currently Suitable? No			Currently Achievable? No	
The settlement is not cons adopted Local Plan Strated development of the site we	<b>Suitability Notes:</b> The site is outside the current village boundary. The settlement is not considered to be a sustainable settlement in the adopted Local Plan Strategy and it has not been demonstrated how the development of the site would contribute to sustainable communities. Loss of grade 3 agricultural land may need justification.			Achievability Notes: Unknown how measures to improve sustainability would impact on viability.	
			When is site likely to come fo	rward?: -	
			Proposed Yield: 43	Current Deliverability: Not Developable	

# B.10 Fazeley

# Fazeley

ID	Location	Source	Planning Application No.	Net Yield	Deliverability
327	Orchard House, land at	Complete	01/00738/FUL	1	Complete
389	Park Lane, The Dower House	Complete	01/00776/FUL	1	Complete
621	Victoria Drive, 6	Complete	09/00969/COU	1	Complete
263	Sutton Road, 125	Complete	07/00373/FUL	3	Complete
262	Stud Farm Drive, 9	Complete	06/00355/REM	1	Complete
292	Arcadia, Drayton Manor Drive	Complete	04/00480/FUL	1	Complete
326	The Green, 15	Complete	01/00872/FUL	1	Complete
328	Park Lane, 75, Bonehill	Complete	03/00389/FUL	1	Complete
330	Brook End, 40	Complete	06/00922/FUL	1	Complete
331	Bonehill House	Complete	07/01157/FUL	1	Complete
161	Brook End, Garage Court, rear 41-43	Complete	08/00775/FUL	2	Complete
329	Deer Park Road, land rear of 31 & 32	Complete	06/01064//FUL	2	Complete
402	The Green, land rear of 17a, 17, 21	Complete	07/00416/FUL	4	Complete
118	Laurel House	Complete	05/01140/OUTM & 08/00422/REMM	77	Complete
200	Coleshill Street, 59	Complete	05/00881/FUL	2	Complete
201	Coleshill Street, 85	Complete	13/01129/FUL	1	Complete
410	Coleshill Street, 61	Complete	08/01026/FUL	5	Complete
117	The Boathouse	Complete	10/01256/FULM	12	Complete
773	Park lane, The Bungalow	Complete	11/01204/FUL	1	Complete
115	Tolsons Mill	Planning Permission Full S106	12/01067/FULM	100	Deliverable
773	Park Lane, the Bungalow	Complete	11/01204/FUL	1	Complete
171	Reindeer Road 1 (land adj)	Planning Permission Full	12/00854/REM	1	Deliverable
162	Buxton Avenue and Brook End	Complete	06/00978/OUT & 08/00526/REM	2	Complete
100	The Green, 20	Planning Permission Outline	11/01052/OUT	4	Deliverable
807	Brook End, land off	Planning Permission Full	16/01169/FUL	3	Deliverable

ID	Location	Source	Planning Application No.	Net Yield	Deliverability
849	Lichfield Street, 267	Complete	13/00088/COU	1	Complete
91:	Sutton Road, 179	Complete	14/00188/FUL	1	Complete
929	Watling Street, 407	Planning Permission Full	14/00580/COU	1	Deliverable
942	Manor Road, land rear 16	Complete	14/00966/FUL	1	Complete
971	Lichfield Street, 119	Under Construction	15/00263/FUL	1	Deliverable
992	Coleshill Street, 8, Fazeley Post Office	Complete	15/00821/FUL	2	Complete
1079	Mile Oak Farm, Plantation Ln	Complete	16/00870/PND	1	Complete

		Location: Aldin Cloase, land off, Mile Oak		Ward: Fazeley	
Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed F	<b>tesidential (ha):</b> 8.24	Density Rate (dph): 40	
Yield Note: 40dph @60% to reflect existing character and grain of nearby settlement. Agent advises 250 which is approx 30dph, but has not provided scheme to demonstrate.					
Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Available? Yes		
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> Outside village boundary but is adjacent to a Key Rural Settlement as identified within the adopted Local plan Strategy. Close proximity to public transport routes, services and facilities.			Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.		
Within Greenbelt. Does not wholly comply with current development plan policies Local Plan. However site falls within broad area for search for assessment of sites which could contribute to sustainable mixed communities. Loss of Grade 2 Agricultural Land may need justification. An SBI is within 1km and the impact on it may need further investigation. Site has potential for protected species.		When is site likely to come forward?: 0 - 10 years			
		0-5 years	6-10 years	11-15 years	
		75	123	-	
		Proposed Yield: 198		Current Deliverability: Deliverable	
	te: 40dph @60% to reflect existing ot provided scheme to demonstrate y Suitable? Yes ty Notes: Outside village boundary Rural Settlement as identified within n Strategy. Close proximity to publi ervices and facilities. reenbelt. Does not wholly comply with thent plan policies Local Plan. Howe had area for search for assessment of the search for assessment of the search for assessment of the search for assessment of the search for assessment of the	Residential Reside	Residential         Residential         te: 40dph @60% to reflect existing character and grain of nearby settlem of provided scheme to demonstrate.         y Suitable? Yes         ty Notes: Outside village boundary but is adjacent Rural Settlement as identified within the adopted in Strategy. Close proximity to public transport ervices and facilities.         reenbelt. Does not wholly comply with current hent plan policies Local Plan. However site falls ad area for search for assessment of sites which thribute to sustainable mixed communities.         Grade 2 Agricultural Land may need justification. An thin 1km and the impact on it may need further	Residential       Residential         te: 40dph @60% to reflect existing character and grain of nearby settlement. Agent advises 250 ot provided scheme to demonstrate.         y Suitable? Yes       Currently Available? Yes         ty Notes: Outside village boundary but is adjacent Rural Settlement as identified within the adopted in Strategy. Close proximity to public transport ervices and facilities.       Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.         reenbelt. Does not wholly comply with current hent plan policies Local Plan. However site falls bad area for search for assessment of sites which tribute to sustainable mixed communities.       When is site likely to come forward?: 0         0-5 years       6-10 years         75       123         Proposed Yield: 198	

ID: 95	Settlement: Fazeley	Location: Lichfield Street/Park Lane, land off		Ward: Fazeley	
Site Area (ha): 1.93	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 1.93	Density Rate (dph): 40	
Yield Note: 40dph @60% to reflect existing character and grain of nearby settlement. Agent advises 60 which is approx 30dph.					
Currently Suitable? Yes		Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable?Yes		

ID: 95	Settlement: Fazeley	Location: Lic	hfield Street/Park Lane, land	Ward: Fazeley	
Rural Settlement as identia Close proximity to public to Within Greenbelt. Does no	<b>ility Notes:</b> Outside village boundary but is adjacent to a Key Settlement as identified within the adopted Local plan Strategy. proximity to public transport routes, services and facilities. Greenbelt. Does not wholly comply with current development plicies in the Local Plan. However site falls within broad area for		Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but is being promoted by the owner/agent through Local Plan Allocation document	Achievability Notes: No known constraints.	
mixed communities.		Sustainable	When is site likely to come forward?: 0 - 5 years		
need justification. An SBI i	ea. Loss of Grade 2 Agricultur is within 1km and the impact on has potential for protected spe	on it may need	Proposed Yield: 62	Current Deliverability: Deliverable	

ID: 96 (ELAA ID: 41)	Settlement: Fazeley Location: Faze Yard		eley (Gould's) Saw Mill, Timber	Ward: Fazeley		
Site Area (ha): 0.62	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.62	Density Rate (dph): 50		
Yield Note: 50dph @ 80% has been used as it is felt a high density can be achieved on this site but there is a need to also provide a suitable mix. A higher yield may be possible as application for 104 refused.						
Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable?Yes		
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> Within village boundary in a settlement considered to be a Key Rural Settlement as identified within the adopted Local plan Strategy. Close proximity to public transport routes, services and facilities. Within Conservation Area. Loss of Grade 3 Agricultural Land may need justification. An SBI is within 1km and the impact on it may need further investigation. Site has potential for protected species.			Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent. UCA assesses site as not available.	Achievability Notes: Developer has not demonstrated viability of scheme based on reduced numbers but considered that it is likely that site will be achievable.		
			When is site likely to come forward?: 0 - 5 years			
			Proposed Yield: 25	Current Deliverability: Deliverable		

ID: 97 (ELAA ID: 106)	Settlement: Fazeley Location: Lich		hfield Street, Bonehill Mill	Ward: Fazeley	
Site Area (ha): 1.89	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha):	Density Rate (dph):	
Yield Note: Yield from agent					
Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable?Yes	
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> Outside village boundary but is adjacent to a Key Rural Settlement as identified within the adopted Local plan Strategy. Close proximity to public transport routes, services and facilities.			Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent. UCA assesses site as uncertain	Achievability Notes: No known constraints.	

ID: 97 (ELAA ID: 106)	Settlement: Fazeley	Location: Lich	nfield Street, Bonehill Mill	Ward: Fazeley
	enbelt. Development of this			
does not wholly comply with current development plan policies in the Local Plan. However, restoration of Listed Building requires development			When is site likely to come forward?: 0 - 5 years	
at rear.		Proposed Yield: 50	Current Deliverability:	
Within Conservation Area. Loss of Grade 3 Agricultural Land may need justification. An SBI is within 1km and the impact on it may need further investigation. Site has potential for protected species.			Deliverable	

ID: 115	Settlement: Fazeley	Location: Lichfield Street, Tolsons Mill		Ward: Fazeley	
<b>Site Area (ha):</b> 0.8	Source: Submission	Proposal: Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.8	Density Rate (dph):	
Yield Note: Yield from agent and from emerging LPA					
Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable?Yes	
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> Within settlement boundary of a Key Rural Settlement as identified within the adopted Local plan Strategy. Site is proposed to be allocated for residential development within emerging Local Plan Allocations document. Close proximity to public transport routes, services and facilities. Restoration of Listed Building. Within Conservation Area.			Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent. UCA states that dialogue with owner suggests site will come forward.	Achievability Notes: Concern over viability - contributions which would now be sought would have positive impact upon viability.	
An SBI is within 1km and the impact on it may need further investigation. Site has potential for protected species.		When is site likely to come forward?: 0 - 10 years			
		Proposed Yield: 100	Current Deliverability: Deliverable		

Table B.125

ID: 140	Settlement: Fazeley	Location: Mile Oak			Ward: Fazeley
Site Area (ha): 5.22	Source: Omission Site	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 3.29		Density Rate (dph): 40
Yield Note: Area for residential omits size of site ID 95. 40dph@60% to reflect existing character and grain of nearby and rep to Reg 19 consultation					
Currently Suitable? Yes		Currently Available? Yes		Currently Achievable?Yes	
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> Outside village boundary but is adjacent to a Key Rural Settlement as identified within the adopted Local plan Strategy. Close proximity to public transport routes, services and facilities.		Availability Notes: Site is not currently f marketed but has be owner/agent.	v	Achievability Notes: No known constraints.	
Within Green Belt. Does not wholly comply with current development plan policies in the Local Plan. However site falls within broad area for search for assessment of sites which could contribute to sustainable mixed communities.		When is site likely to come forward?: 0 - 10 yea		- 10 years	
		0-5 yeras	6-10 years	11-15 years	
which co		ommunities.	75	7	-

ID: 140 Settlement: Fazeley	Location: Mile Oak		Ward: Fazeley
Loss of Grade 2 Agricultural Land may need justification. Design will need to take amount of conservation area. Site has potential for protected species.		Proposed Yield: 82	Current Deliverability: Deliverable

ID: 440	Settlement: Fazeley	Location: The	e Green, 14	Ward: Fazeley		
Site Area (ha): 0.22	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.22	Density Rate (dph): 30		
Yield Note: 30dph to refle	Yield Note: 30dph to reflect existing character of settlement. Presence of TPO may affect density.					
Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable?Yes		
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> Within village boundary in a settlement considered to be a Key Rural Settlement as identified within the adopted Local plan Strategy. Close proximity to public transport routes, services and facilities. Site is proposed to be allocated for housing development within emerging Local Plan Allocations document. The loss of Grade 2 Agricultural Land may need justification. An SBI is within 1km and the impact on it may need further investigation. Site has potential for protected species. TPO on site			Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent. UCA assessed site as available.	Achievability Notes: If access can be achieved then no known constraints.		
			When is site likely to come forward?: 0 - 5 years			
		Proposed Yield: 7	Current Deliverability: Deliverable			

# Table B.127

ID: 472	Settlement: Fazeley	Location: Su	itton Road, Bangley F	Ward: Fazeley		
<b>Site</b> Area (ha): 10.63	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Re	esidential (ha): 10.63	Density Rate (dph): 40	
Yield No	Yield Note: 40dph@60%					
Currently	y Suitable? Yes	Currently Available? Yes		Currently Achievable?Yes		
to a Key Local pla	ty Notes: Outside village boundary l Rural Settlement as identified within n Strategy. Close proximity to publi ervices and facilities.	Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.		Achievability Notes: No known constraints		
	reenbelt. Does not wholly comply wi		When is site likely to come forward?: 0 - 10 years			
•	development plan policies in the Local Plan. However, restoration of Listed Building requires development at rear.		0-5 years	6-10 years	11-15 years	
	The loss of Grade 2/3 Agricultural Land may need justification. An SBI and BAS are within 1km and the impact on it may need further investigation.		150	105	-	
			Proposed Yield: 255		Current Deliverability: Deliverable	

ID: 495	Settlement: Fazeley	Location: Lar	d west of Sir Robert	Ward: Fazeley		
Site Area (ha): 4.23	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed R	tesidential (ha): 3.5	Density Rate (dph): 30	
Yield No	te: Yield proposed by agent.		·		·	
Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Available? Yes		Currently Achievable?Yes	
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> Outside village boundary but is adjacent to a Key Rural Settlement as identified within the adopted Local plan Strategy. Close proximity to public transport routes, services and facilities.		Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.		Achievability Notes: No known constraints		
	reenbelt. Does not wholly comply wi nent plan policies in the Local Plan.		When is site likely to come forward?: 0 - 10 years			
falls with	in broad area for search for assessm uld contribute to sustainable mixed of	nent of sites	0-5 years	6-10 years	11-15 years	
Site is proposed to be allocated for housing development within emerging Local Plan Allocations document. The loss of Grade 2 Agricultural Land may need justification.		75		-		
		Proposed Yield: 75		Current Deliverability: Deliverable		
	within 1km and the impact on it ma					

ID: 723	Settlement: Fazeley	ment: Fazeley Location: Gainsborough Drive, 1, Mi Oak		Ward: Fazeley	
Site Area (ha): 0.15	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.15	Density Rate (dph): 30	
Yield Note: Planning application currently being considered for 2 dwellings					
Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes	
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> Within village boundary in a settlement considered to be a Key Rural Settlement as identified within the adopted Local plan Strategy. Close proximity to public transport routes, services and facilities.			Availability Notes: Planning application currently submitted	Achievability Notes: No known constraints	
			When is site likely to come forward?: 0 - 5 years		
			Proposed Yield: 2	Current Deliverability: Deliverable	

ID: 1114	Settlement: Fazeley	Location: Mile Oak/Fazeley, Land to south		Ward: Fazeley	
Site Area (ha): 15.8	Source: Submission	Proposal:         Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 9.48           Residential         Image: second s		Density Rate (dph): 40	
Yield Note: Yield proposed by agent.					

ID: 1114	Settlement: Fazeley	Location: Mile Oak/Fazeley, Land to south			Ward: Fazeley
Currently Suitable? Yes		Currently Available? Yes		Currently Achievable?Yes	
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> Outside village boundary but is adjacent to a Key Rural Settlement as identified within the adopted Local plan Strategy. Close proximity to public transport routes, services and		Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.		Achievability Notes: No known constraints	
facilities.			When is site likely to come forward?: 0 - 10 years		
	belt. Does not wholly		0-5 years	6-10 years	11-15 years
However, site	current development plan policies in the Local Plan. However, site falls within broad area for search for assessment of sites which could contribute to		75	305	-
sustainable mixed communities. The loss of Grade 3 Agricultural Land. An SBI is		Proposed Yield: 380	,	Current Deliverability: Deliverable	
	nd the impact on it m				

ID: 116	Settlement: Fazeley	Location: Petrol Station		Ward: Fazeley		
Site Area (ha): 0.13	Source: Survey	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 3.5	Density Rate (dph): 30		
Yield Note: Based on conve	Yield Note: Based on conversion of part of LB and construction of new flats					
Currently Suitable? Yes		Currently Available? No	Currently Achievable?Yes			
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> Within village boundary in a settlement considered to be a Key Rural Settlement as identified within the adopted Local plan Strategy. Close proximity to public transport routes, services and facilities. Within Conservation Area and involves Listed Building so careful design needed. The loss of Grade 3 Agricultural Land may need justification. Site has potential for protected species.			Availability Notes: Site currently used as petrol station. No indication that owner wishes to develop. UCA assesses site as not available.	Achievability Notes: Consider need to remediate site - may affect viability.		
			When is site likely to come forward?: 0 - 5 years			
			Proposed Yield: 10	Current Deliverability: Developable		

ID: 200	Settlement: Fazeley	Location: Coleshill Street, 59		Ward: Fazeley	
<b>Site Area (ha):</b> 0.22	Source: Expired	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.07	Density Rate (dph): -	
Yield Note: Yield based on expired planning permissions					
Currently Suitable? Yes		Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable?Yes		

ID: 200	Settlement: Fazeley	Location: Coleshill Street, 59		Ward: Fazeley
Suitability Notes: Within village boundary in a settlement considered to be a Key Rural Settlement as identified within the adopted Local plan Strategy. Close proximity to public transport routes, services and facilities. The loss of Grade 2 Agricultural Land may need justification. An SBI is within 1km and the impact on it may need further investigation. Site has potential for protected species.		Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.	Achievability Notes: If access can be achieved then no known constraints.	
		When is site likely to come for	vard?: 6 - 10 years	
	restigation. Site has potential for protected species.		Proposed Yield: 2	Current Deliverability: Developable

ID: 764	Settlement: Fazeley Location: Tols		sons Industrial Estate	Ward: Fazeley	
Site Area (ha): 1.9	Source: Survey	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.62	Density Rate (dph): 35	
Yield Note: Yield of 35 dph felt appropriate given the surrounding built form.					
Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Available? No	Currently Achievable? No	
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> Within village boundary in a settlement considered to be a Key Rural Settlement as identified within the adopted Local plan Strategy. Close proximity to public transport routes, services and facilities.			Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.	Achievability Notes: Unsure of achievability due to multiple ownerships on site.	
Within Conservation Area. Loss of Grade 3 Agricultural Land may need justification. An SBI is within 1km and the impact on it may need further investigation. Site has potential for protected species. Identified with Employment Land Review as a site that should no longer be included in the emolument land portfolio.			d When is site likely to come forward?: 5-10 yea		
			Proposed Yield: 60	Current Deliverability: Developable	

# **B.11 Fradley**



Z Proposed for allocation in emerging LPA

Site with Planning Permission Site under construction

 Developable
 Not Developable Green Belt Site


Complete Deliverable

Settlement BoundaryDistrict Boundary

Fradley

SHLAA Sites 2016-2017

NOT TO SCALE



# Fradley

ID	Location	Source	Planning Application No.	Net Yield	Deliverability
34	Old Hall Farm, Fradley	Planning Permission Outline	13/00633/OUTM	250	Deliverable
138	Bridge Farm	Planning Permission Outline (subject to S106)	16/00272/OUTM	80	Deliverable
577	Jordan Close, 3	Complete	09/00164/FUL	3	Complete
282	Hatching Tan, Dunmore Hay Lane	Complete	02/00495/FUL	1	Complete
240	Old Hall Lane, Old Hall Farm	Complete	06/00471/COU	7	Complete
280	Worthington Road, 81	Complete	06/01023/FUL	1	Complete
625	Cowhill Lane, Fox Meadow Farm	Complete	09/01074/COU	1	Complete
700	Long Lane, 4	Planning Permission Full	10/01354/FUL	1	Deliverable
762	Forrester Close, Pumping Station	Planning Permission Full	11/01286/FUL	1	Deliverable
770	Hay End Lane, land off Brookfield Farm	Under Construction	16/00646/REMM	69	Deliverable
391	Church Lane, Church Farm	Planning Permission Full	12/00417/FUL	1	Deliverable
805	Bridge Farm Lane, Bridge Farm	Complete	12/00908/COU	1	Complete
840	Gorse Lane, land off, Fradley Park	Planning Permission Outline	10/01498/OUTMEI	534	Deliverable (upto 375 dwellings in 0-5 years)
860	Heath Gap, Bear Cottage	Under Construction	13/00750/FUL	1	Deliverable
881	Church Lane, 42 Fradley Cottage	Complete	13/01089/FUL	1	Complete
922	Old Halll Lane, land adj 4, Church Close	Under Construction	14/00470/FUL	1	Deliverable
1056	Halifax Ave, Land at Fradely Park, Fradley SDA Ph1	Under Construction	16/00001/REMM	216	Deliverable
1076	Blackheath Farrm, Cowhill Ln.,	Complete	16/00764/FUL	1	Complete

ID: 87 (ELAA ID: 102)	Settlement: Fradley	Location: Fradley Lane, land off		Ward: Alrewas and Fradley
Site Area (ha): 14.57	Source: Submission	Proposal: Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 7.18 Mixed		Density Rate (dph):
Yield Note: Agent proposed yield as part of mixed use scheme. 5.1ha@35dph and 2.08ha@45dph.				

ID: 87 Settlement: Fradley Location: Fradley Lane, land off (ELAA ID: 102)			Ward: Alrewas and Fradley
Currently Suitable? Yes Currently Available? Yes			Currently Achievable?Yes
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> Outside village boundary but is adjacent to a sustainable settlement as identified within the adopted Local plan Strategy.	Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.		Achievability Notes: No known constraints.
Outside settlement. Does not wholly comply with current development plan policies in the Local Plan. However site falls within broad area for search for assessment of sites	When is site likely to come forward?: 0 - 5 years		
which could contribute to sustainable mixed communities.	0-5 years	6-10 years	11-15 years
Loss of Grade 3 Agricultural Land may need justification. An SBI & BAS are within 1km and the impact on them may need	150	122	-
further investigation. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required. A pipeline is adjacent to the site.	Proposed Yield: 27	Current Deliverability: Deliverable	

ID: 131	Settlement: Fradley	Location: Fra	dley Lane	Ward: Alrewas and Fradley		
Site Area (ha): 1.45	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 1.4	Density Rate (dph): 40		
Yield Note: yield included under site 438. (Approx - 45 on site)						
Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable?Yes		
Suitability Notes: Outside village boundary but is adjacent to a sustainable settlement as identified within the adopted Local plan Strategy. Outside settlement. Does not wholly comply with current development		Availability Notes: No known constraints. Could come forward either on its own or as part of a larger scheme for site 87 or 438.	Achievability Notes: No known constraints.			
• •	Plan. However site falls within sites which could contribute to		When is site likely to come forward?: 0 - 5 years			
Loss of Grade 3 Agricultura 1km and the impact on it n potential for protected spe	icultural Land may need justification. An SBI is within t on it may need further investigation. Site has ed species. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone re mitigation may be required.		Proposed Yield: -	Current Deliverability: Deliverable		

ID: 132	Settlement: Fradley			Ward: Alrewas and Fradley		
Site Area (ha): 3.97	Source: Omission Site	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 3.97	Density Rate (dph): 40		
Yield No	Yield Note: 40dph@60%					

ID: 132 Settlement: Fradley	Location: South Fradley		Ward: Alrewas and Fradley	
Currently Suitable? Yes		Currently Available? Yes		Currently Achievable?Yes
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> Outside village boundary but is adjacent to a sustainable settlement as identified within the adopted Local plan Strategy.		Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.		Achievability Notes: No known constraints.
Outside settlement. Does not wholly comply development plan policies in the Local Plan.	However site	When is site likely to come forward?: 0 - 10 years		
	alls within broad area for search for assessment of sites which could contribute to sustainable mixed communities.		6-10 years	11-15 years
Site has potential for protected species.		75	20	-
Impact of noise from associated uses and A38 on prospective residents would need to be designed out. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may		Proposed Yield: 95		Current Deliverability: Deliverable
be required.	gaterinay			

ID: 369	Settlement: Fradley Location: Dun		nmore Hay Lane	Ward: Alrewas and Fradley	
Site Area (ha): 0.67	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.67	Density Rate (dph): 40	
Yield Note: 40dph@80%					
Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable?Yes	
sustainable settlement as Strategy.	<b>itability Notes:</b> Outside village boundary but is adjacent to a stainable settlement as identified within the adopted Local plan rategy.			Achievability Notes: No known constraints.	
plan policies in the Local F	not wholly comply with curren Plan. However site falls within	broad area for	When is site likely to come forward?: 0 - 5 years		
mixed communities. Loss of Grade 3 Agricultura 1km and the impact on it r	of sites which could contribute to sustainable ral Land may need justification. An SBI is within t may need further investigation. Site has becies. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone tigation may be required.		Proposed Yield: 21	Current Deliverability: Deliverable	

ID: 412	Settlement: Fradley	Location: Fradley Lane/Church Lane		Ward: Alrewas and Fradley		
Site Area (ha): 8.21	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 6.6	Density Rate (dph): 40		
Yield Note: yield included under site 438 (approx 158 on site)						
Currently Suitable? Yes		Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable?Yes			

ID: 412	Settlement: Fradley	Location: Fra	dley Lane/Church Lane	Ward: Alrewas and Fradley
sustainable settlement as Strategy.	ide village boundary but is ad s identified within the adopted	Local plan	Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.	Achievability Notes: No known constraints.
plan policies in the Local	bes not wholly comply with current development al Plan. However site falls within broad area for of sites which could contribute to sustainable tural Land may need justification. An SBI is within it may need further investigation. Site has species. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone		When is site likely to come fo	rward?: 0 - 5 years
mixed communities. Loss of Grade 3 Agricultur 1km and the impact on it			Proposed Yield: -	Current Deliverability: Deliverable
of influence therefore mit	igation may be required.			

ID: 426 (ELAA ID; 19)	Settlement: Location: Gorse Lane, land off, Fradley Park Fradley				Ward: Alrewas and Fradley
<b>Site Area (ha):</b> 12	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 12		Density Rate (dph): -
Yield Note: Yie	ld based on inform	ation published by	v agent.		
Currently Suitable? Yes		Currently Available? Yes		Currently Achievable?Yes	
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> Outside village boundary but is adjacent to a sustainable settlement as identified within the adopted Local plan Strategy.		Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.		Achievability Notes: No known constraints.	
	ent. Does not who		When is site likely to come forward?: 0 - 10 years		
However site fall	current development plan policies in the Local Plan. However site falls within broad area for search for assessment of sites which could contribute to sustainable mixed communities.		0-5 years	6-10 years	11-15 years
			250		-
them may need the Cannock Ch	An SBI and BAS are within 1km and the impact on them may need further investigation. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore		Proposed Yield: 250		Current Deliverability: Deliverable
mitigation may b	e required.				

ID: 437	Settlement: Fradley	Location: Cor	nmon Lane, west of	Ward: Alrewas and Fradley	
Site Area (ha): 0.57	Source: Submission	Proposal: Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.57		Density Rate (dph): 40	
Yield Note: 40dph@80% agent proposes 7 dwellings on 0.39ha which equates to 18dph, considered too low hence standard application.					
Currently Suitable? Yes		Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable?Yes		

	ID: 437	Settlement: Fradley	Location: Cor	nmon Lane, west of	Ward: Alrewas and Fradley	
	-	ide village boundary but is adj s identified within the adopted I		Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.	Achievability Notes: No known constraints.	
	Outside settlement. Site i Allocation within the Loca	Site is within the Fradley Strategic Development Local Plan Strategy.		When is site likely to come forward?: 0 - 5 years		
	Access to the site needs t	to be identified.		Proposed Yield: 18	Current Deliverability: Deliverable	
i	BAS are within 1km and t	Iral Land may need justification the impact on them may need n the Cannock Chase zone of be required.	further			

Settlement: Fradley	Location: Church Lane			Ward: Alrewas and Fradley		
Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Mixed	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 2.69		Density Rate (dph): 40		
Yield Note: indicative at 60% at 30dph						
y Suitable? Yes	Currently Available? Yes		Currently Achievable?Yes			
	Availability Notes: Multiple ownerships, but agent advises they are working together.		Achievability Notes: No known constraints.			
		When is site likely	5 years			
falls within broad area for search for assessment of sites which could contribute to sustainable mixed communities. Loss of Grade 3 Agricultural Land may need justification. An SBI is within 1km and the impact on it may need further investigation. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of		0-5 years	6-10 years	11-15 years		
		150	109	-		
		Proposed Yield: 259		Current Deliverability: Deliverable		
	Source: Submission te: indicative at 60% at 30dph y Suitable? Yes ty Notes: Outside village boundary fainable settlement as identified within in Strategy. settlement. Does not wholly comply nent plan policies in the Local Plan. In broad area for search for assessmuld contribute to sustainable mixed of arade 3 Agricultural Land may need juthin 1km and the impact on it may need tion. Site is within the Cannock Chast	Source: Submission       Proposal: Mixed         te: indicative at 60% at 30dph         y Suitable? Yes         ty Notes: Outside village boundary but is adjacent ainable settlement as identified within the adopted in Strategy.         settlement. Does not wholly comply with current nent plan policies in the Local Plan. However site n broad area for search for assessment of sites uld contribute to sustainable mixed communities.         strade 3 Agricultural Land may need justification. An thin 1km and the impact on it may need further	Source: SubmissionProposal: MixedSize of Proposed RMixedMixedSize of Proposed Rte: indicative at 60% at 30dphCurrently Availabley Suitable? YesCurrently Availablety Notes: Outside village boundary but is adjacent ainable settlement as identified within the adopted n Strategy.Availability Notes: Multiple ownerships, they are working togsettlement. Does not wholly comply with current nent plan policies in the Local Plan. However site n broad area for search for assessment of sites uld contribute to sustainable mixed communities.When is site likely 0-5 yearstrade 3 Agricultural Land may need justification. An thin 1km and the impact on it may need further tion. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone ofProposed Yield: 25	Source: Submission       Proposal: Mixed       Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 2.69         te: indicative at 60% at 30dph       Image: Currently Available? Yes         y Suitable? Yes       Currently Available? Yes         ty Notes: Outside village boundary but is adjacent ainable settlement as identified within the adopted n Strategy.       Availability Notes: Multiple ownerships, but agent advises they are working together.         settlement. Does not wholly comply with current nent plan policies in the Local Plan. However site n broad area for search for assessment of sites uld contribute to sustainable mixed communities.       When is site likely to come forward?: 0 - 0-5 years         6-10 years       150       109         Proposed Yield: 259       Proposed Yield: 259		

ID: 666	Settlement: Fradley	Location: land off Common Lane		Ward: Alrewas and Fradley		
Site Area (ha): 1.01	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 1.01	Density Rate (dph): 24		
Yield Note: Indicative using 80% @ 40dph						
Currently Suitable? Yes		Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable?Yes			

ID: 666	Settlement: Fradley	Location: land	d off Common Lane	Ward: Alrewas and Fradley
-	de village boundary but is ac identified within the adopted	•	Availability Notes: Multiple ownerships, but agent advises they are working together.	Achievability Notes: No known constraints.
plan policies in the Local F	oss of Grade 3 Agricultural Land may need justification. An SBI is within km and the impact on it may need further investigation. Site is within ne Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be		When is site likely to come forward?: 0 - 5 years	
mixed communities.			Proposed Yield: 24	Current Deliverability: Deliverable
1km and the impact on it r				

ID: 1119	Settlement: Fradley	Location: land off (	Location: land off Common Lane		
<b>Site Area (ha):</b> 1.6	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 1.28	Density Rate (dph): 40	
Yield Note: Indicat	tive using 80% @ 40dp	oh Rep submitted to Re	eg 19.		
Currently Suitable	? Yes		Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable?Yes	
Suitability Notes: Outside village boundary but is adjacent to a sustainable settlement as identified within the adopted Local plan Strategy. Outside settlement. Does not wholly comply with current development plan policies in the Local Plan. However site falls within broad area for search for assessment of sites which could contribute to sustainable mixed communities.		Availability Notes: Multiple ownerships, but agent advises they are working together. Site is being promoted by developer through the emerging Local Plan Allocations document. Additional information has been submitted by the developers seeking to address all issues.	Achievability Notes: No known constraints.		
Loss of Grade 3 Agricultural Land may need justification. An SBI is within 1km and the impact on it may need further investigation. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.		When is site likely to come forward?	?: 0 - 5 years		
		Proposed Yield: 54	Current Deliverability: Deliverable		

ID: 138	Settlement: Fradley	Location: Bridge Farm		Ward: Alrewas and Fradley		
Site Area (ha): 6.69	Source: Survey	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 6.69	Density Rate (dph): 40		
Yield Note: See also site	Yield Note: See also site 412 and 438. 40dph @ 60%					
Currently Suitable? Yes		Currently Available? No	Currently Achievable?Yes			

ID: 138	Settlement: Fradley	Location: Brid	dge Farm	Ward: Alrewas and Fradley
to be a sustainable settlen plan Strategy. Part outside settlement. D development plan policies	Suitability Notes: Within village boundary in a settlement considered to be a sustainable settlement as identified within the adopted Local plan Strategy. Part outside settlement. Does not wholly comply with current development plan policies in the Local Plan. However site falls within broad area for search for assessment of sites which could contribute to sustainable mixed communities. Loss of Grade 3 Agricultural Land may need justification. Site has potential for protected species. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.		Availability Notes: Owner has indicated that does not wish to develop at present and has put forward part of site for an alternative use - sports pitches for community use.	Achievability Notes: No known constraints.
			When is site likely to come forward?: 6 - 10 years	
potential for protected spe			Proposed Yield: 160	Current Deliverability: Developable

ID: 436	Settlement: Fradley	Location: Hay	End Lane		Ward: Alrewas and Fradley
Site Area (ha): 10.19	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 10.19		Density Rate (dph): 40
Yield Note: 40	)dph@60%				
Currently Suit	able? No		Currently Available?	/es	Currently Achievable?Yes
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> Outside village boundary but is adjacent to a sustainable settlement as identified within the adopted Local plan Strategy.		Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.		Achievability Notes: No known constraints.	
	nent. Does not who oment plan policies		When is site likely to come forward?: 0 - 10 years		
Plan. However	site falls within bro	oad area for	0-5 years	6-10 years	11-15 years
	istainable mixed co		-	250	-
Loss of Grade 3 Agricultural Land may need justification. An SBI and BAS are within 1km and the impact on them may need further investigation.		Proposed Yield: 250		Current Deliverability: Developable	
Site is within the	e Cannock Chase z ation may be requir	one of influence			

ID: 1120	Settlement: Fradley	Location: Hay End Ln., Sale Ln., Fradley		Ward: Alrewas and Fradley		
<b>Site Area (ha):</b> 40.38	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 13.65	Density Rate (dph): 30		
Yield Note: See also	Yield Note: See also site 412 and 438. Agents propose yield at 30% in rep to Reg 19					
Currently Suitable? No		Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable?Yes			

	ID: 1120	Settlement: Fradley Location: Hay End Ln., Sale Ln., Fradley		Ward: Alrewas and Fradley	
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> Outside village boundary in a settlement considered to be a sustainable settlement as identified within the adopted Local Plan Strategy. Does not wholly comply with current development plan policies in the Local Plan. However site falls within broad area for search for assessment of sites which could contribute		Availability Notes: Site is being promoted by developer through the emerging Local Plan Allocations document	Achievability Notes: No known constraints.		
	to sustainable mixed communities. Loss of Grade 3 Agricultural Land may need justification. Site has potential for protected species and bedgerows and is adjacent to the		When is site likely to come fo	rward?: 6 - 10 years	
	Canal conservation a	otential for protected species and hedgerows and is adjacent to the anal conservation area. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of fluence therefore mitigation may be required. A pipeline is adjacent the site.		Proposed Yield: 405	Current Deliverability: Developable


ID: 130	Settlement: Fradley Location: Rom		nan Road	Ward: Alrewas and Fradley
Site Area (ha): 5.08	Source: Omission Site	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 5.08	Density Rate (dph): 40
Yield Note: 40dph@60%	, ,			'
Currently Suitable? No			Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable?Yes
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> Outside village boundary but is adjacent to a sustainable settlement as identified within the adopted Local plan Strategy. Does not wholly comply with current development plan policies in the RSS, Structure Plan and Local Plan. Unlikely to be considered acceptable from a strategic policy perspective in light of location. Site has potential for protected species. Impact of noise from A38 on prospective residents would need to be designed out. Site is within the			Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.	Achievability Notes: No known constraints.
			When is site likely to come forward?: -	
Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.		Proposed Yield: 122	Current Deliverability: Not Developable	

ID: 376	Settlement: Fradley Location: Dun		nmore Hay Lane, (Site A)	Ward: Alrewas and Fradley
Site Area (ha): 0.5	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.5	Density Rate (dph): 40
Yield Note: 40dph@80%	· )		·	·
Currently Suitable? No			Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable?No
Suitability Notes: Outside village boundary but is adjacent to a sustainable settlement as identified within the adopted Local plan Strategy. Outside settlement. Does not wholly comply with current development plan policies in the Local Plan. However site falls within broad area for search for assessment of sites which could contribute to sustainable mixed communities.		Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.	Achievability Notes: Unknown how measures to improve sustainability would impact on viability.	
		When is site likely to come fo	rward?: -	

ID: 376	Settlement: Fradley	Location: Du	nmore Hay Lane, (Site A)	Ward: Alrewas and Fradley
Loss of Grade 3 Agricultural 1km and the impact on it m potential for protected spec of influence therefore mitiga to the site.	nay need further investigation cies. Site is within the Canr	on. Site has lock Chase zone	Proposed Yield: 16	Current Deliverability: Not Developable

ID: 377 (ELAA ID: 93)	Settlement: Fradley	Location: Du	nmore Hay Lane, (Site B)	Ward: Alrewas and Fradley
Site Area (ha): 2.43	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 2.43	Density Rate (dph): 40
Yield Note: 40dph@60%			·	
Currently Suitable? No			Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable?No
sustainable settlement as in Strategy. Outside settlement. Does r	Suitability Notes: Outside village boundary but is adjacent to a sustainable settlement as identified within the adopted Local plan Strategy. Outside settlement. Does not wholly comply with current development			Achievability Notes: Unknown how measures to improve sustainability would impact on viability.
search for assessment of s	an. However site falls within ites which could contribute to		When is site likely to come forward?: -	
mixed communities. A pipeline is adjacent to the site. Loss of Grade 3 Agricultural Land may need justification. An SBI is within 1km and the impact on it may need further investigation. Site has potential for protected species. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.			Proposed Yield: 58	Current Deliverability: Not Developable

# **B.12 Hammerwich**



Developable
 Not Developable

Green Belt Site

www.lichfielddc.gov.uk N

ID	Location	Source	Planning Application No.	Net Yield	Deliverability
219	Hammerwich House Farm	Complete	05/00894/FUL	5	Complete
892	Hall Lane, Hammerwich House Farm	Planning Permission Full	13/01052/COU	1	Deliverable
973	Adj. 46, Burntwood Rd	Under Construction	16/00328/FUL		Deliverable
1080	Apple Tree Farm, 2, Burtnwood Rd.,	Planning Permission Full	16/00813/COU	2	Deliverable

ID: 701	Settlement: Hammerwich	Location: Sto	ckhay Lane, land rear 37	Ward: Hammerwich	
Site Area (ha): 1.6	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 1.6	Density Rate: 30	
Yield Note: Based on 80%	% at 30dph				
Currently Suitable? Yes	Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Achievable? Yes	
considered to be a sustair Strategy and it has not bee	Suitability Notes: Outside village boundary. The settlement is not considered to be a sustainable settlement in the adopted Local Plan Strategy and it has not been demonstrated how the development of the site would contribute to sustainable communities. However the site is			Achievability Notes: No known constraints.	
withing the Local Plan Stra			When is site likely to come forward?: 0 - 5 years		
Within Green Belt. Does not wholly comply with current development plan policies in the Local Plan. However site falls within broad area for search for assessment of sites which could contribute to sustainable mixed communities. May however, depend on other sites in the area coming forward.			Proposed Yield: 38	Current Deliverability: Deliverable	
Loss of Grade 2 Agricultural Land may need justification. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.					

# **B.13 Hamstall Ridware**



Settlement Boundary
 District Boundary
 Site with Planning Permission
 Site under construction
 Proposed for allocation in emerging LPA


Green Belt Site

Hamstall Ridware

SHLAA Sites 2016-2017



# NOT TO SCALE



# Hamstall Ridware

ID	Location	Source	Planning Application No.	Net Yield	Deliverability
317	Yoxall Road, Mill House	Complete	04/01362/COU	1	Complete

# **B.14 Harlaston**



© Crown Copyright. Database Rights 2016. Lichted District Council. Licence No: 100017765

P

85

99

0

0

25

NOT TO SCALE

No decision has been taken to allocate these sites. The inclusion of any site in this assessment does not indicate that it will be allocated or successfully obtain permission for housing.

0

Ł

6

899

 $\bigcirc$ 

20

 $\square$ 

H

0 9

Z Proposed for allocation in emerging LPA

Settlement Boundary
 District Boundary
 Site with Planning Permission
 Site under construction

Developable
 Not Developable

Complete Deliverable

Green Belt Site

<u>Harlaston</u>

SHLAA Sites 2016-2017

# Harlaston

ID	Location	Source	Planning Application No.	Net Yield	Deliverability
269	Churchside, The Old Rectory	Complete	06/00856/FUL	1	Complete
343	The Green Yard	Complete	05/00760/FUL	2	Complete

# Table B.155

ID: 25	Settlement: Harlaston	Location: Ma	in Road	Ward: Mease and Tame		
Site Area (ha): 1.35	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 1.35	Density Rate (dph): 30		
Yield Note: 30dph@80% used to reflect surrounding character and grain of adjacent settlement						
Currently Suitable? No			Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? No		
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> The site is outside the current village boundary. The settlement is not considered to be a sustainable settlement in the adopted Local Plan Strategy and it has not been demonstrated how the development of the site would contribute to sustainable communities.			Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.	Achievability Notes: Unknown how measures to improve sustainability would impact on viability.		
plan policies in the Local F	not wholly comply with curren Plan. Unlikely to be considered	•	When is site likely to come forward?: -			
Within Conservation Area. justification. SSSI & SBI a need further investigation.	spective in light of location.		Proposed Yield: 32	Current Deliverability: Not Developable		

ID: 26	Settlement: Harlaston Location: Mai		n Road	Ward: Mease and Tame
Site Area (ha): 0.02	Source: Submission	Proposal: Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.02	Density Rate (dph): 30
Yield Note: 30dph@80%	used to reflect surrounding c	haracter and gra	in of adjacent settlement	
Currently Suitable? No			Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? No
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> The site is outside the current village boundary. The settlement is not considered to be a sustainable settlement in the adopted Local Plan Strategy and it has not been demonstrated how the development of the site would contribute to sustainable communities. Outside settlement. Does not wholly comply with current development			Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.	Achievability Notes: Suitable access required. Unknown how measures to improve sustainability would impact on viability.
plan policies in the Local Plan. Unlikely to be considered acceptable - from a strategic policy perspective in light of location.		When is site likely to come forward?: -		

ID: 26	Settlement: Harlaston Location: I	lain Road	Ward: Mease and Tame
are wi Site h	f Grade 3 Agricultural Land may need justification. SSSI & SB hin 1km and the impact on them may need further investigatio as potential for protected species. Site is within the River Meas catchment therefore mitigation will be required.		Current Deliverability: Not Developable

ID: 66	Settlement: Harlaston	Location: Chu	urch Farm	Ward: Mease and Tame		
Site Area (ha): 1.6	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 1.6	Density Rate (dph): 30		
Yield Note: 30dph@80% used to reflect surrounding character and grain of adjacent settlement. If development focused on area inside village boundary then yield of 8 appropriate.						
Currently Suitable? No			Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? No		
Suitability Notes: The site is outside the current village boundary. The settlement is not considered to be a sustainable settlement in the adopted Local Plan Strategy and it has not been demonstrated how the development of the site would contribute to sustainable communities. Outside settlement. Does not wholly comply with current development plan policies in the Local Plan. Unlikely to be considered acceptable from a strategic policy perspective in light of location.			Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.	Achievability Notes: Remediation of site may be required but unlikely to affect viability. Unknown how measures to improve sustainability would impact on viability.		
	Loss of Grade 3 Agricultural L		When is site likely to come forward?: -			
need further investigation.	I & SBI are within 1km and the impact on them may stigation. Site has potential for protected species. Site r Mease water catchment therefore mitigation will be		Proposed Yield: 38	Current Deliverability: Not Developable		

ID: 68 (ELAA ID: 92)	Settlement: Harlaston Location: Haur		unton Road, The Homestead	Ward: Mease and Tame			
Site Area (ha): 0.25	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.25	Density Rate (dph): 30			
	Yield Note: 30dph@80% used to reflect surrounding character and grain of adjacent settlement. If development focused on area inside village boundary then yield of 3 appropriate accounting for ex house.						
Currently Suitable? No			Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? No			
Suitability Notes: The site is outside the current village boundary. The settlement is not considered to be a sustainable settlement in the adopted Local Plan Strategy and it has not been demonstrated how the development of the site would contribute to sustainable communities. Outside settlement. Does not wholly comply with current development plan policies in the Local Plan. Unlikely to be considered acceptable from a strategic policy perspective in light of location.			Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.	Achievability Notes:Unknown how measures to improve sustainability would impact on viability.			
			When is site likely to come fo	rward?: -			

ID: 68 (ELAA ID: 92)	Settlement: Harlaston Location: Har	unton Road, The Homestead	Ward: Mease and Tame
justification. SSSI & SBI are need further investigation. S	). SFRA may be required. Loss of Grade 3 Agricultural Land may need e within 1km and the impact on them may Site has potential for protected species. Site ater catchment therefore mitigation will be	Proposed Yield: 8	Current Deliverability: Not Developable

ID: 85	Settlement: Harlaston	Location: Manor Lan	e, Fish Pits Farm	Ward: Mease and Tame	
Site Area (ha): 1.88	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 1.88	Density Rate (dph): 30	
Yield Note: From exp	bired planning permissior	n. Planning Permission 10	6/01409FULM s106 granted after	er the base date of the SHLAA	
Currently Suitable?	No		Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? No	
The settlement is not adopted Local Plan S	he site is outside the cur considered to be a susta trategy and it has not be ne site would contribute t	inable settlement in the en demonstrated how	Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.	Achievability Notes: Unknown how measures to improve sustainability would impact on viability.	
	oes not wholly comply wi ocal Plan. Unlikely to be	•	When is site likely to come forward?: -		
from a strategic policy Within Conservation A need justification. SS may need further invest	lan policies in the Local Plan. Unlikely to be considered acceptable om a strategic policy perspective in light of location. Vithin Conservation Area. Loss of Grade 3 Agricultural Land may eed justification. SSSI & SBI are within 1km and the impact on them hay need further investigation. Site has potential for protected species. Site is within the River Mease water catchment therefore mitigation		Proposed Yield: 14	Current Deliverability: Not Developable	

ID: 595	Settlement: Harlaston	Location: Haunton R	oad, The Homestead	Ward: Mease and Tame
Site Area (ha): 0.1	Source: Expired	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.1	Density Rate (dph): 30
Yield Note: Yield fro	m expired planning perr	nission		
Currently Suitable? Yes			Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? No
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> The site is within the current village boundary. The settlement is not considered to be a sustainable settlement in the adopted Local Plan Strategy and it has not been demonstrated how the development of the site would contribute to sustainable			Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.	Achievability Notes:
communities. Within Conservation Area and listed building within the site.		When is site likely to come for	ward?: -	

ID: 595	Settlement: Harlaston	Location: Haunton Ro	oad, The Homestead	Ward: Mease and Tame
Harlaston SSSI & SBI are within 1km and the impact on them may need further investigation. Site has potential for protected species. Site is within the River Mease water catchment therefore mitigation will be required.		Proposed Yield: 1	Current Deliverability: Not Developable	

ID: 705	Settlement: Harlaston Location: Haun House		inton Road, land rear Yew Tree	Ward: Mease and Tame
Site Area (ha): 0.1	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.1	Density Rate (dph):
Yield Note: as indicated	by landowner		<u> </u>	
Currently Suitable? No			Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? No
The settlement is not cons adopted Local Plan Strate development of the site we	<b>Suitability Notes:</b> The site is outside the current village boundary. The settlement is not considered to be a sustainable settlement in the adopted Local Plan Strategy and it has not been demonstrated how the development of the site would contribute to sustainable communities.			Achievability Notes:Unknown how measures to improve sustainability would impact on viability.
plan policies in the Local F	not wholly comply with currer Plan. Unlikely to be considere		When is site likely to come forward?: -	
Within Conservation Area on them may need further	rategic policy perspective in light of location. onservation Area. SSSI & SBI are within 1km and the impact may need further investigation. Site has potential for protected Site is within the River Mease water catchment therefore in will be required.		Proposed Yield: 1	Current Deliverability: Not Developable

ID: 899	Settlement: Harlaston	Location: Scotland Lane, Harlaston		Ward: Mease and Tame
Site Area (ha): 8.9	Source: Submission	Proposal:Size of ProposedResidentialResidential (ha): 6.23		Density Rate (dph): 30
Yield Note: 30dph@60% used to reflect surrounding character and grain of adjacent settlement. I				
Currently Suitable?	No		Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? No
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> The site is outside the current village boundary. The settlement is not considered to be a sustainable settlement in the adopted Local Plan Strategy and it has not been demonstrated how the development of the site would contribute to sustainable communities.			Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.	Achievability Notes:Unknown how measures to improve sustainability would impact on viability.
Outside settlement. Does not wholly comply with current development plan policies in the Local Plan. Unlikely to be considered acceptable from a strategic policy perspective in light of location.		When is site likely to come	forward?: -	

ID: 899	Settlement: Harlaston	Location: Scotland L	ane, Harlaston	Ward: Mease and Tame
Within Conservation A justification. SSSI & S need further investiga		ricultural Land may need he impact on them may for protected species.	Proposed Yield: 190	Current Deliverability: Not Developable

# **B.15 Hill Ridware**



Z Proposed for allocation in emerging LPA

 Developable
 Not Developable Green Belt Site


Complete Deliverable

Settlement Boundary
 District Boundary
 Site with Planning Permission
 Site under construction

**Hill Ridware** 

SHLAA Sites 2016-2017

# NOT TO SCALE



# **Hill Ridware**

ID	Location	Source	Planning Application No.	Net Yield	Deliverability
318	The Cottage	Complete	00/01097/FUL	1	Complete
159	Abbeylands Cottage, 11 Rake End	Complete	07/00317/REM	1	Complete
180	Uttoxeter Road, 43a	Complete	07/00583/OUT	1	Complete
319	Hathaway, 95 Manley Road	Complete	00/00850/FUL	1	Complete
387	Rake End, Rear of Rake End House	Complete	04/00459/OUT	1	Complete
468	Wade Lane Farm, Hill Ridware	Complete	96/00666/FUL	1	Complete
325	Uttoxeter Road, 73	Complete	03/01414/FUL	2	Complete
275	Uttoxeter Road, 95	Complete	07/00077/FUL	3	Complete
169	Orchard Farm, Hill Ridware	Complete	07/01215/FUL	9	Complete
276	Uttoxeter Road, 56	Complete	07/00864/FUL	2	Complete
255	Royal Oak, land adj	Under Construction	11/01141/FUL	9	Deliverable
737	School Lane, Avondale	Complete	11/00775/FUL	3	Complete
135	Uttoxeter Road, Hill Ridware	Under construction	14/00147/OUTM &15/01150/REMM	41	Deliverable
831	Wade Lane, Ridware Hall	Complete	13/00138/FUL	1	Complete

Table B.164

ID: 181	Settlement: Hill Ridware	Location: Uttoxeter	Road, between 21 & 23	Ward: Colton and Mavesyn Ridware	
<b>Site Area (ha):</b> 0.09	Source: Omission Site	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.09	Density Rate (dph): 30	
Yield Note: Yield I	based on previous plan	ning application which	has now expired		
Currently Suitabl	e? No		Currently Available? No	Currently Achievable? Yes	
planning permission the Cannock Chas	The site is within the on has been granted pre se zone of influence the	eviously. Site is within	Availability Notes: Planning permission has now expired	Achievability Notes: No known constraints	
be required.	be required.		When is site likely to come forward?: 6 - 10 years		
		Proposed Yield: 1	Current Deliverability: Developable		


ID: 136	Settlement: Hill Ridware	Location: Wa	de Street	Ward: Colton and Mavesyn Ridware	
Site Area (ha): 0.2	Source: Omission Site	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.2	Density Rate (dph): 30	
Yield Note: 30dph@80%	used to reflect nature and cha	aracter of settlem	nent		
Currently Suitable? No			Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes	
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> The site is outside the current village boundary. The settlement is not considered to be a sustainable settlement in the adopted Local Plan Strategy and it has not been demonstrated how the development of the site would contribute to sustainable communities.			Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.	Achievability Notes: No known constraints	
	not wholly comply with currer		When is site likely to come forward?: -		
<ul> <li>plan policies in the Local Plan. Unlikely to be considered acceptable from a strategic policy perspective in light of location.</li> <li>Investigation of coal subsidence area may be required. Loss of Grade 3 Agricultural Land may need justification. Site has potential for protected species. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.</li> </ul>			Proposed Yield: 6	Current Deliverability: Not Developable	

ID: 834	Settlement: Hill Ridware	Location: Church Lar	Ward: Colton and Mavesyn Ridware		
Site Area (ha): 1.1	Source: Submission	Proposal: Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 1.1	Density Rate (dph): 30	
Yield Note: 30dph@	80% used to reflect natu	re and character of settle	ement		
Currently Suitable?	Currently Suitable? No			Currently Achievable? Yes	
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> The site is outside the current village boundary. The settlement is not considered to be a sustainable settlement in the RSSS and it has not been demonstrated how the development of this site would improve its sustainability.			Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.	Achievability Notes: No known constraints	
			When is site likely to come forward?: -		
Outside settlement. Does not wholly comply with current development plan policies in the Local Plan. Unlikely to be considered acceptable from a strategic policy perspective in light of location. Investigation of coal subsidence area may be required. Loss of Grade 3 Agricultural Land may need justification. Site has potential for protected species. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.		Proposed Yield: 26	Current Deliverability: Not Developable		

ID: 954	Settlement: Hill Ridware	,		Ward: Colton and Mavesyn Ridware	
Site Area (ha): 0.5	Source: Submission	Proposal: Residential Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.4		Density Rate (dph): 30	
Yield Note: 30dph@80%					

ID: 954	Settlement: Hill Ridware	Location: Wade Lane	, land south of	Ward: Colton and Mavesyn Ridware	
Currently Suitable?	No		Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes	
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> The site is outside the current village boundary. The settlement is not considered to be a sustainable settlement in the adopted Local Plan Strategy and it has not been demonstrated how the development of the site would contribute to sustainable communities.		Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.	Achievability Notes: No known constraints		
			When is site likely to come forward?: -		
plan policies in the Lo from a strategic policy Investigation of coal s 3 Agricultural Land m	ocal Plan. Unlikely to be y perspective in light of l subsidence area may be ay need justification. Sil te is within the Cannock	required. Loss of Grade	Proposed Yield: 12	Current Deliverability: Not Developable	

# **B.16 Hopwas**



Z Proposed for allocation in emerging LPA

Green Belt Site

# Hopwas


ID	Location	Source	Planning Application No.	Net Yield	Deliverability
457	Nursery Lane, land rear of 17	Complete	07/00916/FUL	1	Complete
916	Nursery Lane, land adj 32	Planning Permission Full	13/01266/FUL	1	Deliverable

# Table B.169

ID: 863	Settlement: Hopwas	Location: Nursery La	Location: Nursery Lane, land off		
Site Area (ha): 0.4	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.4	Density Rate (dph): 30	
Yield Note: 30dph @	100% has been used				
Currently Suitable?	' No		Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? No	
Suitability Notes: The site is outside the current village boundary. The settlement is not considered to be a sustainable settlement in the adopted Local Plan Strategy and it has not been demonstrated how the development of the site would contribute to sustainable communities. Within greenbelt. Does not wholly comply with current development plan policies in the Local Plan. Unlikely to be considered acceptable from a strategic policy perspective in light of location. Is within 1000m of SBI and ancient woodland. Loss of Grade 3 agricultural land may need justification. Site has potential for protected species. Site is adjacent to Conservation Area.		Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent. When is site likely to come for	Achievability Notes: Unknown how measures to improve sustainability would impact on viability.		
		Proposed Yield: 12	Current Deliverability: Not Developable		

ID: 1033	Settlement: Hopwas	Location: Plantation Lane, land off		Ward: Whittington and Streethay
Site Area (ha): 3.93	Source: Submission	Proposal:Size of Proposed ResidentialResidential(ha): 3.93		Density Rate (dph): 30
Yield Note: 30dph @	100% has been used			
Currently Suitable?	' No		Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? No
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> The site is outside the current village boundary. The settlement is not considered to be a sustainable settlement in the adopted Local Plan Strategy and it has not been demonstrated how the development of the site would contribute to sustainable communities.		Site is not currently for sale or how measures to improv		
plan policies in the Lo	Within greenbelt. Does not wholly comply with current development blan policies in the Local Plan. Unlikely to be considered acceptable from a strategic policy perspective in light of location. s within 1000m of SBI and ancient woodland. Loss of Grade 3 agricultural land may need justification. Site has potential for protected species and hedgerows.		Proposed Yield: 70	Current Deliverability: Not Developable
agricultural land may				

# **B.17 Kings Bromley**



Green Belt Site

ID	Location	Source	Planning Application No.	Net Yield	Deliverability
177	The Hollies, land rear of	Complete	04/00730/OUT	1	Complete
185	Alrewas Road, land adj 31	Complete	04/01247/FUL	1	Complete
186	Alrewas Road, land adj 52	Complete	03/01208/FUL	2	Complete
449	Alrewas Road, 63	Complete	07/00977/FUL	1	Complete
284	Alrewas Road, 17	Complete	03/01018/COU	1	Complete
335	Manor Bungalow, Manor Park	Complete	04/00365/ <u>FUL</u>	1	Complete
337	Manor Road, rear of 3	Complete	05/00786/FUL	1	Complete
618	Manor Walk, land rear of	Complete	04/01133/FUL	1	Complete
336	Vicarage Lane, 8	Complete	06/00066/FUL	3	Complete
858	Manor Road, 1 The Old Forge	Under Construction	13/00896/COU	1	Deliverable
894	Alrewas Road, land off 26 & 28	Under Construction	13/01057/FUL	1	Deliverable
902	Manor Park, Manor Croft	Planning Permission Full	13/01249/FUL	3	Deliverable
917	Manor Walk, land adj Tree Tops	Planning Permission Full	14/00285/FUL	1	Deliverable
941	Manor Park, land adj Kew	Under construction	14/00674/FUL	1	Deliverable
977	Maor Walk, Tree Tops	Planning Permission Full	14/01256/FUL	1	Deliverable
13	Lichfield Road, Kings Bromley	Complete	14/00683/OUTM	16	Complete

ID: 678	Settlement: Kings Bromley	Location: Manor Ro	ad 25, Manor Cottage	Ward: Kings Bromley
<b>Site Area (ha):</b> 0.06	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.06	Density Rate (dph): -
Yield Note: Based	l on expired planning pe	ermission.		
Currently Suitable	e? No		Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes
Site previously had	The site is within the cu d planning permission.	Site is within the	Availability Notes: Expired Planning permission.	Achievability Notes: No known constraints
Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.			When is site likely to come forward?: 6 - 10 years	
			Proposed Yield: 1	Current Deliverability: Developable

ID: 687	Settlement: Kings Bromley	Location: Alrewas Road, 107		Ward: Kings Bromley
<b>Site Area (ha):</b> 0.17	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.17	Density Rate (dph): 30

ID: 687	Settlement: Kings Bromley	Location: Alrewas I	Ward: Kings Bromley					
Yield Note: 30dph	Yield Note: 30dph@100%							
Currently Suitable? No			Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? Yes				
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> The site is within the current village boundary. The settlement is not considered to be a sustainable settlement in the adopted Local Plan Strategy and it has not been demonstrated how the development of the site would contribute to sustainable communities. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.			Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.	Achievability Notes: No known constraints				
			When is site likely to come forward?: 6-10 years					
			Proposed Yield: 1	Current Deliverability: Developable				

ID: 373	Settlement: Kings Bromley	Location: Alrewas Road, rear of 67-105		Ward: Kings Bromley			
Site Area (ha): 2.66	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 2.66	Density Rate (dph): 30			
Yield Note: 30dph@	Yield Note: 30dph@80% used to reflect nature and character of adjacent settlement.						
Currently Suitable?	No		Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? No			
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> The site is outside the current village boundary. The settlement is not considered to be a sustainable settlement in the adopted Local Plan Strategy and it has not been demonstrated how the development of the site would contribute to sustainable communities.			Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.	Achievability Notes: Unknown how measures to improve sustainability or SFRA recommendation would impact on viability.			
	oes not wholly comply wi	•	When is site likely to come forward?: -				
from a strategic polic	ocal Plan. Unlikely to be y perspective in light of lo (part). SFRA may be rea	ocation.	Proposed Yield: 62	Current Deliverability: Not Developable			
Loss of Grade 3 Agricultural Land may need justification. A BAS is within 1km and the impact on it may need further investigation. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.							

ID: 488	Settlement: Kings Bromley	Location: land at Manor Park		Ward: Kings Bromley	
Site Area (ha): 6.69	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 6.69	Density Rate (dph): 30	
Yield Note: 30dph@80%					
Currently Suitable?	Currently Suitable? No			Currently Achievable? No	
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> The site is outside the current village boundary. The settlement is not considered to be a sustainable settlement in the adopted Local Plan Strategy and it has not been demonstrated how the development of the site would contribute to sustainable communities.		Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.	Achievability Notes: Unknown how measures to		

ID: 488	Settlement: Kings Location: land at Ma Bromley	nor Park	Ward: Kings Bromley	
plan policies in the Lo	loes not wholly comply with current development local Plan. Unlikely to be considered acceptable y perspective in light of location.		improve sustainability or SFRA recommendation would impact on viability.	
	Further investigation of Coal Subsidence area may be required.		When is site likely to come forward?: -	
Loss of Grade 3 Agric within 1km and the im	(part). SFRA may be required. cultural Land may need justification. A BAS is spact on it may need further investigation. Site Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation	Proposed Yield: 120	Current Deliverability: Not Developable	

ID: 641	Settlement: Kings Bromley	Location: land north	Ward: Kings Bromley	
<b>Site Area (ha):</b> 0.38	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.38	Density Rate (dph): 30
Yield Note: 30dph@	0100%			
Currently Suitable	Currently Suitable? No			Currently Achievable? No
The settlement is not the adopted Local P how the developmen communities.	<b>Suitability Notes:</b> The site is outside the current village boundary. The settlement is not considered to be a sustainable settlement in the adopted Local Plan Strategy and it has not been demonstrated how the development of the site would contribute to sustainable communities.			Achievability Notes: Unknown how measures to improve sustainability would impact on viability.
Outside settlement. Does not wholly comply with current development plan policies in the Local Plan. Unlikely to be considered acceptable from a strategic policy perspective in light of location. Loss of Grade 3 Agricultural Land may need justification. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.		Proposed Yield: 11	Current Deliverability: Not Developable	

ID: 686	Settlement: Kings Bromley	Location: Alrewas Road, land rear 107		Ward: Kings Bromley
Site Area (ha): 0.8	Source: Submission	<b>Proposal:</b> Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 0.8	Density Rate (dph): 30
Yield Note: based o	n 30dph@80%			
Currently Suitable?	Currently Suitable? No			Currently Achievable? No
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> The site is outside the current village boundary. The settlement is not considered to be a sustainable settlement in the adopted Local Plan Strategy and it has not been demonstrated how the development of the site would contribute to sustainable communities.			Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent.	Achievability Notes: Unknown how measures to

ID: 686	Settlement: Kings Bromley	Location: Alrewas Road, land rear 107		Ward: Kings Bromley	
Outside settlement. Does not wholly comply with current development plan policies in the Local Plan. Unlikely to be			improve sustainability would impact on viability.		
location.	considered acceptable from a strategic policy perspective in light of location.			When is site likely to come forward?: -	
Loss of Grade 2 Agricultural Land may need justification. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.		Proposed Yield: 15	Current Deliverability: Not Developable		

ID: 1034	Settlement: Kings Bromley	Location: Lichfield Rd., Land off		Ward: Kings Bromley
Site Area (ha): 10.8	Source: Submission	Proposal: Residential	Size of Proposed Residential (ha): 4.15	Density Rate (dph): 30
Yield Note: informat	ion from agent		·	
Currently Suitable? No			Currently Available? Yes	Currently Achievable? No
<b>Suitability Notes:</b> The site is outside the current village boundary. The settlement is not considered to be a sustainable settlement in the adopted Local Plan Strategy and it has not been demonstrated how the development of the site would contribute to sustainable communities.			Availability Notes: Site is not currently for sale or being marketed but has been promoted by the owner/agent. When is site likely to come for	Achievability Notes: Unknown how measures to improve sustainability would impact on viability.
Outside settlement. Does not wholly comply with current development plan policies in the Local Plan. Unlikely to be considered acceptable from a strategic policy perspective in light of location. Loss of Grade 3 Agricultural Land may need justification. Site is within the Cannock Chase zone of influence therefore mitigation may be required.		Proposed Yield: 125	Current Deliverability: Not Developable	